

$^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma) \quad 1986\text{Ko01,2018Pa04}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	K. Auranen and E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 168, 117 (2020)		1-Aug-2020

2018Pa04: the nuclei of interest were produced in the $^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n})^{212}\text{Ra}$ fusion evaporation reaction at the Heavy Ion Accelerator Facility at the Australian National University, Canberra. The 5.4-mg/cm² thick ^{204}Pb targets were enriched to 99.6% purity. The 81 MeV ^{12}C beam was provided by the 14UD accelerator in ~1 ns long pulses separated by 1712 ns. Prompt and delayed γ rays were detected with the CAESAR HPGe array. $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$, and $\alpha(\text{tot})$ were measured.

2004He25: the nuclei of interest were produced in the $^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n})^{212}\text{Ra}$ fusion evaporation reaction with $E(^{12}\text{C})=103$ MeV. Recoil products selected by the velocity filter SHIP. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, implant- $\gamma(t)$ using an HPGe detector. Deduced $T_{1/2}$ of 1958 level.

1986Ko01: $E(^{12}\text{C})=70\text{-}90$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$, $\gamma(t)$ using Ge(Li) detectors. Measured E_{ce} , I_{ce} using Si(Li) detector and superconducting solenoid. Observed level scheme up to a $J=16$ state at 3929 keV. Proposed level scheme is in good agreement with that of **2018Pa04** up to the $J^\pi=13^-$ level at 3404 keV. Above that there are 4 levels and 4 depopulating γ rays that are alternatively placed in **2018Pa04**.

The level scheme is that proposed in **2018Pa04**. The configurations are assigned based on semiempirical shell-model calculations.

Some details are filled from the earlier study **1986Ko01** as indicated.

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{212}Ra Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0 629.30 10	0 ⁺ 2 ⁺		Nominal configuration $\pi h_{9/2}^6 \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-2}$. J^π : from 1986Ko01 .
1454.30 14	4 ⁺		Possible configuration $\pi h_{9/2}^6 \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-1} f_{5/2}^{-1}$, but the shell model level energy is 600 keV above the experimental one, possibly indicating configuration mixing. J^π : from 1986Ko01 .
1895.10 17	6 ⁺		J^π : from 1986Ko01 .
1958.4 20	8 ⁺	9.6 μs 13	Configuration $\pi h_{9/2}^6 \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-2}$ $\mu=7.104$ 72 μ : from g-factor=0.888 9, stroboscopic method (1986Ko01). J^π : Configuration $(\pi h_{9/2}^6 \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-2})_{8+}$ supported by the measured g-factor 1986Ko01 . $T_{1/2}$: unweighted average of 10.9 μs 4 from $\gamma(t)$ (1986Ko01) and 8.3 μs 3 (2004He25) obtained from a weighted average of 8.3 μs 3 from 440 $\gamma(t)$, 8.6 μs 6 from 629 $\gamma(t)$, and 8.0 μs 7 from 825 $\gamma(t)$. J^π : from 1986Ko01 .
2108.4 20	8 ⁺		Configuration $\pi h_{9/2}^5 f_{7/2} \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-2}$.
2577.2 20	10 ⁺		
2613.3 20	11 ⁻	0.85 μs 13	$\mu=12.01$ 25 μ : from the measured g-factor=1.092 22, stroboscopic method (1986Ko01). J^π : Configuration $\pi h_{9/2}^5 i_{13/2} \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-2}$ supported by the measured g-factor. $T_{1/2}$: from 1986Ko01 , which was confirmed in 2018Pa04 , but no numerical value obtained due to maximum beam pulse separation of 1.7 μs .
2699.7 20	12 ⁺		
3121.6 20	12 ⁻		
3404.2 20	13 ⁻		
3602.4 20			
3631.8 20	(13 ⁻)		
3949.0 20	(14 ⁻)		
4107.2 20	15 ⁻		
4197.8 20	(16 ⁻)		
4350.9 20	(17 ⁻)		
4552.6 20	(18 ⁻)		
5043.5 20	(19 ⁺)	21.5 ns 21	$T_{1/2}$: from 491 $\gamma(t)$ (2018Pa04). Configuration $\pi h_{9/2}^4 i_{13/2}^2 \otimes vp_{1/2}^{-2}$.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ 1986Ko01, 2018Pa04 (continued) ^{212}Ra Levels (continued)E(level)[†]

