

$^{211}\text{Rn } \varepsilon$  decay (14.6 h)    1972As11, 1972As12

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	B. Singh, S. Singh, H. X. Nguyen and M. Patial		NDS 114, 661 (2013)	28-Feb-2013

Parent:  $^{211}\text{Rn}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1/2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=14.6$  h 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=2892$  7;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=72.6 17

$^{211}\text{Rn}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels of  $^{211}\text{Rn}$ .

$^{211}\text{Rn}-Q(\varepsilon)$ : From 2012Wa38.

$^{211}\text{Rn}-\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay:  $\%\varepsilon=72.6$  17 deduced from Iy in  $\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon$  decays (1972As11) and the level schemes.

The decay scheme proposed is that of 1972As11 and is based on energy fit and extensive coincidence data.

Others: 1990Ve05 and 1985BuZT ( $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ); 1970Be37 (E $\gamma$ ,Iy); 1956St60 (ce).

 $^{211}\text{At}$  Levels

Spin assignments are taken from Adopted Levels where specific arguments for these assignments, based on experimental results, are listed. 1972As11, 1972As12 also consider shell-model calculations and propose the spin sequence  $7/2^-, 7/2^-, 5/2^-, 3/2^-$  and  $1/2^+$  for the levels at 674.1, 866.0, 947.4, 1116.2 and 2479.2 keV. The  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  results reported in 1985BuZT, however, rule out the  $1/2(D)3/2(D+Q)5/2$  sequence for the  $(1362.9\gamma)(168.7\gamma)$  cascade if  $\delta(168.7\gamma)=2.7$  4 (the  $\delta$  measured in ce data).

1990Ve05 report  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  results for ten cascades in this decay. Their conclusions, in general, agree with those of 1972As11, 1972As12, but do not agree with the results of 1985BuZT for the  $(1362.9\gamma)(168.7\gamma)$  cascade.

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$9/2^-$		
674.1	$(7/2)^-$		$J^\pi: \gamma\gamma(\theta)$ favors $7/2$ over $9/2$ .
866.0	$(7/2)^-$		$J^\pi: \gamma\gamma(\theta)$ favors $7/2$ over $5/2$ or $9/2$ .
947.4	$(5/2)^-$		$J^\pi: \gamma\gamma(\theta)$ favors $5/2$ over $7/2$ .
1116.2	$(3/2)^-$	0.57 ns 4	$J^\pi: \gamma\gamma(\theta)$ favors $3/2$ over $5/2$ . $T_{1/2}$ : from (ce(K)) $\gamma(t)$ (1972As12).
1800.8	$(3/2)^-$		$J^\pi: \gamma\gamma(\theta)$ favors $3/2$ over $5/2$ .
1992.5	$(5/2)^-$		
2062.9	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$		
2108.7	$(3/2)^-$		
2128.7	$(5/2)^-$		
2479.2	$(1/2)^+$		$J^\pi: \gamma\gamma(\theta)$ favors $1/2$ over $3/2$ .
2655.0	$1/2, 3/2$		

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

The electron capture branches have been deduced from  $I(\gamma+ce)$  balances on the adopted decay scheme.  
Intensity balance is negative (-4.3 21) for 947 level.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	$\log ft$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(237 7)	2655.0	5.1 6	6.08 7	5.1 6	$\varepsilon K=0.626$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.272$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.101$ 3
(413 7)	2479.2	68 4	5.59 4	68 4	$\varepsilon K=0.7253$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.2030$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.0717$ 6
(763 7)	2128.7	0.79 12	8.15 7	0.79 12	$\varepsilon K=0.7682$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.1726$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.05917$ 12 $\log ft$ : too low for $\Delta J=2$ , no transition.
(783 <sup>‡</sup> 7)	2108.7	$\leq 2$	$\geq 7.8$	$\leq 2$	$\varepsilon K=0.7693$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1718$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.05884$ 12 $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ : 0.5 15, assuming $I\gamma=1.5$ 1.5 for 992.5 $\gamma$ .
(829 <sup>‡</sup> 7)	2062.9	1.8 15	7.9 4	1.8 15	$\varepsilon K=0.7717$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.17016$ 25; $\varepsilon M+=0.05816$ 10
(1091 <sup>‡</sup> 7)	1800.8	<3.6	>7.8	<3.6	$\varepsilon K=0.7810$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.1636$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.05547$ 6

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{211}\text{Rn} \varepsilon$  decay (14.6 h)    1972As11,1972As12 (continued)**

---

 **$\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)**

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log $f\tau$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \dagger$	Comments
(1776 $\ddagger$ 7)	1116.2	<0.008	<3	>8.4	<3	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : 1.6 20 from intensity balance. av $E\beta = 361.9$ 31; $\varepsilon K = 0.7894$ ; $\varepsilon L = 0.15566$ 6; $\varepsilon M+ = 0.05229$ 3 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : 1 3 from intensity balance.

