

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 181, 475 (2022)	1-Jan-2022

Q( $\beta^-$ )=-884.6; S(n)=6023.5; S(p)=3499.5; Q( $\alpha$ )=9254.5 [2021Wa16](#)  
 Assignment: daughter of <sup>229</sup>Np, <sup>225</sup>Pa, <sup>221</sup>Ac, and <sup>217</sup>Fr ([1968Ha14](#),[1970Bo13](#)).  
 Induced fission data from <sup>209</sup>Bi( $\alpha$ ,f) reaction were taken, and fission barrier parameters were deduced by [1982Gr21](#), [1982Gr24](#),  
[1983Gr17](#), [1984Gr06](#), [1984Gr13](#), [1984Ig01](#), [1984It01](#), [1985It01](#), [1986Be20](#), [1986It01](#), [1987It03](#), and [1988Gr16](#).  
[2020De36](#): <sup>238</sup>U(<sup>48</sup>Ca,X), E=233.3 MeV; measured multi-nucleon transfer reaction cross section  $\sigma_{\text{cumulative}}=54.0$  nb/sr *I2* for <sup>213</sup>At.  
[2015Ba20](#): <sup>136</sup>Xe + <sup>208</sup>Pb, E(c.m.)=450 MeV, measured multi-nucleon transfer reaction cross section  $\sigma_{\text{cumulative yield}}=0.384$  mb *77* and  $\sigma_{\text{independent yield}}=0.384$  mb *77* for <sup>213</sup>At.  
 See [1972Mo10](#), [1973Ba19](#), [1974Ba87](#), [1977Ha41](#), [1977Pr10](#), [1979Ad07](#), [1979Ig04](#), [1980Ig02](#), [1983Br06](#), [1983Br15](#), [1984Ni09](#), and [1984Ro23](#) for calculations of fission barriers and probabilities for decay by fission. Effective moment of inertia was calculated by [1982Ad01](#).

<sup>213</sup>At Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- A** <sup>217</sup>Fr  $\alpha$  decay
- B** <sup>208</sup>Pb(<sup>7</sup>Li,2n $\gamma$ ), <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>18</sup>O,<sup>14</sup>C $\gamma$ )
- C** <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>7</sup>Li,p2n $\gamma$ ), <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>8</sup>He,4n $\gamma$ )

