

(HI,xn γ)

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 181, 475 (2022)	1-Jan-2022

$^{204}\text{Hg}(^{14}\text{C},5\text{n}\gamma)$ E=80-94 MeV ([1989Lo02](#)).
 $^{208}\text{Pb}(^9\text{Be},4\text{n}\gamma)$ E=45-60 MeV ([1988St10](#));
 $^{204}\text{Hg}(^{13}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ E=72-75 MeV ([1988St10](#)).
 $^{208}\text{Pb}(^9\text{Be},4\text{n}\gamma)$ E=31-57 MeV ([1988Fu10](#));
 $^{206}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},\alpha\text{n}\gamma)$ E=63-75 MeV ([1988Fu10](#)).
 $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{14}\text{C},\alpha\text{n}\gamma)$ E=75-95 MeV ([1983Lo16](#)).

[1989Lo02](#) measured: E γ , I γ , $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, ce, pulsed beam- $\gamma(t)$.

[1988St10](#) measured: E γ , I γ , $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta,\text{H},t)$, ce, pulsed beam- $\gamma(t)$.

[1988Fu10](#) measured: E γ , I γ , $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, γ (linear polarization), pulsed beam- $\gamma(t)$.

[1983Lo16](#) measured: E γ , I γ , $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, pulsed beam- $\gamma(t)$.

Others:

[2004Da23](#): $^{209}\text{Bi}(^7\text{Li},3\text{n}\gamma)$ – measured incomplete fusion cross section.

[2009Vi09](#): $^{208}\text{Bi}(^9\text{Li},4\text{n}\gamma)$ – measured evaporation residue (ER) cross section.

[2010Da04](#): $^{208}\text{Pb},^{209}\text{Bi}(^9\text{Be},\text{X})$, E=44.0, 50.0, 60.0 MeV, – measured incomplete fusion cross section.

[2011Ka30](#): Pt($^{36}\text{S},\text{X}$), E=5.96 MeV/nucleon and W($^{48}\text{Ca},\text{X}$), E=5.41 MeV/nucleon – measured differential cross section d σ /d Ω .

The level scheme constructed by [1988St10](#), except where noted otherwise, is presented here. There are a number of differences between the level schemes of [1988St10](#) and [1989Lo02](#), especially at high energy levels and their deexcitation. The γ s associated with the three highest energy levels of this dataset, proposed by [1989Lo02](#), are adopted without the proposed γ multipolarity assignments for consistency. These are listed in comments.

Results from $\gamma(\theta,\text{H},t)$ measurements:

E(level)	J^π	deduced g factor	deduced magnetic moment μ
	1988St10		
1664.0	21/2 ⁺	0.45 1	4.73 11
1664.0+x	25/2 ⁺	0.61 2	7.6 3
2186.7+x	31/2 ⁻	0.639 5	9.90 8
3029.3+x	37/2 ⁺	0.739 7	13.67 13
3495.4+x	43/2 ⁻	0.725 7	15.59 15
4505.5+x	49/2 ⁺	0.811 12	19.87 29
5928.8+x	(55/2 ⁺)	0.604 5	16.61 14

 ^{213}Rn Levels

See [1989Lo02](#) for calculations of level energies by using the deformed Woods-Saxon potential, and for inferred deformations.

