

(HI,xn $\gamma$ )

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 181, 475 (2022)	1-Jan-2022

**2010Da04:**  $^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{}^9\text{Be},\text{X})$ , E=44.0, 50.0. 60.0 MeV, – measured fusion cross section.

The decay scheme of levels below E $\leq$ 2538 keV was constructed by [1971MaXH](#) from their  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidence data, and it was confirmed by [1976Ha37](#). The main cascade of strongly populated levels above 2538 keV and up to 6573 keV was added by [1979Ho06](#) from their coincidence data; the levels above 6573-keV and the weakly populated side cascades were built by [1986By01](#) and [1989By01](#). Delayed and out-of-beam coincidences were also taken by [1989By01](#), designed to study the high energy isomeric state. The placements of 1259.1 and 563.3 gammas to feed the 6725-keV level were based on the coincidences observed with the 621.8-keV  $\gamma$  ([1991ByZZ](#)). The level scheme shown in [1989By01](#) is presented here.

**2011Ka30:** Pt( $^{36}\text{S},\text{X}$ ), E=5.96 MeV/nucleon and W( $^{48}\text{Ca},\text{X}$ ), E=5.41 MeV/nucleon – measured differential cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ .

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\text{}^{14}\text{N}, 5n\gamma)$	E=94 MeV, pulsed beam	<a href="#">1974Re09</a>
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{}^{12}\text{C}, 4n\gamma)$	E=71 $^-$ to 80-MeV pulsed beams	<a href="#">1976Ha37</a>
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{}^{13}\text{C}, 5n\gamma)$	E=72 $^-$ to 86-MeV pulsed beams	<a href="#">1979Ho06</a>
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{}^{12}\text{C}, 4n\gamma)$ , $^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{}^{13}\text{C}, 5n\gamma)$	E=77–96 MeV; and	
$^{198}\text{Pt}(\text{}^{19}\text{F}, 5n\gamma)$	E=102 MeV, pulsed beam	<a href="#">1986By01</a>
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{}^{13}\text{C}, 5n\gamma)$	E=90 MeV, pulsed beam	<a href="#">1989By01</a>
$^{238}\text{U}$ fragmentation at E/A=900 MeV		<a href="#">2006Po01</a>
	Other:	<a href="#">1971MaXH</a> .