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $^{\pi}$ <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	125 ns <i>6</i>	<b>ABC</b>	$\% \alpha = 100$ Possible $\% \epsilon$ decay to <sup>213</sup> Po g.s. is expected to be $< 2.5 \times 10^{-12}$ from $\log ft > 5.1$ . J $^{\pi}$ : favored $\alpha$ decay to <sup>209</sup> Bi g.s. (J $^{\pi}$ =9/2 <sup>-</sup> ). Configuration: $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+1})$ . T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <a href="#">1981Bo29</a> . Other measurements: $< 2$ s ( <a href="#">1968Ha14</a> ), 110 ns ( <a href="#">1975LiZH</a> ), 110 ns <i>20</i> ( <a href="#">1970Bo13</a> , <a href="#">1976Da18</a> ). Probability for decay by <sup>8</sup> Be emission relative to $\alpha$ emission was calculated by <a href="#">1986Pi11</a> . See <a href="#">1973Ma52</a> for theoretical calculations of $\alpha$ -decay probabilities. See also <a href="#">1976De25</a> for absolute reduced $\Gamma(\alpha)$ obtained by analyzing <sup>209</sup> Bi( $\alpha$ ) reaction cross sections. $\alpha$ clustering effects were studied by <a href="#">1982Ka37</a> . E $\alpha$ =9080.5 ( <a href="#">1988Hu08</a> ), 9080.12 ( <a href="#">1970Bo13</a> ), 9060.20 ( <a href="#">1968Ha14</a> ). J $^{\pi}$ : 340.5 $\gamma$ (M1,E2) to 9/2 <sup>-</sup> state. Dominant $\pi (f_{7/2}^{+1})$ with possible $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes 2^+$ admixture.
340.5 <i>3</i>	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$\leq 5.5$ ns <i>&amp;</i>	<b>B</b>	J $^{\pi}$ : 724.6 $\gamma$ (E2) to 9/2 <sup>-</sup> state. Possible configuration: $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes 2^+$ .
724.6 <i>3</i>	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$\leq 5.5$ ns <i>&amp;</i>	<b>BC</b>	J $^{\pi}$ : 386.7 $\gamma$ (M1+E2) to (13/2 <sup>-</sup> ) state.
1111.3 <i>5</i>	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$\leq 5.5$ ns <i>&amp;</i>	<b>BC</b>	J $^{\pi}$ : 405 $\gamma$ (E2) to (13/2 <sup>-</sup> ) state. Possible configuration: $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes 4^+$ .
1129.7 <i>5</i>	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$\leq 5.5$ ns <i>&amp;</i>	<b>BC</b>	J $^{\pi}$ : 188.4 $\gamma$ D to (17/2 <sup>-</sup> ) state.
1318.1 <i>6</i>	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$\leq 5.5$ ns <i>&amp;</i>	<b>BC</b>	E(level),J $^{\pi}$ : 1358.23 ( <a href="#">2021Ko07</a> – NUBASE) and 25/2 <sup>-</sup> from systematics ( <a href="#">2021Ko07</a> – NUBASE). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 386.7 $\gamma$ (t) in <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>7</sup> Li,2n $\gamma$ ) ( <a href="#">1980Sj01</a> – also 113 ns <i>10</i> from 405 $\gamma$ (t) measurements).
1318.1+x		110 ns <i>17</i>	<b>B</b>	
1318.1+y	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	85 ns <i>@</i>	<b>C</b>	
1681+y	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>		<b>C</b>	
1838+y	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	82 ns <i>@</i>	<b>C</b>	
2194+y	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>		<b>C</b>	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)**

$^{213}\text{At}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
2570+y	(37/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>		C	
2620+y	(43/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	34.7 <sup>@</sup> ns	C	possible configuration: $\pi$ ([h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+2</sup> ,f <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ] <sub>123/2-</sub> ) $\nu$ ([g <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ,i <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ] <sub>10+</sub> ) (2003LaZZ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : A low-energy (50-keV) unobserved transition was postulated to explain the observed isomer (2003LaZZ – ( <sup>7</sup> Li,p2n $\gamma$ )).
2926+y	(49/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>	45 $\mu$ s 4	C	E(level): 2998 27 (2021Ko07 – NUBASE). possible configuration: $\pi$ ([h <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+2</sup> ,i <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ] <sub>29/2+</sub> ) $\nu$ ([g <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ,i <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>+1</sup> ] <sub>10+</sub> ) (2003LaZZ). 306 $\gamma$ [E3] to 43/2 <sup>-</sup> state. T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 306 $\gamma$ (t) (2003LaZZ – ( <sup>7</sup> Li,p2n $\gamma$ )).

<sup>†</sup> From E $\gamma$ . Energy levels at 1318.1+y keV and above are from <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>7</sup>Li,p2n $\gamma$ ). These level energies are about 235 keV less than the level energy presented in 2003LaZZ. Evaluator labeled these levels with ‘+y’, because placement of some highly converted low energy  $\gamma$ -lines between (27/2<sup>-</sup>) and 19/2<sup>-</sup> states are not clear and the evaluator placed those gammas as unplaced in the <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>7</sup>Li,p2n $\gamma$ ),<sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>8</sup>He,4n $\gamma$ ) dataset.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma$  transition multipolarity, deduced from measured  $\gamma$ -ray angular distribution in <sup>208</sup>Pb(<sup>7</sup>Li,2n $\gamma$ ), except otherwise noted.

<sup>#</sup> From 2003LaZZ (<sup>7</sup>Li,p2n $\gamma$ ), detailed arguments are not available. It appears that the assignment was based on the placement of gamma transitions in the level scheme following the decay of 2626+y isomer (J<sup>π</sup>=(49/2<sup>+</sup>)), shell model calculations, and comparison with a comparable isomer at 4771.4 (J<sup>π</sup>=(25<sup>-</sup>)), T<sub>1/2</sub>=152  $\mu$ s 5, in <sup>212</sup>At.