See [1988St10](#) and [1990St14](#) for shell-model level energies calculated by using empirical interaction energies derived from neighboring nuclei. See [1988St10](#) and [1990St14](#) also for calculations of g-factors and comparison with their experiment.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [@]	Comments
0.0	(9/2 ⁺)	19.4 ms 2	J π , T _{1/2} : From Adopted Levels.
705.00 16	11/2 ⁺		
896.09 15	15/2 ⁻	26.3 ns 7	Configuration: Dominant ν (j _{15/2} ⁺¹). T _{1/2} : From $\tau=38$ ns 1 (1988St10). Others: 25 ns 3 (1988Fu10), 50 ns 1 (1983Lo16), 28 ns (1989Lo02 – same first author of 1983Lo16).
1259.62 17	13/2 ⁺		
1529.03 17	17/2 ⁺		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) (continued) **^{213}Rn Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J $^\pi$ [‡]	T _{1/2} [@]	Comments
1574.1 3			
1612.4?			
1664.02 20	21/2 ⁺	29.1 ns 14	Configuration: $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes 6^+$. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=42$ ns 2 (1988St10). Others: 16 ns 5 (1983Lo16) and 16 ns (1989Lo02). Additional information 1 .
1664.02+x 20	25/2 ⁺	1.01 μ s 21	Configuration: Dominant $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1}) \pi([h_{9/2}^{+1}, f_{7/2}^{+1}]_{8+})$. %Isomeric production ratio=6 3 (2013Ba29), E=1 GeV/nucleon, from ^{238}U fragmentation. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=1.45$ μ s 30 (1988St10). Others: ~1 μ s (1983Lo16), 0.680 μ s (1989Lo02 – same first author of 1983Lo16).
1703.5? 4			
1745.93 23			
1788.73 23			
1856.63+x 14	25/2 ⁺		J $^\pi$: A ₂ /A ₀ value consistent with $\Delta J=0$ transition. Configuration: Dominant $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1}) \pi([h_{9/2}^{+2}]_{8+})$.
1879.4 3			
1936.9 3			
2007.43 23			
2072.82 21			
2121.62+x 20	(27/2)		
2184.3 3			
2186.73+x 13	31/2 ⁻	1.36 μ s 7	Configuration: $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1}) \pi([h_{9/2}^{+1}, i_{13/2}^{+1}]_{11-})$. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=1.96$ μ s 10 (1988St10). Others: ~2 μ s (1983Lo16), 1.4 μ s (1989Lo02 – same first author of 1983Lo16). %Isomeric production ratio=7.2 31 (2013Bo18) and 17 2 (2013Ba29 – using only one transition), E=1 GeV/nucleon, from ^{238}U fragmentation.
2201.52+x 16	(27/2 ⁻)		
2227.5 3			
2257.5 3			
2327.1 4			
2610.7 4			
2640.83+x 24			
2662.0+x 3			
2677.00+x 14	29/2 ⁺		
2684.5+x 3			
2739.83+x 19	31/2 ⁻		
2786.73+x 19	29/2 ⁺		
2915.82+x 16	33/2 ⁺		
2984.03+x 15	33/2 ⁺		
3029.35+x 19	37/2 ⁺	26.3 ns 7	Configuration: Dominant $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1}) \pi([h_{9/2}^{+3}, f_{7/2}^{+1}]_{14+})$. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=38$ ns 1 (1988St10). Others: 24 ns (1989Lo02), 55 ns 8 (1983Lo16 – for (37/2) with 795.5 γ depopulating the state – 795.8 γ (797.3 γ here) placed from (33/2 ⁺) at 2984.0+x in 1989Lo02 – same first author of 1983Lo16).
3181.81+x 19	(35/2 ⁻)		
3301.36+x 24			
3441.17+x 22	39/2 ⁻		
3495.5+x 3	43/2 ⁻	27.7 ns 7	Configuration: $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1}) \pi([h_{9/2}^{+3}, i_{13/2}^{+1}]_{17-})$. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=40$ ns 1 (1988St10). Others: 26 ns (1989Lo02), 35 ns 2 (1983Lo16). %Isomeric production ratio=9 5 (2013Ba29 – using only one transition), E=1 GeV/nucleon, from ^{238}U fragmentation.
3604.8+x 3			
3623.9+x 4			
3923.0+x 4	(43/2 ⁻)		
3927.4+x 4			
4048.0+x 4	(45/2 ⁻)		
4050.3+x 4			
4343.2+x 4			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) (continued) **^{213}Rn Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J $^{\pi}$ [‡]	T _{1/2} [@]	Comments
4505.6+x 4	49/2 ⁺ #	11.8 ns 7	Configuration: $\nu (j_{15/2}^{+1}) \pi ([h_{9/2}^{+3}, i_{13/2}^{+1}]_{17-})$. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=17$ ns I (1988St10). Other: 14 ns (1989Lo02).
4532.8+x 4			
4581.4+x 11			
4723.1+x 4			
4875.6+x 4	(49/2 ⁺)		
5225.6+x 4	(51/2 ⁺)#		
5763.7+x 4	(53/2,55/2)		
5928.9+x 4	(53/2,55/2)		
5928.9+y 4	(55/2 ⁺)	164 ns 10	Additional information 2. $y=x+z$, where $5 \leq z \leq 50$ -keV estimated in 1988St10 – see comments for expected (50) keV γ from 5928.9+y level. Configuration: $\nu ([p_{1/2}^{-1}, g_{9/2}^{+1}, i_{11/2}^{+1}]_{21/2-}) \pi ([h_{9/2}^{+3}, i_{13/2}^{+1}]_{17-})$. T _{1/2} : From $\tau=237$ ns I (1988St10). Other: 157 ns (1989Lo02). %Isomeric production ratio=0.8 2 (2013Ba29 – using only one transition), E=1 GeV/nucleon, from ^{238}U fragmentation.
6743.90+y 20		59 ns	Level proposed by 1989Lo02 (6636 + Δ'). J $^{\pi}$: $J^{\pi}=(61/2^+)$ in 1989Lo02 .
7926.4+y 3			T _{1/2} : From 815 γ (t) in 1989Lo02 .
8831.8+y 4		14 ns	Level proposed by 1989Lo02 (6818 + Δ'). J $^{\pi}$: (65/2,67/2) in 1989Lo02 . Level proposed by 1989Lo02 (8724 + Δ'). J $^{\pi}$: (71/2,73/2) in 1989Lo02 . T _{1/2} : From 905.4 γ (t) (1989Lo02).

