

^{217}Ac α decay (740 ns)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 181, 475 (2022)	1-Jan-2022

Parent: ^{217}Ac : E=2012.2 7; $J^\pi=(29/2)^+$; $T_{1/2}=740$ ns 40; $Q(\alpha)=9832$ 10; % α decay=4.51 18

^{217}Ac -E, J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From [2018Ko01](#) (A=217 evaluation).

^{217}Ac -Q(α): From [2021Wa16](#).

^{217}Ac -% α decay: From [2018Ko01](#) (A=217 evaluation).

 ^{213}Fr Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$9/2^-$	34.17 s 6	J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels.
498	$(7/2^-)$		J^π : From dominant $I_\alpha=11$ with respect to $I_\alpha(10540)=100$.
1105	$(13/2)^+$		J^π : 1105 γ M2 to $9/2^-$ g.s. and $I_\alpha(10540)=90$ 6.

 α radiations

$\alpha(\theta)$: [1985De14](#), [1973No09](#).

(α)(γ): [1985De14](#).

E_α	E(level)	I_α #&	HF@	Comments
10540 [†]	1105	90 6	1.67×10^4 16	
11137 [‡] 15	498	7.1 21	2.50×10^6 76	
11625 [‡] 17	0.0	2.7 5	4.21×10^7 84	E_α : Other: 11570 keV (1982SaZO).

[†] Measurement of [1973No09](#).

[‡] Measurement of [1985De14](#). $E_\alpha=9.650$ MeV and $E_\alpha=10.54$ MeV lines were used as calibration energies.

From [1985De14](#).

@ Using $r_0(^{213}\text{Fr})=1.5460$ 27, unweighted average of $r_0(^{212}\text{Rn})=1.5433$ 36 and $r_0(^{214}\text{Ra})=1.5487$ 30 ([2020Si16](#)).

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0451 18.

 $\gamma(^{213}\text{Fr})$

E_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	Comments
498	498	$(7/2^-)$	0.0	$9/2^-$			
1105	1105	$(13/2)^+$	0.0	$9/2^-$	M2	0.0479	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0380$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00747$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00180$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000473$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001056$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.688 \times 10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(\text{Q})=9.26 \times 10^{-7}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=5.71 \times 10^{-8}$ 8 Mult.: from ce (1985De14 – quoted from their Ref. 8).

[†] Additional information 1.

^{217}Ac α decay (740 ns)Decay Scheme