#### <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>238</sup>U,Xγ) 2019Go10

		History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date	
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 181, 475 (2022)	1-Jan-2022	

Adapted/Edited the XUNDL dataset compiled by B. Singh (McMaster), Feb 01, 2020.

2019Go10: projectile fragmentation of 1 GeV  $^{238}$ U beam from the UNILAC-SIS18 accelerator facilities at GSI with an intensity of  $1.5 \times 10^9$  ions/spill. Target was 2.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick <sup>9</sup>Be, followed by a 223 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Nb stripper foil. The reaction products were separated and identified in the magnetic spectrometer Fragment Separator (FRS), based on B $\rho$ - $\Delta$ E-B $\rho$  scheme. Implanted in a composite DSSSD detector system consists of three layers. The DSSSD detectors were surrounded by the RISING  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometer consists of 105 HPGe crystals arranged in clusters of seven elements. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , delayed  $\gamma$  in coincidence with implanted recoils,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin. Deduced isomeric activity and measured half-life. Shell-model calculations.

Experimental level structure of <sup>213</sup>Tl seems different from that of <sup>209</sup>Tl and <sup>211</sup>Tl, as described by 2019Go10, making it difficult to assign the two observed  $\gamma$  rays of 380 and 698 keV, although, shell-model calculations by 2019Go10 for all the three nuclei give similar level pattern.

## <sup>213</sup>Tl Levels

2019Go10 observed two transitions, which are not in coincidence with each other and have half-lives which are incompatible within errors. This suggests two isomeric levels, however their placement in the level scheme could not be determined.

E(level)	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0 0+x? 0+v?	(1/2+)		J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : From shell-model calculations (2019Go10). Configuration: $\pi$ (s <sup>-1</sup> <sub>1/2</sub> ).
380+x? 698+y?		4.1 μs 5 0.6 μs 3	$T_{1/2}$ : from 380 $\gamma$ (t) (2019Go10). Uncertainty includes statistical and systematic. $T_{1/2}$ : from 698 $\gamma$ (t) (2019Go10). Uncertainty includes statistical and systematic.

#### $\gamma(^{213}\text{Tl})$

Eγ	$E_i(level)$	$E_f$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
380 <sup>†</sup> 1	380+x?	0+x?	91 <sup>‡</sup> 11	2019Go10 reported I( $\gamma$ +ce)=26 5 per 100 ions of <sup>213</sup> Tl.
698 <sup>†</sup> 1	698+y?	0+y?	17 <sup>‡</sup> 5	2019Go10 reported I( $\gamma$ +ce)=7 3 per 100 ions of <sup>213</sup> Tl.

<sup>†</sup> 698 $\gamma$  and 380 $\gamma$  were not in coincidence.

<sup>‡</sup> Corrected measured peak area for efficiency and electron conversion (assuming E2).

# <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>238</sup>U,Xγ) 2019Go10

### Level Scheme



 $^{213}_{81}{\rm Tl}_{132}$