[†] From least square fit to the γ -ray energies assuming equal weight if no uncertainty for E γ . In the latter case, no uncertainty for the level is listed.

[‡] Proposed by [1988St10](#) from γ multipolarities assigned based on conversion electron and $\gamma(\theta)$ measurements.

Spin in [1989Lo02](#) is two units less than those given here because of the 54.3-keV transition, not seen by [1989Lo02](#).

@ From [1988St10](#), except noted otherwise. Mean lifetime reported in [1988St10](#), determined from $\gamma\gamma$ coin, γX coin, pulsed beam, and time differential perturbed angular distribution (TDPAD) g-factor measurements. Others values are listed in the comments section.

(HI,xn γ) (continued) $\gamma(^{213}\text{Rn})$

See 1988St10 for B(E3) values calculated using the empirical-shell model, and comparison with experiments. See 1989Dr02 and 1985Be05 for systematics of E3 strengths of $15/2^-$ to $9/2^+$ transitions in the region.

$E_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ	a^c	Comments
(39.5 [‡])		1703.5?		1664.02	$21/2^+$				
45.3 [#] 2	≈ 1	3029.35+x	$37/2^+$	2984.03+x	$33/2^+$	E2		359 5	$\alpha(N)=18.47\ 26$; $\alpha(O)=3.71\ 5$; $\alpha(P)=0.405\ 6$ $\alpha(L)=265\ 4$; $\alpha(M)=71.1\ 10$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp}) \sim 380$ (1988St10).
(48.6 [‡])		4581.4+x		4532.8+x					
(≤ 50 [‡])		5928.9+y	$(55/2^+)$						
54.3 [#] 2	$\approx 1^a$	3495.5+x	$43/2^-$	3441.17+x	$39/2^-$	E2		148.8 21	$\alpha(L)=109.9\ 15$; $\alpha(M)=29.5\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=7.66\ 11$; $\alpha(O)=1.541\ 22$; $\alpha(P)=0.1685\ 24$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=160\ 70$ (1988St10).
(65.1 [‡])		2186.73+x	$31/2^-$	2121.62+x	$(27/2)$				
68.2 [#] 2	7.6 ^a 7	2984.03+x	$33/2^+$	2915.82+x	$33/2^+$	M1+E2	0.23 +6-8	9.9 12	$\alpha(L)=7.5\ 9$; $\alpha(M)=1.83\ 24$ $\alpha(N)=0.48\ 6$; $\alpha(O)=0.102\ 12$; $\alpha(P)=0.0140\ 13$ Mult., δ : From $\alpha(\text{exp})=9.8\ 11$ (1988St10).
(81.9 [‡])		1745.93		1664.02	$21/2^+$				
(99.0 [‡])		2739.83+x	$31/2^-$	2640.83+x					
113.5 [#] 2	3 1	3029.35+x	$37/2^+$	2915.82+x	$33/2^+$	E2		4.85 7	$A_2/A_0=+0.15\ 10$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.365\ 5$; $\alpha(L)=3.31\ 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.891\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.2318\ 32$; $\alpha(O)=0.0468\ 7$; $\alpha(P)=0.00521\ 7$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=5.9\ 16$ (1988St10).
(125.0 [‡] 2)	<1	4048.0+x	$(45/2^-)$	3923.0+x	$(43/2^-)$				
128.4 [#] 2	3.4 ^a 4	3623.9+x		3495.5+x	$43/2^-$				
135.0 2	274 5	1664.02	$21/2^+$	1529.03	$17/2^+$	E2		2.351 33	$A_2/A_0=0.07\ 1$ (1988St10) $A_2=+0.085\ 12$; $A_4=-0.008\ 23$ (1988Fu10) $A_2/A_0=+0.03\ 5$; $A_4/A_0=-0.04\ 8$ (1983Lo16 – for doublet) $\alpha(N)=0.1047\ 15$; $\alpha(O)=0.02118\ 30$; $\alpha(P)=0.002377\ 33$ $\alpha(K)=0.326\ 5$; $\alpha(L)=1.495\ 21$; $\alpha(M)=0.402\ 6$ E γ : Others: 135.3 2 (1989Lo02), 135.1 (1988Fu10), 131.3 (1983Lo16). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=2.46\ 4$ (1988St10).
139.8 [#] 2	2.3 ^a 4	3441.17+x	$39/2^-$	3301.36+x					

(HI,xny) (continued)