 $^{213}\text{Fr}$  Levels

E(level) $^\dagger$	J $\pi$ $^\ddagger$	T $_{1/2}$ $^\#$	Comments
0.0	9/2 $^-$	34.17 s 6	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+1}$ ). T $_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels.
1188.80 10	13/2 $^-$	<2.1 ns	J $^\pi$ : Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 2 $^+$ .
1411.00 15	17/2 $^-$	18 ns 1	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 4 $^+$ . T $_{1/2}$ : Other: T $_{1/2}\leq$ 60 ns – measured by delayed coincidence method ( <a href="#">1976Ha37</a> ). g(1411 level)=0.88 16 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ).
1590.40 18	21/2 $^-$	505 ns 20	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 6 $^+$ . %Isomeric production ratio=22 2 ( <a href="#">2013Ba29</a> ), E=1 GeV/nucleon, from $^{238}\text{U}$ fragmentation. T $_{1/2}$ : Weighted average of 499 ns 21 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ) and 510 ns 20 ( <a href="#">1976Ha37</a> ). Uncertainty is the lower input value. Other: $\approx$ 1 $\mu\text{s}$ ( <a href="#">1971MaXH</a> ). g(1590 level)=0.888 3 ( <a href="#">1977Be56,1976Ha37</a> ); 0.888 4 ( <a href="#">1979Ho06</a> ); 0.89 2 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ).
1856.30 20	23/2 $^-$	<1.4 ns	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+2}, f_{7/2}^{+1}$ ).
2537.61 23	29/2 $^+$	238 ns 6	Q=-0.70 7 Q: From <a href="#">1990By03</a> , deduced using the B(E2) value for the 8 $^+$ to 6 $^+$ transition in $^{212}\text{Rn}$ and an effective charge of 1.5e. Other: Q=0.81 4 was obtained by <a href="#">1990Ha30</a> by level mixing spectroscopy. Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+2}, i_{13/2}^{+1}$ ). %Isomeric production ratio=23 2 ( <a href="#">2013Ba29</a> ), E=1 GeV/nucleon, from $^{238}\text{U}$ fragmentation; and Isomeric population ratio %R $_{\text{exp}}$ =12 8 ( <a href="#">2006Po01</a> ), E=900 MeV/nucleon. T $_{1/2}$ : From <a href="#">1976Ha37</a> . Others: 243 ns 21 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ) and $\approx$ 0.5 $\mu\text{s}$ ( <a href="#">1971MaXH</a> ). g(2537 level)=1.0494 18 ( <a href="#">1977Be56,1976Ha37</a> ), 1.04 2 ( <a href="#">1974Re09</a> ), 1.055 5 ( <a href="#">1989By01</a> ) by $\gamma$ (H, $\theta$ ,t).
2740.2 3	27/2 $^-$	<7 ns	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+2}, f_{7/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 2 $^+$ .
2950.5 3	31/2 $^-$	<2.1 ns	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+2}, f_{7/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 4 $^+$ .
3427.34 24	33/2 $^+$	<2.1 ns	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+2}, i_{13/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 2 $^+$ .
3489.2 4	33/2		
3655.4 4	37/2 $^+$	2.4 ns 7	Configuration: $\pi$ ( $h_{9/2}^{+2}, i_{13/2}^{+1}$ ) $\otimes$ 4 $^+$ . T $_{1/2}$ : Other: 4.1 ns ( <a href="#">1979Ho06</a> ).
4029.2 5			
4082.9 4	39/2 $^+$	<1.4 ns	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)** $^{213}\text{Fr}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	Comments
4653.6? 11			
4675.4 4		<2.1 ns	
4695.9 4	39/2 <sup>-</sup>	<2.1 ns	
4898.5 4	41/2 <sup>-</sup>	<2.8 ns	
4982.0 6			
4992.7 4	45/2 <sup>-</sup>	13 ns 2	$\mu=23.2$ 7 J <sup>π</sup> : 909.8 $\gamma$ E3 to 39/2 <sup>+</sup> state, 94.4 $\gamma$ E2 to 41/2 <sup>-</sup> state. g(4993 level)=0.990 25 (1979Ho06), 1.03 3 (1986By01) by $\gamma$ (H, $\theta$ ,t). Configuration: $\pi$ (h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+3</sup> , i <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>+2</sup> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : Other: 13.5 ns (1979Ho06). $\mu$ : From 1986By01 (time-differential perturbed angular distribution (TDPAD) measurements).
5001.9 5			
5220.2 5			
5506.3 4	43/2 <sup>-</sup>	<2.1 ns	
5785.9 4	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	<1.4 ns	
5814.8 5	(45/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
5951.5 5			
6102.7 6	49/2 <sup>-</sup>		
6334.1 5			
6572.9 4	49/2 <sup>+</sup>	<2.1 ns	T <sub>1/2</sub> : Other: 7 ns (1979Ho06).
6715.3 5	53/2 <sup>+</sup>	6.2 ns 14	Configuration: $\pi$ ([h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+4</sup> , i <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ]37/2 <sup>+</sup> ) $\nu$ ([p <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> , j <sub>15/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ]8 <sup>+</sup> ). J <sup>π</sup> : Assignment based on 9.2 $\gamma$ M1 to 53/2 <sup>+</sup> state (1989By01). T <sub>1/2</sub> : The half-life 6.2 ns 14 was determined by 1989By01 from the 142- and 929-keV $\gamma$ 's deexciting the 6715-keV level. Since the 621.8 $\gamma$ was observed in coincidence with the 1259.1 $\gamma$ (from 7983.6) and 563.3 $\gamma$ (from 7288.0), T <sub>1/2</sub> (6724-keV level) is short. The same half-life of 6.2 ns 14 was assigned to both 6715- and 6724-keV levels.
6724.5 7	55/2 <sup>+</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : From Adopted Levels.
6803.0 8	(55/2)		
6812.8 6			
7135.0 8			
7247.5 8			
7288.0 7	57/2 <sup>+</sup>	<2.1 ns	
7374.4 8	(57/2,59/2)		E(level): From Adopted Levels.
7541.8 7	(57/2)		E(level): From Adopted Levels.
7723.7 7	59/2 <sup>+</sup>		Configuration: $\pi$ ([h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+3</sup> , i <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> , f <sub>7/2</sub> ]39/2 <sup>+</sup> ) $\nu$ ([p <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>-2</sup> , g <sub>9/2</sub> , i <sub>11/2</sub> ]10 <sup>+</sup> ).
7983.6 7	61/2 <sup>-</sup>	<3.5 ns	J <sup>π</sup> : 1259 $\gamma$ E3 to 55/2 <sup>+</sup> state. Possible configuration: $\pi$ ([h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+3</sup> , i <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>+2</sup> ]45/2 <sup>-</sup> ) $\nu$ ([p <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> , j <sub>15/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ]8 <sup>+</sup> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 1989By01.
8094.9 7	65/2 <sup>-</sup>	3.1 $\mu$ s 2	$\mu=22.5$ 2; Q=-2.19 53 Configuration: $\pi$ ([h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+3</sup> , i <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>+2</sup> ]45/2 <sup>-</sup> ) $\nu$ ([p <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>-2</sup> , g <sub>9/2</sub> , i <sub>11/2</sub> ]10 <sup>+</sup> ). $\mu$ : From 1989By01 (time-differential perturbed angular distribution (TDPAD) measurements). Q: From 1991Ha02, relative to Q(29/2 <sup>+</sup> state)=-0.70 7. In 1990Ha30, Q=2.51 51 was obtained by level mixing spectroscopy, a g-factor of 0.695 7 was used.