5125.0 20
 5414.7 20
 5877.2 20
 6137.8 20
 6370.3 20

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, by evaluators.[‡] The assignments are those proposed by 2018Pa04, unless specified otherwise. $\gamma(^{212}\text{Ra})$ All γ -ray data are from 2018Pa04, unless indicated otherwise.

Internal conversion coefficients are deduced from an intensity balance across the levels in 2018Pa04.

A_2 value obtained with A_4 set to zero in fitting the angular distributions. Data were collected in $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence mode, with coincident gates set of known $\Delta J=2$ E2 transitions. Expected values, taking alignment $\sigma/J=0.3$ were -0.2 for $\Delta J=1$ dipole, $+0.28$ for $\Delta J=2$ quadrupole and $+0.46$ for $\Delta J=3$ octupole.

E_γ	I_γ^{\dagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ	α	Comments
(29.4 ^a)		3631.8	(13 ⁻)	3602.4					
(36.1 ^a)	15.5 20	2613.3	11 ⁻	2577.2	10 ⁺	[E1]		1.668	$\alpha(L)=1.257$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.312$ 5; $\alpha(N)=0.0800$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.01661$ 24; $\alpha(P)=0.00228$ 4 $\alpha(Q)=7.95 \times 10^{-5}$ 12
63.3 20		1958.4	8 ⁺	1895.10	6 ⁺	[E2]	84 14		I_γ : deduced by the evaluator from the intensity balance of the 2577 keV level assuming no direct population and that $I(\gamma+ce)(123)=I(\gamma+ce)(932)$, i.e. $I(\gamma+ce)(36)=I(\gamma+ce)(618)-I(\gamma+ce)(1025)-I(\gamma+ce)(932)$. Similar, but less precise value of $I_\gamma(36)=15.3$ 38 was obtained from the intensity balance of the 2613 keV level.
(90.6 ^a)		4197.8	(16 ⁻)	4107.2	15 ⁻				$I(\gamma+ce)(123)=I(\gamma+ce)(932)$, i.e. $I(\gamma+ce)(36)=I(\gamma+ce)(618)-I(\gamma+ce)(1025)-I(\gamma+ce)(932)$. Similar, but less precise value of $I_\gamma(36)=15.3$ 38 was obtained from the intensity balance of the 2613 keV level.
122.5 1		2699.7	12 ⁺	2577.2	10 ⁺	E2	4.03		$\alpha(L)=61$ 11; $\alpha(M)=17$ 3; $\alpha(N)=4.4$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.93$ 16; $\alpha(P)=0.134$ 23; $\alpha(Q)=0.00032$ 5
150.0 2	4.9 6	2108.4	8 ⁺	1958.4	8 ⁺				E_γ : observed ce(L) and ce(M) in 1986Ko01 experiment, energy uncertainty not given, ± 2 keV assumed by the evaluator for further fitting.
153.1 2	5.9 14	4350.9	(17 ⁻)	4197.8	(16 ⁻)	M1	4.65		$\alpha(\text{exp})=4.7$ 10 $\alpha(K)=0.309$ 5; $\alpha(L)=2.74$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.745$ 11; $\alpha(N)=0.197$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.0419$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.00609$ 9 $\alpha(Q)=2.87 \times 10^{-5}$ 4
									$\alpha(\text{exp})=5.0$ 3 $\alpha(K)=3.73$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.694$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.1658$ 24; $\alpha(N)=0.0437$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.00997$ 15 $\alpha(P)=0.00174$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001363$ 20 $A_2=+1.0$ 4.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ **1986Ko01,2018Pa04 (continued)** $\gamma(^{212}\text{Ra})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\dagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ	α	Comments
201.7 <i>I</i>	7.9 <i>16</i>	4552.6	(18 $^-$)	4350.9	(17 $^-$)	M1+E2	0.43 +12-13	1.89 <i>I3</i>	$\alpha(\text{exp})=1.89$ <i>11</i> $\alpha(\text{K})=1.47$ <i>12</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.315$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0766$ <i>12</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0202$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00457$ <i>7</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000778$ <i>14</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=5.4\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $A_2=+0.3$ <i>2</i> . δ : from $\gamma(\theta)$. $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.31$ <i>4</i> $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1045$ <i>15</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1257$ <i>18</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0337$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00889$ <i>13</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00191$ <i>3</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000287$ <i>4</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=4.37\times 10^{-6}$ 7