 $\gamma(^{213}\text{Rn})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	a^c	Comments
165.2 2	4 1	5928.9+x	(53/2,55/2)	5763.7+x	(53/2,55/2)	M1	3.15 4	$\alpha(K)=2.55\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.461\ 6; \alpha(M)=0.1094\ 15$ $\alpha(N)=0.0285\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.00624\ 9; \alpha(P)=0.000911\ 13$ $E_\gamma:$ Other: 165.7 2 (1989Lo02). Mult., δ : From $\alpha(\text{exp})=3$ 1 (1988St10). $\delta=0.3\ 8$ using the BriceMixing code.
184.7# 2	2.0 5	2257.5		2072.82				$A_2/A_0=-0.06\ 28$ (1988St10)
191.1# 2	4.4 8	896.09	15/2-	705.00	11/2+	M2	9.96 14	$\alpha(K)=6.95\ 10; \alpha(L)=2.242\ 31; \alpha(M)=0.575\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.1518\ 21; \alpha(O)=0.0329\ 5; \alpha(P)=0.00464\ 6$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=9.9\ 13$ (1988St10).
192.6# 2	29 1	1856.63+x	25/2+	1664.02+x	25/2+	M1	2.045 29	$A_2/A_0=0.40\ 6$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=1.653\ 23; \alpha(L)=0.298\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.0708\ 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.01846\ 26; \alpha(O)=0.00404\ 6; \alpha(P)=0.000590\ 8$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=2.5\ 3$ (1988St10).
197.3# 2	6.0 ^a 7	2984.03+x	33/2+	2786.73+x	29/2+			
216.9# 2	8.2 ^a 12	1745.93		1529.03	17/2+			
217.5# 2	13 ^a 2	4723.1+x		4505.6+x	49/2+			
218.7# 2	≈ 1	2007.43		1788.73				
233.4# 2	9 1	1936.9		1703.5?				
238.8# 2	1.5 12	2915.82+x	33/2+	2677.00+x	29/2+			
244.2# 2	20 5	2984.03+x	33/2+	2739.83+x	31/2-	(E1)	0.0535 7	$A_2/A_0=-0.15\ 4$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.0432\ 6; \alpha(L)=0.00788\ 11; \alpha(M)=0.001869\ 26$ $\alpha(N)=0.000483\ 7; \alpha(O)=0.0001029\ 14; \alpha(P)=1.403\times 10^{-5}\ 20$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})<0.3$, $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.0\ 3$ in 1988St10 .
259.4# 2	$\approx 2^a$	3441.17+x	39/2-	3181.81+x	(35/2-)			
259.7# 2	$\approx 1^a$	1788.73		1529.03	17/2+			
261.5# 2	$\approx 1^a$	2007.43		1745.93				
266.0# 2	$\approx 3^a$	3181.81+x	(35/2-)	2915.82+x	33/2+			
269.4# 2	15 1	1529.03	17/2+	1259.62	13/2+	E2	0.1922 27	$A_2/A_0=0.01\ 6$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.0870\ 12; \alpha(L)=0.0780\ 11; \alpha(M)=0.02060\ 29$ $\alpha(N)=0.00536\ 8; \alpha(O)=0.001100\ 15; \alpha(P)=0.0001304\ 18$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.18\ 16$, deduced from intensity balance (1988St10).
272.0# 2	5 1	3301.36+x		3029.35+x	37/2+			$A_2/A_0=-0.26\ 15$ (1988St10)
272.9# 2	1.7 5	1936.9		1664.02	21/2+			
307.0# 2	5 3	2984.03+x	33/2+	2677.00+x	29/2+			
314.5# 2	6 1	1574.1		1259.62	13/2+			
330.1# 2	9 1	2186.73+x	31/2-	1856.63+x	25/2+	(E3)	0.552 8	$\alpha(K)=0.1470\ 21; \alpha(L)=0.298\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.0815\ 11$

(HI,xny) (continued)