<sup>†</sup> Deduced by the evaluator from a least squares fit to the  $\gamma$ -ray energies. E $\gamma$  related to uncertain placement and expected ones were ignored.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1989By01. The assignments were based on the  $\gamma$ -ray transition multiplicities, determined by the  $\gamma$ -ray angular distributions and conversion electron, linear polarization measurements of 1979Ho06, transition strengths, and shell states in neighboring nuclei.

<sup>#</sup> Obtained by 1986By01 from pulsed-beam, chopped-beam,  $\gamma\gamma$ (t) and n, $\gamma$ (t) measurements, unless otherwise noted.

(Hf,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\alpha^c$	Comments
(9.2 <sup>#</sup> )		6724.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup>	6715.3	53/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1	778 11	$\alpha(M)=584$ 8 $\alpha(N)=153.5$ 21; $\alpha(O)=34.3$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.50$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=0.309$ 4 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})(M1)=828.1$ . Other multiplicities were ruled out by <a href="#">1989By01</a> by considering the transition strength limits deduced from the measured $T_{1/2}=6.2$ ns which was assigned also to the 6724-keV level. 0.21 4< $I_\gamma$ <0.51 6 from intensity balance at the 6724-keV and 6715-keV levels, assuming that the 9.2-keV transition is M1 and the 621.8 $\gamma$ is an E3 transition. An assumption of E1 multipolarity and the intensity balance would yield 10< $I_\gamma$ <24 and 0.002<B(E1)(W.u.)<0.005.
(42.3 <sup>#</sup> ) <sup>x</sup> 60.3 <sup>@</sup> 3		4695.9	39/2 <sup>-</sup>	4653.6?				
(78.4 <sup>#</sup> ) (86.3 <sup>#</sup> ) 94.4 3	4 1	6803.0 7374.4 4992.7	(55/2) (57/2,59/2) 45/2 <sup>-</sup>	6724.5 7288.0 4898.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup> 57/2 <sup>+</sup> 41/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	11.49 24	$A_2=+0.09$ 26 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ) $\alpha(L)=8.46$ 17; $\alpha(M)=2.29$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.601$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.1244$ 26; $\alpha(P)=0.01601$ 33; $\alpha(Q)=3.84\times 10^{-5}$ 7 $I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(94.4\gamma)/I_\gamma(909.7\gamma)=4$ 1/117 2, measured by <a href="#">1986By01</a> . $I_\gamma(94.4\gamma)$ was not listed by <a href="#">1991ByZZ</a> . $\alpha(K)=0.329$ 5; $\alpha(L)=3.92$ 6; $\alpha(M)=1.063$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.279$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.0578$ 9; $\alpha(P)=0.00747$ 12; $\alpha(Q)=2.235\times 10^{-5}$ 34 $A_2=+0.17$ 13 or +0.35 15 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> – contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). Mult.: From $\alpha(\text{exp})=6.2$ 3, determined from the delayed intensity data ( <a href="#">1989By01</a> ).
111.3 2	80.0 24	8094.9	65/2 <sup>-</sup>	7983.6	61/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	5.66 9	$\alpha(K)=0.329$ 5; $\alpha(L)=3.92$ 6; $\alpha(M)=1.063$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.279$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.0578$ 9; $\alpha(P)=0.00747$ 12; $\alpha(Q)=2.235\times 10^{-5}$ 34 $A_2=+0.17$ 13 or +0.35 15 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> – contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). Mult.: From $\alpha(\text{exp})=6.2$ 3, determined from the delayed intensity data ( <a href="#">1989By01</a> ).
(112.2 <sup>#</sup> ) 127.2 4 142.3 3	11.6 22 93 12	7247.5 7374.4 6715.3	(57/2,59/2) 53/2 <sup>+</sup>	7135.0 7247.5 6572.9	49/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	2.027 33	$\alpha(K)=0.292$ 4; $\alpha(L)=1.278$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.345$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0906$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.01883$ 32; $\alpha(P)=0.00245$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=1.083\times 10^{-5}$ 16 $A_2=+0.04$ 10 or +0.22 7 and $A_4=-0.16$ 14 or -0.20 10 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> – contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). Mult.: From total $\alpha<40$ , from intensity balance in <a href="#">1986By01</a> . $\alpha(K)=0.2013$ 28; $\alpha(L)=0.458$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.1234$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.0324$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00675$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.000890$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=5.84\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $A_2=+0.73$ 8 or +0.16 1 and $A_4=-0.01$ 1 or +0.00 3 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> – contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). Mult.: From total $\alpha=0.83$ 18, deduced from delayed intensity measurement ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ).
179.4 1	538 24	1590.40	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	1411.00	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.823 12	$\alpha(K)=0.2013$ 28; $\alpha(L)=0.458$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.1234$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.0324$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00675$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.000890$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=5.84\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $A_2=+0.73$ 8 or +0.16 1 and $A_4=-0.01$ 1 or +0.00 3 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> – contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). Mult.: From total $\alpha=0.83$ 18, deduced from delayed intensity measurement ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> ).
182.0 3	42 8	7723.7	59/2 <sup>+</sup>	7541.8	(57/2)	D		$A_2=-0.007$ 272 or -0.23 20 ( <a href="#">1986By01</a> – contaminant peaks were

