

$^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{t},\text{p}\gamma)$ **1989Ma12**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan, C. M. Baglin, O. Gorbachenko, N. Todorovic		NDS 114, 661 (2013)	28-Feb-2013

 $J^\pi(^{209}\text{Bi})=9/2^-$.

$E(t)=11.5$ to 17 MeV; Compton-suppressed Ge and a Ge GAMMA-X detector (for measurement of $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(t)$); superconducting solenoid magnet transporter and Si(Li) detector (for measurement of $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$, $p(\text{ce})$, $p(\text{ce}(t))$).

 ^{211}Bi Levels

Searched for α decaying isomer. Concluded that, if there were an α decaying isomer with $1 \text{ s} > T_{1/2} > 10 \text{ h}$ in ^{211}Bi , it would be populated with <0.5% of the g.s. intensity at $E(t)=16$ to 17 MeV.

$\sigma(70 \text{ ns } 1227.2 \text{ level})/\sigma(\text{g.s.})=0.10$ at $E(t)=16$ to 17 MeV.

The proposed level scheme is based on γ and ce measurements, and on $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, $p(\text{ce})$, $p(\text{ce})(t)$ experiments.

$p(97.4\gamma)(t)$ shows that the 97.4γ lacks a prompt component indicating that this γ ray is the isomeric transition from the $T_{1/2}=70 \text{ ns}$ level.

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi{}^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$9/2^-$		
404.92 2	$7/2^-$		
766.46 3	$(9/2,11/2)^-$		
828.13 4	$(13/2)^-$		
1129.81 5	$(17/2)^-$		
1149.71 11	$(15/2)^-$		
1227.2 3	$(21/2^-)$	70 ns 5	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(t)$ with 301.7, 766.5 and 828.1 γ rays. Other: 60 ns 20 from $p(\text{ce}(t))$ for 97.4 keV transition.
1304.4 6			

† From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, excluding 19.9γ and 61.6γ for which $E\gamma$ was not measured.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{211}\text{Bi})$

E_γ	$I_\gamma{}^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
(19.90 ‡)		1149.71	$(15/2)^-$	1129.81	$(17/2)^-$	[M1]	219	$\alpha(L)=167.2$ 24; $\alpha(M)=39.7$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=12.47$ 18 $\alpha(N)=10.15$ 15; $\alpha(O)=2.07$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.247$ 4 E_γ : from level-energy difference. Mult.: branching strength in $\gamma\gamma$ spectrum indicates M1.
(61.67 ‡)		828.13	$(13/2)^-$	766.46	$(9/2,11/2)^-$	[M1]	7.82	$\alpha(L)=5.99$ 9; $\alpha(M)=1.410$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.443$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.361$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.0737$ 11; $\alpha(P)=0.00877$ 13 E_γ : from level-energy difference. Mult.: branching strength in $\gamma\gamma$ spectrum indicates M1.
97.4 3		1227.2	$(21/2^-)$	1129.81	$(17/2)^-$	(E2)	7.59 15	$\alpha(K)=0.459$ 7; $\alpha(L)=5.30$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.41$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.428$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.357$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.0658$ 14; $\alpha(P)=0.00505$ 11 E_γ : absence of a prompt component in $p(\text{ce}(t))$ studies of this transition indicates that it directly depopulates the 1227-keV isomer. Mult.: from L12/L3(exp) \approx 1.0.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{t},\text{p}\gamma)$ 1989Ma12 (continued) **$\gamma(^{211}\text{Bi})$ (continued)**

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$a^\#$	Comments
154.7 5	1.7 1	1304.4		1149.71	(15/2) ⁻			
301.68 3	5.4 1	1129.81	(17/2) ⁻	828.13	(13/2) ⁻	E2	0.1186	$\alpha(K)=0.0652$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0400$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.01032$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00317$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00263$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000498$ 7; $\alpha(P)=4.39 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 Mult.: from $K/L(\text{exp})=1.4$ 2, $L_{12}/L_3(\text{exp})=3.7$ 12 (theory: $K/L=1.63$ 4, $L_{12}/L_3=3.07$ 3).
321.58 10	1.1 2	1149.71	(15/2) ⁻	828.13	(13/2) ⁻	M1	0.384	$\alpha(K)=0.313$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0540$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.01269$ 18; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00399$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00324$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000663$ 10; $\alpha(P)=7.90 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 Mult.: from $K/L(\text{exp})=5.3$ 4; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.43$ 15 from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}(302\gamma)/\alpha(K)\text{exp}(322\gamma)=0.15$ 5 with $\alpha(K)(302)=0.0652$ 10 (theory: $\alpha(K)=0.313$ 5, $K/L=5.80$ 12).
404.92 2		404.92	7/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻			I_γ : no intensity reported at $E(t)=15$ MeV. $I_\gamma=2.5$ 1 is reported for $E(t)=12$ MeV.
766.46 3	6.4 3	766.46	(9/2,11/2) ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	(M1)	0.0382	$\alpha(K)=0.0313$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00527$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001235$ 18; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000388$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000316$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.46 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=7.71 \times 10^{-6}$ 11 Mult.: from $K/L(\text{exp})=6.7$ 10 (theory: $K/L=5.94$ 12); this does not exclude E1, but $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$ from level scheme.
828.13 4	7.3 4	828.13	(13/2) ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	E2	0.01017	$\alpha(K)=0.00790$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001725$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.000417$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001298$ 19 $\alpha(N)=0.0001063$ 15; $\alpha(O)=2.12 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.30 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: from $K/L(\text{exp})=4.4$ 8, $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0089$ 26 from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}(766\gamma)/\alpha(K)\text{exp}(828\gamma)=3.5$ 10 with $\alpha(K)(766\gamma)=0.0313$ 5 (theory: $\alpha(K)=0.0089$ 11, $K/L=4.58$ 9).

[†] Values given are measured production cross sections: $\sigma(\gamma)(\text{mb})$ at $E(t)=15$ MeV.

[‡] Unobserved transition; existence inferred from $\gamma\gamma$ data.

[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