 $\gamma(^{213}\text{Rn})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ	α^c	Comments
343.4# 2	8 2	2007.43		1664.02	21/2 ⁺				$\alpha(N)=0.02137\ 30; \alpha(O)=0.00439\ 6; \alpha(P)=0.000519\ 7$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})<0.5, \alpha(\text{exp})=0.2\ 3$ in 1988St10 .
344.9# 2	54 2	2201.52+x	(27/2 ⁻)	1856.63+x	25/2 ⁺	(E1)		0.02429 34	$A_2/A_0=0.34\ 13$ (1988St10) $A_2/A_0=-0.28\ 3$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.01976\ 28; \alpha(L)=0.00346\ 5; \alpha(M)=0.000817\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.0002112\ 30; \alpha(O)=4.54\times 10^{-5}\ 6;$ $\alpha(P)=6.30\times 10^{-6}\ 9$ Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})<0.17$ (1988St10). $A_2/A_0=-0.39\ 14$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.23\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.048\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.0115\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.00299\ 24; \alpha(O)=0.00065\ 6; \alpha(P)=9.1\times 10^{-5}\ 9$ Mult., δ : From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.23\ 4$, and $\alpha(L)\text{exp}<0.09$ (1988St10). There is disagreement between the multipolarity assignments of 1988St10 and 1989Lo02 . $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.23\ 4$ was deduced, and M1 was suggested by 1988St10 ; from a weak K line, 1989Lo02 deduced E1 multipolarity. However, since the 720.1 γ (parallel to the cascading 350.0 and 370.1 gammas) and the 370.1 γ are (M1), the 350.0 γ probably is not E1.
350.0 2	9 2	5225.6+x	(51/2 ⁺)	4875.6+x	(49/2 ⁺)	M1+E2	0.70 +26-23	0.29 5	
352.8 ^e 2	1.8 7	1612.4?		1259.62	13/2 ⁺				$A_2/A_0=0.33\ 5$ (1988St10)
370.1 2	8 2	4875.6+x	(49/2 ⁺)	4505.6+x	49/2 ⁺	M1		0.337 5	$\alpha(K)=0.273\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.0486\ 7; \alpha(M)=0.01153\ 16$ $\alpha(N)=0.00300\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.000657\ 9; \alpha(P)=9.60\times 10^{-5}\ 13$ E γ : Other measurement: 369.4 (1989Lo02). Mult., δ : From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.22\ 4, \alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.12\ 1$ (1988St10). $\delta=0.00\ 12$ using the BriccMixing code. Intensities measured by 1989Lo02 suggest that the 350.0 γ is stronger, and therefore, should be below the 370.1 γ . However, if the above placement between the above γ switched, then placement of 1053.3 γ from 5928.8+x keV level to this level (1988St10) do not fit the level energy difference. So, 1988St10 level structure is followed by the evaluator. 1053.3 γ is not reported in 1989Lo02 .
383.2# 2	<1	2610.7		2227.5					
390.2# 2	5 1	2327.1		1936.9					$A_2/A_0=-0.18\ 1$ (1988St10)
411.8 2	260 5	3441.17+x	39/2 ⁻	3029.35+x	37/2 ⁺	E1		0.01652 23	$A_2/A_0=-0.29\ 3; A_4/A_0=-0.05\ 5$ (1983Lo16) $\alpha(K)=0.01348\ 19; \alpha(L)=0.002312\ 32; \alpha(M)=0.000545\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001411\ 20; \alpha(O)=3.04\times 10^{-5}\ 4;$ $\alpha(P)=4.26\times 10^{-6}\ 6$ E γ : Others: 412.2 2 (1989Lo02), 411.8 (1988Fu10).

(HI,xny) (continued)