**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)** $\gamma$ (<sup>213</sup>Fr) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^c$	Comments
									comparable > 1/3). The angular distribution suggests a dipole character for the 182.5 $\gamma$ . $\alpha$ (E1)=0.1106, $\alpha$ (M1)=2.616.
202.8 <sup>d</sup> 4 210.4 3	<43	2740.2 2950.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup> 31/2 <sup>-</sup>	2537.61 2740.2	29/2 <sup>+</sup> 27/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)		0.462 7	$A_2=+0.29$ 79; $A_4=-0.05$ 11 (1986By01) $\alpha$ (K)=0.1474 21; $\alpha$ (L)=0.2319 35; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0622 9 $\alpha$ (N)=0.01631 25; $\alpha$ (O)=0.00341 5; $\alpha$ (P)=0.000454 7; $\alpha$ (Q)=3.92 $\times 10^{-6}$ 6 $I_\gamma < 38$ 5 (1991ByZZ).
222.2 1	694 30	1411.00	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1188.80	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		0.382 5	$\alpha$ (K)=0.1318 18; $\alpha$ (L)=0.1845 26; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0494 7 $\alpha$ (N)=0.01295 18; $\alpha$ (O)=0.00271 4; $\alpha$ (P)=0.000362 5; $\alpha$ (Q)=3.43 $\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $A_2=+0.08$ 1 or +0.17 2 and $A_4=+0.00$ 2 or -0.01 2 (1986By01). Mult.: From $\alpha$ (L)exp=0.16 6, $\alpha$ (M)exp=0.048 20 (1986By01).
(227.5 <sup>#</sup> ) 228.1 2	425 26	5220.2 3655.4	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	4992.7 3427.34	45/2 <sup>-</sup> 33/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.349 5	$A_2=+0.293$ 14; $A_4=-0.091$ 19 (1979Ho06) $\alpha$ (K)=0.1248 18; $\alpha$ (L)=0.1655 24; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0443 6 $\alpha$ (N)=0.01161 17; $\alpha$ (O)=0.002433 35; $\alpha$ (P)=0.000325 5; $\alpha$ (Q)=3.22 $\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult.: From $\alpha$ (L)exp=0.12 5, $\alpha$ (M)exp=0.067 23 (1986By01), pol=0.81 45 (1979Ho06). $A_2=+0.22$ 3 or +0.26 6 and $A_4=-0.02$ 4 or -0.09 8 (1986By01).
238 <sup>&amp;</sup> 239.0 4 253.6 4 265.9 1	19 9 131 9 283 13	5220.2 6572.9 7541.8 1856.30	49/2 <sup>+</sup> (57/2) 23/2 <sup>-</sup>	4982.0 6334.1 7288.0 1590.40	57/2 <sup>+</sup> 21/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.9 +11-9	0.60 31	$\alpha$ (N)=0.0074 9; $\alpha$ (O)=0.00161 25; $\alpha$ (P)=0.00024 5; $\alpha$ (Q)=1.0 $\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha$ (K)=0.45 29; $\alpha$ (L)=0.113 20; $\alpha$ (M)=0.028 4 $A_2=-0.11$ 1 or -0.09 2 and $A_4=+0.03$ 1 or +0.01 3. Mult.: From total $\alpha=0.76$ 18 (1986By01).
279.6 2	117 11	5785.9	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	5506.3	43/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		0.1797 25	$\alpha$ (K)=0.0812 11; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0729 10; $\alpha$ (M)=0.01932 28 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00507 7; $\alpha$ (O)=0.001068 15; $\alpha$ (P)=0.0001452 21; $\alpha$ (Q)=1.989 $\times 10^{-6}$ 28 $A_2=+0.19$ 2 or +0.23 4 and $A_4=-0.03$ 3 or +0.01 7 (1986By01 - contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). Mult.: From $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.06 4 (1986By01).
294.1 3 306.5 4 308.3 <sup>‡</sup> 3	17 4 15.4 24 18 6	7541.8 4982.0 5814.8	(57/2) (45/2 <sup>+</sup> )	7247.5 4675.4 5506.3	43/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E1)		0.0322 5	$\alpha$ (E1)=0.0326, $\alpha$ (M1)=0.614, $\alpha$ (E2)=0.136. $\alpha$ (K)=0.0260 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00467 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.001109 16 $A_2=-0.24$ 17; $A_4=-0.08$ 23 (1986By01) $\alpha$ (N)=0.000288 4; $\alpha$ (O)=6.32 $\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha$ (P)=9.66 $\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha$ (Q)=4.53 $\times 10^{-7}$ 6

