²¹²Fr ε decay 1973GoXM

	Histor	У		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date	
Full Evaluation	K. Auranen and E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 168, 117 (2020)	1-Aug-2020	

Parent: ²¹²Fr: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=5^+$; $T_{1/2}=20.0 \text{ min } 6$; $Q(\varepsilon)=5144$ 9; $\mathscr{K}\varepsilon+\mathscr{K}\beta^+$ decay=57 2 ²¹²Fr- $\mathscr{K}\varepsilon+\mathscr{K}\beta^+$ decay: $\varepsilon+\beta^+=57\%$ 2 (1950Hy27). Others: 1972HaWF, 1972KhZU. α : Additional information 1.

²¹²Rn Levels

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1972HaWF and is based on energy matching and $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data.

E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}	$T_{1/2}^{\ddagger}$
0.0	0^{+}	23.9 min 12
1274.8 20	2+	
1502.5 20	4+	
1640.8 20	6+	
2304 <i>3</i>	$5^{(+)}$	
2688.1 25	(5 ⁺)	

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

ε, β^+ radiations

Note that there is an negative intensity balance at the 1274.8-keV level of -13 12. Since more than 10% of the γ -ray intensity has not been placed in the level scheme, and since the level scheme shows a lack of intensity balance at the 1274.8-keV level, the I ε , I β^+ and log *ft* given are approximate values.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$\mathrm{I}\varepsilon^{\dagger}$	Log ft	$\mathrm{I}(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger}$	Comments
(2456 9)	2688.1	≈0.63	≈26	≈6.3	≈27	av $E\beta$ =660.0 41; ε K=0.7747 4; ε L=0.1510 1; ε M+=0.05084 4
$(2840\ 10)$ $(3503\ 9)$	2304 1640.8	≈0.2 ≈2.5	≈5 ≈19	≈7.2 ≈6.8	≈5 ≈21	av $E\beta = 827.8$ 42; $\epsilon K = 0.7538$ 6; $\epsilon L = 0.1400$ 2; $\epsilon M + = 0.04907$ 5 av $E\beta = 1119.2$ 41; $\epsilon K = 0.7024$ 10; $\epsilon L = 0.13413$ 19; $\epsilon M + = 0.04501$ 7
(3642 9)	1502.5	≈2.6	≈16	≈6.9	≈19	av E β =1180.3 41; ε K=0.6883 10; ε L=0.13121 20; ε M+=0.04402 7

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{212}\mathrm{Rn})$

I γ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s. = 57 % 2, with ground state $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ feeding taken to be negligible considering $\Delta J=5$. All data are from 1973GoXM.

Eγ	Ι _γ ‡	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	$E_f J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. [†]	α	Comments
^x 97.5 138.30 <i>10</i>	6.5 <i>13</i> 18.2 9	1640.8	6+	1502.5 4+	E2	2.13	α (K)=0.316 5; α (L)=1.340 20; α (M)=0.361 6; α (N)=0.0939 14; α (O)=0.0190 3 α (P)=0.00213 3

				$^{212}\mathbf{F}$	r e d	ecay <mark>1</mark> 9	973GoXM (continued)	
	γ ⁽²¹² Rn) (continued)								
Eγ	Iγ [‡]	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [†]	α	Comments	
227.72 10	100	1502.5	4+	1274.8	2+	E2	0.333	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.345$ (from I(ce(K))=6.19; $\alpha(K)\exp$ relative to $\alpha(K)(227.72\gamma E2)=0.126$); K/L23=0.234, $L2/L3=1.7714$, $K:M:N=10:11.020:3.16$. $\alpha(K)=0.125218$; $\alpha(L)=0.153922$; $\alpha(M)=0.04096$; $\alpha(N)=0.0106615$; $\alpha(O)=0.002183$	
								$\alpha(P)=0.000253 4$	
x300 1 2	242							Mult.: $K/L2=1.10$, $K:M:N=10:3.4$ 3:1.6 4.	
$x_{311} = 52$	2.4 2								
x322 5 2	5.2 5 1								
x358.2	0.5								
$x^{x}422.0.5$	192								
x532.0.5	6.6.7								
^x 564.4 5	2.3 2								
^x 620.1 5	1.9 2								
801.9 15	8.4 9	2304	$5^{(+)}$	1502.5	4+				
x824.0 15	1.8 2								
^x 902.2 15	1.6 2								
1047.3 20	17.0 17	2688.1	(5^{+})	1640.8	6+				
^x 1178.4 20	3.2 4		. ,						
1185.6 20	33 4	2688.1	(5^{+})	1502.5	4^{+}				
1274.8 20	108 <i>10</i>	1274.8	2+	0.0	0+	E2	0.00514	$\alpha(K)=0.00410 \ 6; \ \alpha(L)=0.000785 \ 12; \\ \alpha(M)=0.000188 \ 3; \ \alpha(N)=4.89\times10^{-5} \ 7; \\ \alpha(O)=1.058\times10^{-5} \ 16 \\ \alpha(P)=1.499\times10^{-6} \ 22$	

[†] From the Adopted Gammas. For cases were support is derived from this dataset, details are provided in the comments. [‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.53 6. ^{*x*} γ ray not placed in level scheme.

²¹²Fr ε decay 1973GoXM

Decay Scheme







²¹²₈₆Rn₁₂₆