 $\gamma(^{213}\text{Rn})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	a^c	Comments
420.2 [#] 2	<1	4343.2+x		3923.0+x	(43/2 ⁻)			Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.035$ 4, and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.005$ 1 (1988St10).
427.5 [#] 2	16 3	3923.0+x	(43/2 ⁻)	3495.5+x	43/2 ⁻	M1	0.2282 32	$A_2/A_0=0.26$ 7 (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.1850$ 26; $\alpha(L)=0.0328$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00778$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.002028$ 28; $\alpha(O)=0.000444$ 6; $\alpha(P)=6.49\times10^{-5}$ 9 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.19$ 3, and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.08$ 2 (1988St10).
431.9 [#] 2	13 1	3927.4+x		3495.5+x	43/2 ⁻			
445.5 [#] 2	$\approx 1^a$	4050.3+x		3604.8+x				
454.1 [#] 2	13 2	2640.83+x		2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻			$A_2/A_0=0.0$ 1 (1988St10)
457.6 ^d 2	30 5	2121.62+x	(27/2)	1664.02+x	25/2 ⁺			
457.6 ^d 2	<3	4505.6+x	49/2 ⁺	4048.0+x	(45/2 ⁻)			I_γ : Determined by 1988St10 from coincidence spectra. E_γ : Other: 456.9 2 (1989Lo02). This transition was placed on the level scheme only once by 1989Lo02 .
460.5 [#] 2	4 1	2662.0+x		2201.52+x	(27/2 ⁻)			
483.0 [#] 2	5 1	2684.5+x		2201.52+x	(27/2 ⁻)			
490.2 [#] 2	13 1	2677.00+x	29/2 ⁺	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻	D+Q		$A_2/A_0=-0.15$ 8 (1988St10) Mult.: from A_2/A_0 .
520.3 [#] 2	8 ^a 2	2184.3		1664.02	21/2 ⁺			$A_2/A_0=0.130$ 6 (1988St10)
522.7 2	480 10	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻	1664.02+x	25/2 ⁺	E3	0.1073	$A_2/A_0=+0.00$ 2; $A_4/A_0=+0.00$ 4 (1983Lo16) $A_2=+0.148$ 11; $A_4=+0.017$ 18 (1988Fu10) $\alpha(K)=0.0536$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0398$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.01055$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.00276$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000575$ 8; $\alpha(P)=7.16\times10^{-5}$ 10 E_γ : Others: 521.7 2 (1989Lo02) and 522.7 (1988Fu10), 521.7 (1983Lo16). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.060$ 2, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.036$ 2, and $\alpha(M)\exp=0.013$ 1 (1988St10). Polarization amplitude=-0.01 4 (1988Fu10).
533.4 ^{#e} 2		4581.4+x		4048.0+x	(45/2 ⁻)			E_γ : From decay scheme of 1988St10 ; this transition was not listed by the authors.
537.5 2	18 2	2201.52+x	(27/2 ⁻)	1664.02+x	25/2 ⁺	(E1)	0.00951 13	$\alpha(K)=0.00780$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001301$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.000306$ 4 $\alpha(N)=7.92\times10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=1.713\times10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(P)=2.424\times10^{-6}$ 34 E_γ : Other: 536.7 2 (1989Lo02).
538.1 2	10 ^a 1	5763.7+x	(53/2,55/2)	5225.6+x	(51/2 ⁺)			E_γ : Other: 536.7 2 (1989Lo02).
543.7 [#] 2	2 ^a 1	2072.82		1529.03	17/2 ⁺			$\alpha(K)=0.0934$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.01646$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.00390$ 5
552.5 2	47 4	4048.0+x	(45/2 ⁻)	3495.5+x	43/2 ⁻	M1	0.1150 16	$\alpha(N)=0.001015$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.0002223$ 31; $\alpha(P)=3.25\times10^{-5}$ 5 E_γ : Other: 551.8 2 (1989Lo02). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.12$ 2 (1988St10). The $\alpha(K)\exp$ value is presented for 553 γ with a multipolarity M1 in Table 3 (1988St10).

(HI,xny) (continued)

 $\gamma^{(213)\text{Rn}} \text{ (continued)}$

$E_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	α^c	Comments
553.1# 2	26 4	2739.83+x	31/2 ⁻	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻	M1	0.1147 16	Evaluator presents the same $\alpha(K)$ exp value and M1 multipolarity for both the 552.5 γ and 553.1 γ from (45/2 ⁻) state at 4048.0+x and 31/2 ⁻ state at 2737.4+x levels, respectively. $\alpha(K)=0.0931$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.01641$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.00389$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.001012$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.0002216$ 31; $\alpha(P)=3.24\times 10^{-5}$ 5 Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.12 2 (1988St10). The $\alpha(K)$ exp value is presented for 553 γ with a multipolarity M1 in Table 3 (1988St10). Evaluator presents the same $\alpha(K)$ exp value and M1 multipolarity for both the 552.5 γ and 553.1 γ from (45/2 ⁻) state at 4048.0+x and 31/2 ⁻ state at 2737.4+x levels, respectively.
563.5# 2	28 1	2227.5		1664.02	21/2 ⁺			$A_2/A_0=-0.11$ 7 (1988St10)
575.5# 2	12 3	3604.8+x		3029.35+x	37/2 ⁺			$A_2/A_0=-0.05$ 10 (1988St10)
609.8# 2	7 3	4532.8+x		3923.0+x	(43/2 ⁻)			$A_2/A_0=-0.19$ 6 (1988St10)
632.9 2	95×10^1 10	1529.03	17/2 ⁺	896.09	15/2 ⁻	E1	0.00688 10	$A_2/A_0=-0.112$ 24 (1988St10) $A_2/A_0=-0.15$ 5; $A_4/A_0=+0.12$ 10 (1983Lo16) $A_2=-0.095$ 6; $A_4=+0.010$ 11 (1988Fu10) $\alpha(K)=0.00566$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000930$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.0002182$ 31 $\alpha(N)=5.65\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=1.225\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=1.745\times 10^{-6}$ 24 E_γ : Others: 631.7 2 (1989Lo02), 632.7 (1988Fu10), 631.7 (1983Lo16). Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.008 1, $\alpha(L)$ exp=0.0014 2, and $\alpha(M)$ exp<0.0009 (1988St10). Polarization amplitude=-0.01 2 (1988Fu10).
705.0# 2	770 5	705.00	11/2 ⁺	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)	M1	0.0604 8	$A_2/A_0=-0.03$ 2 (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.0491$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00860$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.002035$ 28 $\alpha(N)=0.000530$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.0001160$ 16; $\alpha(P)=1.697\times 10^{-5}$ 24 Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.040 3 and $\alpha(L)$ exp=0.013 2 (1988St10). $\alpha(K)=0.0465$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00813$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.001924$ 27 $\alpha(N)=0.000501$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.0001097$ 15; $\alpha(P)=1.605\times 10^{-5}$ 22 E_γ : Other: 718.9 2 (1989Lo02). Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.041 4 (1988St10).
720.1 2	10 3	5225.6+x	(51/2 ⁺)	4505.6+x	49/2 ⁺	(M1)	0.0572 8	$\alpha(K)=0.0465$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00813$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.001924$ 27 $\alpha(N)=0.000501$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.0001097$ 15; $\alpha(P)=1.605\times 10^{-5}$ 22 E_γ : Other: 718.9 2 (1989Lo02). Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.041 4 (1988St10).
729.1 2	115 6	2915.82+x	33/2 ⁺	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻	E1	0.00525	$\alpha(K)=0.00433$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000703$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.0001645$ 23 $\alpha(N)=4.26\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=9.26\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=1.324\times 10^{-6}$ 19 E_γ : Others: 727.8 2 (1989Lo02) and 728.7 (1988Fu10). Mult.: there are disagreements between the experimental Ice's and the angular distributions measured by 1988St10 and by 1989Lo02 : $\alpha(K)$ exp<0.0065, $\alpha(L)$ exp=0.004 1 (1988St10), $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.019 (1989Lo02); angular distribution: $A_2/A_0=-0.22$ 2 (1988St10), -0.02 4 (1989Lo02).
767.9 2	10 1	1664.02	21/2 ⁺	896.09	15/2 ⁻	(E3)	0.0365 5	$A_2/A_0=0.4$ 1 (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.02367$ 33; $\alpha(L)=0.00961$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.002468$ 35