**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)** $\gamma$ (<sup>213</sup>Fr) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^c$	Comments
316.8 4	24 4	6102.7	49/2 <sup>-</sup>	5785.9	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)		0.34 22	$\alpha(K)=0.26$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.064$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.016$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00411$ 99; $\alpha(O)=9.0\times 10^{-4}$ 24; $\alpha(P)=1.4\times 10^{-4}$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=6.E-6$ 4 $A_2=-0.78$ 3, $A_4=+0.06$ 4 or $A_2=-0.81$ 11 (1986By01). Mult.: the measured large $A_2$ value indicated some quadrupole admixture. Authors' earlier assignment of E1 (1986By01) from conversion electron measurement has been withdrawn (1991ByZZ). Since the ce(K 317 $\gamma$ ) line was contaminated by the ce(M 222 $\gamma$ ), the angular distribution measurements were more reliable for determination of its multipolarity. E1+M2 with any significant amount of M2 admixture is ruled out because of short half-life of the 6102-keV level.
322.2 5 326.3 4	13 4 16 5	7135.0 5001.9		6812.8 4675.4		(D)		0.0283	$A_2=-0.11$ 4, $A_4=-0.02$ 6 or $A_2=-0.09$ 10 (1986By01 – contaminant peaks were comparable > 1/3). $\alpha$ : for E1, as implied by the intensity balance at the 4675-keV level. $\alpha(M1)=0.517$ . The angular distribution is consistent with a dipole transition.
349.5 <sup>‡</sup> 3	75 4	7723.7	59/2 <sup>+</sup>	7374.4	(57/2,59/2)	D			$A_2=-0.41$ 3; $A_4=-0.05$ 5 (1986By01) Mult.: No ce line was listed for this transition. It is assumed that ce lines were weak, suggesting E1 or E2 multipolarity. The angular distribution coefficient listed is in agreement with a dipole character. $\alpha(E1)=0.0243$ .
371.2 <sup>‡</sup> 2	125 10	8094.9	65/2 <sup>-</sup>	7723.7	59/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3		0.372 5	$A_2=+0.03$ 6; $A_4=+0.04$ 8 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.1160$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.1879$ 27; $\alpha(M)=0.0513$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.01356$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.00286$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000392$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=4.99\times 10^{-6}$ 7 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.35$ 7, deduced by 1989By01 (method was not discussed), and E3 multipolarity was assigned. Although $\alpha(\text{exp})$ is also consistent with an M1 transition [ $\alpha(M1)=0.3638$ ], if its placement is correct, $T_{1/2}$ (8094 level) suggests E3 multipolarity.
382.7 <sup>‡</sup> 3	27 3	6334.1		5951.5					$A_2=+0.13$ 6; $A_4=+0.05$ 8 (1986By01) Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.20$ 7 and M1/E2 in 1986By01 but different placement in 1986By01 compared to that in 1989By01.
413.0 2	129 3	2950.5	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	2537.61	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.01695 24	$A_2=-0.222$ 24; $A_4=+0.034$ 34 (1979Ho06) $A_2=-0.16$ 3; $A_4=+0.08$ 5 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.01381$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.002396$ 34; $\alpha(M)=0.000567$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0001475$ 21; $\alpha(O)=3.25\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.03\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.474\times 10^{-7}$ 35 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.047$ 24 (1986By01), $\text{pol}=0.36$ 12 (1979Ho06).
427.5 1	255 11	4082.9	39/2 <sup>+</sup>	3655.4	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.10 3	0.246 4	$A_2=-0.424$ 13; $A_4=+0.023$ 19 (1979Ho06)