$\dagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$\ddagger$  Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{211}\text{At})$ Iγ normalization: from  $\Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce to g.s.})=100$ .

Unless noted otherwise, all data are from 1972As11.

The ce spectra measured by 1972As11, 1972As12 were normalized to the  $\gamma$  spectra through  $\alpha(K)(442.2\gamma)=0.02955$ , the theoretical value for an E2 transition.

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>a</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult.	δ@	α#&	Comments
116.0 <i>I</i>	0.20 2	2108.7	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	1992.5	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	<0.65	7.4 6	$\alpha(K)=5.5\ 9$ ; $\alpha(L)=1.39\ 24$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.34\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.089\ 18$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.018\ 4$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.0023\ 3$ Ice(K)=0.20 2. Mult.,δ: from $\alpha(K)\exp=6.1\ 15$ . $\alpha(K)=0.44\ 7$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.499\ 8$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.1318\ 23$ $\alpha(N)=0.0341\ 6$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.00676\ 12$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000720\ 11$ Ice(K)=7.2 7. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.47\ 5$ , K:L2:M+N=7.2 7:4.6 4:1.4 4; $\alpha(L12)\exp=0.31\ 2$ (1972As12); K/L=0.6 (1956St60).
168.7 <i>I</i>	14.9 8	1116.2	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	947.4 (5/2) <sup>-</sup>		E2+M1	-2.9 4	1.12 6	
176.0 <i>I</i>	0.14 3	2655.0	1/2,3/2	2479.2 (1/2) <sup>+</sup>		[D,E2]		1.3 12	
191.8 <i>I</i>	2.0 1	866.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	674.1 (7/2) <sup>-</sup>		M1(+E2)	-0.06 18	1.90 8	$\alpha(K)=1.54\ 8$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.275\ 4$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0652\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.0169\ 3$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.00361\ 6$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000499\ 9$ Ice(K)=2.8 7. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.4\ 4$ , K/L=7 4; $\alpha(L1)\exp=0.22\ 6$ (1972As12). $\alpha(K)=0.1017\ 15$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.0969\ 14$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0255\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00660\ 10$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.001316\ 19$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.0001419\ 20$ Ice(K)=1.0 4.
250.2 <i>I</i>	13.3 7	1116.2	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	866.0 (7/2) <sup>-</sup>		E2		0.232	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.08\ 3$ ; $\alpha(L)\exp=0.104\ 24$ , L12/L3=3.5 19 (1972As12); and from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1985BuZT). $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.12\ 7$ (1990Ve05). (250γ)(192γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.18\ 6$ , $A_4=-0.15\ 11$ (1990Ve05). (250γ)(866γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.179\ 20$ , $A_4=-0.02\ 4$ (1990Ve05). $\alpha(K)=0.647\ 9$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.1148\ 17$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0272\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00703\ 10$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.001506\ 22$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000208\ 3$ Ice(K)=0.5 2.
262.1 <i>I</i>	0.49 5	2062.9	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	1800.8 (3/2) <sup>-</sup>		M1		0.798	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.0\ 4$ ; K/L=5.0 14 (1956St60). $\alpha(K)=0.903\ 13$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.224\ 4$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0555\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.01449\ 21$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.00308\ 5$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000416\ 6$ Ice(K)=0.6 2.
350.5 <i>I</i>	0.88 6	2479.2	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>	2128.7 (5/2) <sup>-</sup>		(M2)		1.200	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.7\ 2$ ; K/L=6.3 18 (1956St60). $\alpha(K)=0.01642\ 23$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00282\ 4$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.000663\ 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001703\ 24$ ; $\alpha(O)=3.58\times10^{-5}\ 5$ ; $\alpha(P)=4.70\times10^{-6}\ 7$ Ice(K)=0.06 3.
370.5 <i>I</i>	3.0 2	2479.2	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>	2108.7 (3/2) <sup>-</sup>		E1		0.0201	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.02\ 1$ . $\alpha(K)=0.01278\ 18$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00216\ 3$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.000508\ 8$
416.4 <i>I</i>	7.7 4	2479.2	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>	2062.9 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>		E1		0.01561	