(HI,xny) (continued)

 $\gamma(^{213}\text{Rn})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger @}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	a^c	Comments
797.3 2	256 5	2984.03+x	33/2 ⁺	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻	E1	0.00444 6	$\alpha(N)=0.000645 9$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001362 19$; $\alpha(P)=1.781\times 10^{-5} 25$ E_γ : Other: 767.6 (1988Fu10). Mult.: E3 is expected from the level scheme; angular distribution is consistent with this multipolarity; no conversion electron line was observed. $A_2/A_0=-0.168 8$ (1988St10) $A_2/A_0=-0.01 2$; $A_4/A_0=-0.02 2$ (1983Lo16) $\alpha(K)=0.00367 5$; $\alpha(L)=0.000591 8$; $\alpha(M)=0.0001383 19$ $\alpha(N)=3.58\times 10^{-5} 5$; $\alpha(O)=7.79\times 10^{-6} 11$; $\alpha(P)=1.117\times 10^{-6} 16$ E_γ : Comparable 795.5 γ from (37/2) level as (E3) in 1983Lo16 . E_γ : Others: 795.8 2 (1989Lo02), 797.2 (1988Fu10), 795.5 (1983Lo16). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0048 4$ and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0013 3$ (1988St10). $\alpha(K)=0.0209 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.00788 11$; $\alpha(M)=0.00202 3$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000653 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.000527 8$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001114 16$; $\alpha(P)=1.468\times 10^{-5} 21$ Mult.: E3 from $A_2/A_0=0.29 5$, $A_4/A_0=0.28 4$; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.027$ (1989Lo02).
815.0 2	$\approx 100 @$	6743.90+y		5928.9+y	(55/2 ⁺)			$\alpha(K)=0.0209 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.00788 11$; $\alpha(M)=0.00202 3$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000653 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.000527 8$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001114 16$; $\alpha(P)=1.468\times 10^{-5} 21$ Mult.: E3 from $A_2/A_0=0.29 5$, $A_4/A_0=0.28 4$; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.027$ (1989Lo02).
842.6 ^{#e} 2	$5^a 1$	3029.35+x	37/2 ⁺	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻			Placement of 842.6 γ between the 37/2 ⁺ state at 3029.3+x and the 31/2 ⁻ state at 2186.7+x keV requires the 842.6 γ to be an E3 transition, with $B(E3)(W.u.)=0.7 4$.
896.1 2	1000	896.09	15/2 ⁻	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)	E3	0.02500 35	$A_2=+0.155 7$; $A_4=+0.009 11$ (1988Fu10) $A_2/A_0=0.098 9$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.01723 24$; $\alpha(L)=0.00582 8$; $\alpha(M)=0.001476 21$ $\alpha(N)=0.000386 5$; $\alpha(O)=8.19\times 10^{-5} 11$; $\alpha(P)=1.091\times 10^{-5} 15$ E_γ : Others: 894.5 2 (1989Lo02), 896.0 (1988Fu10), 894.5 (1983Lo16). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.016 1$, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0059 4$, and $\alpha(M)\exp=0.0016 2$ (1988St10). Polarization amplitude=-0.04 2 (1988Fu10).
905.4 2	$60 @ 18$	8831.8+y		7926.4+y				E_γ : From 1989Lo02 . Mult.: (E3) from $A_2/A_0=0.34 7$, $A_4/A_0=0.41 10$; $\alpha(K)\exp\leq 0.024$ (1989Lo02).
907.4 ^e 2	$5 1$	1612.4?		705.00	11/2 ⁺			E_γ : Other: 905.4 (1989Lo02). This transition was placed by 1989Lo02 to deexcite a level at 8724 + Δ' keV, 8831.8+y in this dataset.
930.1 [#] 2	$12 1$	2786.73+x	29/2 ⁺	1856.63+x	25/2 ⁺			$A_2/A_0=0.0 1$ (1988St10)
995.1 [#] 2	$35 4$	3181.81+x	(35/2 ⁻)	2186.73+x	31/2 ⁻	(E2)	0.00821 11	$A_2/A_0=0.13 5$ (1988St10) $\alpha(N)=8.58\times 10^{-5} 12$; $\alpha(O)=1.846\times 10^{-5} 26$; $\alpha(P)=2.57\times 10^{-6} 4$ $\alpha(K)=0.00641 9$; $\alpha(L)=0.001361 19$; $\alpha(M)=0.000330 5$
1010.1 2	$51 4$	4505.6+x	49/2 ⁺	3495.5+x	43/2 ⁻	E3	0.01891 26	$A_2/A_0=0.47 2$ (1988St10) $\alpha(K)=0.01352 19$; $\alpha(L)=0.00405 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.001016 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.000265 4$; $\alpha(O)=5.66\times 10^{-5} 8$; $\alpha(P)=7.64\times 10^{-6} 11$