248.8 <i>I</i>	35.8 <i>40</i>	4197.8	(16 $^-$)	3949.0	(14 $^-$)	E2		0.275	
260.6 <i>I</i>	24.9 <i>30</i>	6137.8		5877.2					$A_2=+0.24$ <i>14</i> .
282.5 <i>I</i>	23.1 <i>31</i>	3404.2	13 $^-$	3121.6	12 $^-$	M1		0.837	$A_2=+0.45$ <i>23</i> . $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.98$ <i>5</i> $\alpha(\text{K})=0.673$ <i>10</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1237$ <i>18</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0295$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00779$ <i>11</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001777$ <i>25</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000310$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=2.43\times 10^{-5}$ 4
289.7 <i>I</i>	14.0 <i>23</i>	5414.7		5125.0					$A_2=-0.66$ <i>17</i> .
317.3 <i>I</i>	7.5 <i>18</i>	3949.0	(14 $^-$)	3631.8	(13 $^-$)	M1		0.608	$A_2=-0.6$ <i>3</i> . $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.65$ <i>13</i> $\alpha(\text{K})=0.490$ <i>7</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0897$ <i>13</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0214$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00565$ <i>8</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001288$ <i>18</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000225$ <i>4</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.760\times 10^{-5}$ <i>25</i>
371.1 <i>I</i>	13.2 <i>24</i>	5414.7		5043.5 (19 $^+$)					
440.8 ^b		1895.10	6 $^+$	1454.30	4 $^+$	E2 ^{&}		0.0526	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0323$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01508$ <i>22</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00391$ <i>6</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001032$ <i>15</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000226$ <i>4</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=3.55\times 10^{-5}$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.205\times 10^{-6}$ <i>17</i> E_γ : other: 440.2 <i>6</i> (2004He25). $A_2=-0.3$ <i>4</i> .
462.4 <i>I</i>	17.6 <i>33</i>	5877.2		5414.7					
475.2 <i>2</i>	10.4 <i>24</i>	4107.2	15 $^-$	3631.8 (13 $^-$)					$A_2=-0.23$ <i>18</i> .
490.9 <i>I</i>	30.3 <i>45</i>	5043.5	(19 $^+$)	4552.6 (18 $^-$)	D				
493.1 <i>2</i>	9.1 <i>22</i>	6370.3		5877.2					
504.9 <i>2</i>	27.4 <i>22</i>	2613.3	11 $^-$	2108.4	8 $^+$	E3 [#]		0.1352	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0617$ <i>9</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0541$ <i>8</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01454$ <i>21</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00386$ <i>6</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000842$ <i>12</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0001312$ <i>19</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.47\times 10^{-6}$ <i>5</i>
508.3 <i>I</i>	51.1 <i>58</i>	3121.6	12 $^-$	2613.3	11 $^-$	D+Q			$A_2=-0.85$ <i>10</i> .
544.8 <i>I</i>	41.5 <i>52</i>	3949.0	(14 $^-$)	3404.2	13 $^-$	D			$A_2=-0.07$ <i>15</i> .