<sup>@</sup> From time-difference spectra by gating on  $\gamma$ -ray transition above and below the level of interest in <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>7</sup>Li,p2n $\gamma$ ) (2003LaZZ).

& From <sup>208</sup>Pb(<sup>7</sup>Li,2n $\gamma$ ),<sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>18</sup>O,<sup>14</sup>C $\gamma$ ) (1980Sj01).

$\gamma(^{213}\text{At})$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>@</sup>	Comments
340.5	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	340.5 3	100	0.0	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1,E2)	0.24 15	$\alpha$ (K)=0.18 14; $\alpha$ (L)=0.043 14; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0104 28 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00270 72; $\alpha$ (O)=5.7×10 <sup>-4</sup> 17; $\alpha$ (P)=7.3×10 <sup>-5</sup> 28
724.6	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	724.6 3	100	0.0	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)	0.01473	$\alpha$ (K)=0.01106 16; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00278 4; $\alpha$ (M)=0.000683 10 $\alpha$ (N)=0.0001766 25; $\alpha$ (O)=3.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6; $\alpha$ (P)=4.64×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7
1111.3	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )	386.7 3	100	724.6	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(M1+E2)	0.17 11	$\alpha$ (K)=0.132 93; $\alpha$ (L)=0.029 11; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0071 23 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00183 59; $\alpha$ (O)=3.8×10 <sup>-4</sup> 14; $\alpha$ (P)=5.0×10 <sup>-5</sup> 22
1129.7	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(18.4)		1111.3	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )			Transition was not observed. Its existence is inferred from the observed (188.4)(386.7 $\gamma$ ) coincidences. Intensity balance at 1111.3 level yields I( $\gamma$ +ce)(18.4)/I $\gamma$ (405.1 $\gamma$ )<1.2 4.
		405.1 3	100	724.6	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)	0.0568	$\alpha$ (K)=0.0354 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.01600 23; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00410 6 $\alpha$ (N)=0.001061 16; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000215 3; $\alpha$ (P)=2.49×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4
1318.1	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	188.4 3	100	1129.7	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D		
1681+y	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	363 <sup>‡</sup>	100	1318.1+y	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
1838+y	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )	156 <sup>‡</sup>		1681+y	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $\gamma(^{213}\text{At})$  (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. #	$\alpha^\circ$	Comments
1838+y	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )	520 <sup>‡</sup>		1318.1+y	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
2194+y	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )	356 <sup>‡</sup>	100	1838+y	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
2570+y	(37/2 <sup>-</sup> )	376 <sup>‡</sup>	100	2194+y	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
2620+y	(43/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(50)		2570+y	(37/2 <sup>-</sup> )			$E_\gamma$ : A low-energy (50-keV) unobserved $\gamma$ transition was postulated to explain the observed isomer (2003LaZZ - ( $^7\text{Li}, p2n\gamma$ )).
2926+y	(49/2 <sup>+</sup> )	306 <sup>‡</sup>	100	2620+y	(43/2 <sup>-</sup> )	[E3]	0.707	B(E3)(W.u.)=23 2 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1716$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.393$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.1075$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0280$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00558$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000600$ 9 The large B(E3)(W.u) value implies $\Delta J=\Delta L=3$ transition, which is consistent with the $\pi(i_{13/2}^{+1}) \rightarrow \pi(f_{7/2}^{+1})$ orbitals change.

<sup>†</sup> From  $^{208}\text{Pb}(^7\text{Li}, 2n\gamma)$ , except otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $^{209}\text{Bi}(^7\text{Li}, p2n\gamma)$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}(^8\text{He}, 4n\gamma)$ .

# From  $^{208}\text{Pb}(^7\text{Li}, 2n\gamma)$  (1980Sj01), based on  $\gamma(\theta)$  and RUL, except where otherwise noted.

@ Additional information 1.

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Legend

**Level Scheme**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)