(HI,xny) (continued) **$\gamma(^{213}\text{Rn})$ (continued)**

$E_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	Comments
1013.0 [#] 2	11 4	2677.00+x	29/2 ⁺	1664.02+x	25/2 ⁺	Q	E_γ : Other: 1008.1 2 (1989Lo02). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0155$ 12, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0049$ 5, and $\alpha(M)\exp=0.0014$ 3 (1988St10). $A_2/A_0=0.10$ 9 (1988St10) Mult.: from A_2/A_0 by the evaluator.
1053.3 [#] 2	3 ^a 1	5928.9+x	(53/2,55/2)	4875.6+x	(49/2 ⁺)		
1174.4 [#] 2	17 3	1879.4		705.00	11/2 ⁺		
1176.8 [#] 2	16 3	2072.82		896.09	15/2 ⁻		$A_2/A_0=0.14$ 10 (1988St10)
1182.5 2	≈80 [@]	7926.4+y		6743.90+y			$\alpha(K)=0.00986$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.00258$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000641$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000209$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0001672$ 24; $\alpha(O)=3.58\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=4.92\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(IPF)=7.24\times 10^{-7}$ 11 E_γ : From 1989Lo02 . Mult.: (E3) from $A_2/A_0=0.36$ 2, $A_4/A_0=0.02$ 3; $\alpha(K)\exp\leq 0.025$ (1989Lo02). E_γ : Other: 1255.9 2 (1989Lo02).
1258.1 2	16 3	5763.7+x	(53/2,55/2)	4505.6+x	49/2 ⁺		
1259.6 [#] 2	24 3	1259.62	13/2 ⁺	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)		
1423.3 [#] 2	3 ^a 1	5928.9+x	(53/2,55/2)	4505.6+x	49/2 ⁺		

[†] From [1988St10](#), unless noted otherwise. $\Delta E\gamma=0.2$ keV listed by evaluator based on the e-mail communications (dated: Feb 3, 2022) with the first author, A.E.Stuchbery, of [1988St10](#). The reported $E\gamma$ in [1988St10](#) and [1988Fu10](#), and those of [1989Lo02](#) are discrepant. However, the data reported in [1988St10](#) and [1988Fu10](#) are consistent.

[‡] From level energy difference. Transition was not observed; existence proposed from coincidence data.

[#] Transition was not seen by others.

[@] From [1989Lo02](#).

[&] Relative singles intensity measured in $^{208}\text{Pb}(57\text{-MeV} \ ^9\text{Be},4\text{n})$ by [1988St10](#) and normalized to $I\gamma(896)=1000$.

^a From coincidence data ([1988St10](#)).

^b From conversion electron measurements by [1989Lo02](#) and [1988St10](#), angular distribution measurements of [1989Lo02](#), [1988St10](#), [1988Fu10](#), and [1983Lo16](#).

^c [Additional information 3](#).

^d Multiply placed.

^e Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