**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)** $\gamma$ (<sup>213</sup>Fr) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\alpha^c$	Comments
								$A_2=-0.32$ 2; $A_4=+0.01$ 2 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.1992$ 30; $\alpha(L)=0.0359$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00854$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.002237$ 32; $\alpha(O)=0.000500$ 7; $\alpha(P)=8.02\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(Q)=4.47\times 10^{-6}$ 7 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.28$ 7, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.051$ 15, $\alpha(M)\text{exp}=0.018$ (5) (1986By01), $\text{pol}=-0.32$ 8 (1979Ho06).
435.6 4	8.4 20	7723.7	59/2 <sup>+</sup>	7288.0	57/2 <sup>+</sup>			
469.7 <sup>d</sup> 4		6572.9	49/2 <sup>+</sup>	6102.7	49/2 <sup>-</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from 1986By01; transition was not listed by 1991ByZZ.
476.9 2	137 6	3427.34	33/2 <sup>+</sup>	2950.5	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.01255 18	$A_2=-0.257$ 20; $A_4=+0.059$ 29 (1979Ho06) $A_2=-0.21$ 2; $A_4=+0.05$ 2 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.01025$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.001751$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.000413$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0001077$ 15; $\alpha(O)=2.376\times 10^{-5}$ 33; $\alpha(P)=3.70\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=1.858\times 10^{-7}$ 26 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.047$ (1986By01), $\text{pol}=0.25$ 12 (1979Ho06).
478.7 3	25 4	6812.8		6334.1				
538.7 3	27.8 10	3489.2	33/2	2950.5	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	(D)		$A_2=-0.47$ 6 (1986By01) $\alpha(E1)=0.00981$ , $\alpha(M1)=0.1337$ , $\alpha(E2)=0.0310$ .
540.0 3	17.2 10	4029.2		3489.2	33/2			
545.1 5	<76	5220.2		4675.4				
563.3 3	136 6	7288.0	57/2 <sup>+</sup>	6724.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1	0.1187 17	$A_2=-0.47$ 6; $A_4=+0.23$ 10 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.0961$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.01716$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.00408$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.001068$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.0002388$ 34; $\alpha(P)=3.83\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=2.146\times 10^{-6}$ 30 $A_2=-0.53$ 4 (1986By01) The angular distribution is consistent with a dipole transition; the intensity balance at the 4675-keV level is worse if it is an E1 transition. $\alpha(E1)=0.00812$ , $\alpha(M1)=0.1038$ .
592.5 3	33 7	4675.4		4082.9	39/2 <sup>+</sup>			
594.7 4	30 6	5814.8	(45/2 <sup>+</sup> )	5220.2				
621.8 3	18 6	6724.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup>	6102.7	49/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E3]	0.0681 10	$A_2=+0.19$ 14 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.0384$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.02205$ 31; $\alpha(M)=0.00580$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001529$ 22; $\alpha(O)=0.000328$ 5; $\alpha(P)=4.70\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=1.171\times 10^{-6}$ 16
624.2 <sup>@d</sup> 5		4653.6?		4029.2				
665 <sup>&amp;d</sup>		4695.9	39/2 <sup>-</sup>	4029.2				
681.3 1	439 15	2537.61	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	1856.30	23/2 <sup>-</sup>	E3	0.0529 7	$A_2=+0.20$ 1; $A_4=+0.02$ 1 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.0317$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.01579$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.00412$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.001086$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.0002336$ 33; $\alpha(P)=3.38\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=9.27\times 10^{-7}$ 13 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.046$ 5, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.018$ 2, and $\alpha(M)\text{exp}=0.007$ 1 (1986By01).
695 <sup>&amp;</sup>		7983.6	61/2 <sup>-</sup>	7288.0	57/2 <sup>+</sup>			
738.8 <sup>‡</sup> 3	16.8 20	7541.8	(57/2)	6803.0	(55/2)	D		$A_2=-0.33$ 10 (1986By01)