618.8 <i>I</i>	48.9 <i>35</i>	2577.2	10 $^+$	1958.4	8 $^+$	E2 [@]		0.0238	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01676$ <i>24</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00529$ <i>8</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001337$ <i>19</i> ; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000353$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.80\times 10^{-5}$ <i>11</i> $\alpha(\text{P})=1.266\times 10^{-5}$ <i>18</i> ; $\alpha(\text{Q})=5.98\times 10^{-7}$ <i>9</i>
629.3 ^b		629.30	2 $^+$	0.0	0 $^+$	E2 ^{&}		0.0230	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01624$ <i>23</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00504$ <i>7</i> ;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ **1986Ko01,2018Pa04 (continued)** $\gamma(^{212}\text{Ra})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	α	Comments
654.9 2	23.7 23	2613.3	11 ⁻	1958.4	8 ⁺	E3 [#]	0.0625	$\alpha(M)=0.001273$ 18; $\alpha(N)=0.000336$ 5; $\alpha(O)=7.43 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(P)=1.208 \times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(Q)=5.78 \times 10^{-7}$ 8 E_γ : other: 628.6 6 (2004He25).
703.1 1	16.5 39	4107.2	15 ⁻	3404.2	13 ⁻	E2	0.0182	$\alpha(K)=0.0358$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0198$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00521$ 8; $\alpha(N)=0.001381$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.000304$ 5 $\alpha(P)=4.83 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=1.734 \times 10^{-6}$ 25 $\alpha(K)=0.01321$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.00371$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000929$ 13; $\alpha(N)=0.000245$ 4; $\alpha(O)=5.45 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(P)=8.94 \times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=4.64 \times 10^{-7}$ 7 $A_2=+0.7$ 2.
774.1 1	8.9 26	5125.0		4350.9	(17 ⁻)			
791.0 1	40.1 59	3404.2	13 ⁻	2613.3	11 ⁻	E2	0.01426	$\alpha(K)=0.01063$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.00273$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000677$ 10; $\alpha(N)=0.0001784$ 25 $\alpha(O)=3.98 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=6.59 \times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(Q)=3.69 \times 10^{-7}$ 6 $A_2=+0.48$ 14.
825.0 ^b		1454.30	4 ⁺	629.30	2 ⁺	E2 ^{&}	0.01311	$\alpha(K)=0.00985$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.00245$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000607$ 9; $\alpha(N)=0.0001599$ 23; $\alpha(O)=3.57 \times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(P)=5.93 \times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(Q)=3.40 \times 10^{-7}$ 5 E_γ : other: 824.3 6 (2004He25).
833.7 1	19.8 44	5877.2		5043.5	(19 ⁺)			
932.0 1	5.1 23	3631.8	(13 ⁻)	2699.7	12 ⁺			
1025.2 2	3.6 30	3602.4		2577.2	10 ⁺			

[†] As given in [2018Pa04](#), normalized to the $I_\gamma(619)$.[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\alpha(\exp)$ in [2018Pa04](#), except where noted.[#] Positive A_2 for $\gamma(\theta)$, $T_{1/2}(2613.4$ level), and measured conversion coefficient suggest E3 ([1986Ko01](#)), details not provided by authors.[@] Measured α suggests E2 ([1986Ko01](#)), details not provided by authors.[&] From $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma(\text{pol})$ ([1986Ko01](#)), details not provided by authors.^a Transition not observed in [2018Pa04](#), but $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence relations suggest its presence. Energy from level energy difference.^b From [1986Ko01](#). Values are identical in [2018Pa04](#) and were likely taken from the literature. 0.1 keV uncertainty assumed for least-squares fitting.

$^{204}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ 1986Ko01, 2018Pa04

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - → γ Decay (Uncertain)

Level Scheme

Intensities: Type not specified