(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued) $\gamma$ (<sup>213</sup>Fr) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\alpha^c$	Comments
								Mult.: The angular distribution is consistent with dipole character for this transition. $\alpha(E1)=0.00532$ , $\alpha(M1)=0.0580$ . $A_2=+0.26$ 15; $A_4=-0.15$ 21 (1986By01)
758.0 <sup>‡</sup> 4	34 7	6572.9	49/2 <sup>+</sup>	5814.8	(45/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
784.0 4	6 2	5785.9	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	5001.9				
786.9 1	214 20	6572.9	49/2 <sup>+</sup>	5785.9	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.00473 7	$A_2=-0.292$ 53; $A_4=+0.020$ 82 (1979Ho06) $A_2=-0.21$ 2; $A_4=+0.02$ 3 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00390$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000635$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001491$ 21 $\alpha(N)=3.89\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=8.63\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=1.363\times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(Q)=7.27\times 10^{-8}$ 10
793.2 3	254 40	5785.9	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	4992.7	45/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1	0.0481	Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.007$ 2 (1986By01). $A_2=-0.212$ 78; $A_4=+0.048$ 120 (1979Ho06) $A_2=-0.067$ 15; $A_4=+0.04$ 2 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.0391$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00690$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001638$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.000429$ 6; $\alpha(O)=9.60\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=1.541\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(Q)=8.66\times 10^{-7}$ 13 Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.041$ 7, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.0097$ 10 (1986By01), $\text{pol}=-0.52$ 36 (1979Ho06). $\delta=0.00$ 19 using the $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ and $\alpha(L)\text{exp}$ data.
810.2 3	144 8	5506.3	43/2 <sup>-</sup>	4695.9	39/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.01293 18	$A_2=+0.23$ 2; $A_4=+0.03$ 4 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00975$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.002392$ 34; $\alpha(M)=0.000590$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0001545$ 22; $\alpha(O)=3.38\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.12\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.112\times 10^{-7}$ 30
815.6 2	157 9	4898.5	41/2 <sup>-</sup>	4082.9	39/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.00443 6	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0065$ 35 (1986By01). $A_2=-0.229$ 18; $A_4=+0.002$ 26 (1979Ho06) $A_2=-0.24$ 2; $A_4=+0.09$ 3 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00365$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000593$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.0001392$ 19 $\alpha(N)=3.63\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=8.06\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=1.274\times 10^{-6}$ 18; $\alpha(Q)=6.82\times 10^{-8}$ 10
817.7 3	20 6	7541.8	(57/2)	6724.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup>			
884.0 3	31 5	2740.2	27/2 <sup>-</sup>	1856.30	23/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.01087 15	Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.006$ 3 (1986By01), $\text{pol}=0.35$ 15 (1979Ho06). $A_2=+0.22$ 6 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00831$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.001929$ 27; $\alpha(M)=0.000473$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.0001238$ 17; $\alpha(O)=2.71\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=4.14\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=1.787\times 10^{-7}$ 25
889.7 1	300 12	3427.34	33/2 <sup>+</sup>	2537.61	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.01073 15	Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.015$ 6 (1986By01). $A_2=0.311$ 18; $A_4=-0.085$ 29 (1979Ho06) $A_2=+0.24$ 1; $A_4=-0.02$ 2 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00822$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.001899$ 27; $\alpha(M)=0.000465$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.0001219$ 17; $\alpha(O)=2.67\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=4.08\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=1.765\times 10^{-7}$ 25
909.8 2	116 9	4992.7	45/2 <sup>-</sup>	4082.9	39/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3	0.0255 4	Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.011$ 2, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.002$ 1 (1986By01), $\text{pol}=0.53$ 14 (1979Ho06). $A_2=0.538$ 37; $A_4=+0.038$ 51 (1979Ho06) $A_2=+0.43$ 3; $A_4=+0.06$ 4 (1986By01)

**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)** $\gamma$ (<sup>213</sup>Fr) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\alpha^c$	Comments
								$\alpha(K)=0.01750$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.00601$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001530$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.000403$ 6; $\alpha(O)=8.75\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=1.303\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(Q)=4.59\times 10^{-7}$ 6 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.023$ 3, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.006$ 1 (1986By01), $\text{pol}=0.88$ 22 (1979Ho06).
929.5 3	132 26	6715.3	53/2 <sup>+</sup>	5785.9	47/2 <sup>-</sup>	E3	0.02428 34	$A_2=+0.46$ 3; $A_4=+0.03$ 5 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.01676$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.00563$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001429$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.000376$ 5; $\alpha(O)=8.18\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=1.221\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(Q)=4.37\times 10^{-7}$ 6 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.014$ 8, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.0045$ 15 (1986By01).
949.4 <sup>‡</sup> 3	10 4	5951.5		5001.9				$A_2=-0.03$ 13 (1986By01)
959.0 <sup>‡</sup> 3	11 3	5951.5		4992.7	45/2 <sup>-</sup>			$A_2=+0.23$ 14 (1986By01)
<sup>x</sup> 963.4 <sup>@</sup> 5								
998.9 3	12 4	7723.7	59/2 <sup>+</sup>	6724.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1040.3 3	111 11	4695.9	39/2 <sup>-</sup>	3655.4	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.00286 4	$A_2=-0.27$ 3; $A_4=+0.04$ 4 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.002364$ 33; $\alpha(L)=0.000377$ 5; $\alpha(M)=8.83\times 10^{-5}$ 12 $\alpha(N)=2.304\times 10^{-5}$ 32; $\alpha(O)=5.13\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=8.15\times 10^{-7}$ 11; $\alpha(Q)=4.46\times 10^{-8}$ 6 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0017$ 6 (1986By01).
1188.8 1	1000 40	1188.80	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.00616 9	$A_2=+0.041$ 6; $A_4=-0.01$ 1 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00487$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000976$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0002354$ 33 $\alpha(N)=6.16\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=1.360\times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(P)=2.119\times 10^{-6}$ 30; $\alpha(Q)=1.025\times 10^{-7}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=2.65\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0053$ 6, $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.00097$ 10 (1986By01).
1259.1 3	254 12	7983.6	61/2 <sup>-</sup>	6724.5	55/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3	0.01229 17	$A_2=+0.15$ 4; $A_4=+0.00$ 6 (1986By01) $\alpha(K)=0.00916$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.002352$ 33; $\alpha(M)=0.000583$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0001532$ 21; $\alpha(O)=3.36\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.15\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.204\times 10^{-7}$ 31 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=3.31\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.010$ 2 (1986By01).

<sup>†</sup> From 1991ByZZ, except where otherwise noted. See also 1989By01, 1986By01, 1976Ha37, 1977Be56. Other measurements: 1971MaXH, 1974Re09.

<sup>‡</sup> E $\gamma$  placement from 1989By01.

# Transition was not observed; energy from decay scheme.

@ From 1986By01, not listed by 1991ByZZ.

& From level scheme shown in 1989By01; transition was not listed by 1991ByZZ and 1986By01.

<sup>a</sup> Relative photon intensities, measured by 1991ByZZ in a time window 2-9 microseconds after the beam burst. Therefore, these  $I_\gamma$ 's represent relative feedings



**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) (continued)** **$\gamma(^{213}\text{Fr})$  (continued)**

through the 4.5- $\mu\text{s}$  isomer at 8095 keV. See also [1986By01](#).

<sup>b</sup> From conversion electron measurements and angular distribution of the  $\gamma$ -ray ([1986By01](#)), except otherwise noted.

<sup>c</sup> [Additional information 1](#).

<sup>d</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