<sup>211</sup>Rn ε decay (14.6 h)    1972As11,1972As12 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{211}\text{At})</math> (continued)</u>									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^a$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta^{@}$	$a^{\#&}$	Comments
442.2 <i>I</i>	51 3	1116.2	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	674.1 (7/2) <sup>-</sup>		E2		0.0454	$\alpha(N)=0.0001308$ 19; $\alpha(O)=2.75\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=3.64\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Ice(K)<0.15. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp<0.02$ . $\alpha(K)=0.0295$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.01192$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00304$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000786$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.0001601$ 23; $\alpha(P)=1.88\times 10^{-5}$ 3 Mult.: from K/L=1.9 5 ( <b>1956St60</b> ) and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ( <b>1985BuZT</b> ) (theory: K/L(E2)=2.45, K/L(M1)=5.70, K/L(M4)=1.82). (442γ)(674γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.230$ 8, $A_4=-0.025$ 15 ( <b>1990Ve05</b> ).
592.3 <i>I</i>	0.58 5	2655.0	1/2,3/2	2062.9 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>					
674.1 <i>I</i>	100	674.1	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0 9/2 <sup>-</sup>		M1+E2	-0.65 6	0.0493 19	$\alpha(K)=0.0398$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.00724$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.00172$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000445$ 15; $\alpha(O)=9.5\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.29\times 10^{-5}$ 5 Ice(K)=4.6 3. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.046$ 3. $\alpha(K)=0.011$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0020$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00049$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.00013$ 4; $\alpha(O)=2.7\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.7\times 10^{-6}$ 11 Ice(K)=0.7 2.
678.4 <i>I</i>	64 3	2479.2	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>	1800.8 (3/2) <sup>-</sup>		(E1+M2)	0.23 5	0.014 4	Mult., $\delta$ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.011$ 3. (M1+E2 also allowed by $\alpha(K)\exp$ , but ruled out by the decay scheme). (678γ)(853γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.10$ 4, $A_4=-0.02$ 8 ( <b>1990Ve05</b> ). (678γ)(1127γ)(θ)+(1127γ)(674γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.066$ 9, $A_4=+0.006$ 15 ( <b>1990Ve05</b> ).
684.7 <i>I</i>	1.3 1	1800.8	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	1116.2 (3/2) <sup>-</sup>					$\alpha(K)=0.0252$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.00440$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.00104$ 5
853.4 <i>I</i>	10.2 5	1800.8	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	947.4 (5/2) <sup>-</sup>		(M1+E2)	-0.38 11	0.0310 17	$\alpha(N)=0.000269$ 13; $\alpha(O)=5.7\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=7.9\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Ice(K)=0.21 3. Mult., $\delta$ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.021$ 3. Also $\delta=-0.01$ 17 from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ . (853γ)(947γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.04$ 3, $A_4=-0.06$ 6 ( <b>1990Ve05</b> ).
866.0 <i>I</i>	17.5 9	866.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0 9/2 <sup>-</sup>		(E2)		0.01025	$\alpha(K)=0.00792$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001769$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.000430$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0001113$ 16; $\alpha(O)=2.33\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=3.01\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Ice(K)=0.13 3. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0073$ 15.
934.7 <i>I</i>	8.1 4	1800.8	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	866.0 (7/2) <sup>-</sup>		(E2)		0.00882	$\delta$ : >+12 or -∞. $\alpha(K)=0.00688$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.001473$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.000357$ 5 $\alpha(N)=9.22\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.94\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.52\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Ice(K)=0.08 3. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ 3. $\delta(M3/E2)=+0.03$ 7, >+32 or <-7 ( <b>1990Ve05</b> ); the latter two values are unrealistic, as 934.7 transition is not expected to be pure M3. (935γ)(866γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.09$ 5, $A_4=-0.04$ 9 ( <b>1990Ve05</b> ).
946.7 <i>I</i>	11 3	2062.9	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	1116.2 (3/2) <sup>-</sup>		(M1) <sup>‡</sup>		0.0259	$\alpha(K)=0.0212$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00363$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000855$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.000221$ 4; $\alpha(O)=4.75\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=6.57\times 10^{-6}$ 10

**$^{211}\text{Rn}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (14.6 h)      1972As11, 1972As12 (continued)**

### $\gamma(^{211}\text{At})$ (continued)

From ENSDF

$\gamma(^{211}\text{At})$  (continued)

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>a</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>
2129.0 <sup>†b</sup> 3	0.010 4	2128.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	9/2 <sup>-</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 2212.9 <sup>†</sup> 3	0.022 4				
<sup>x</sup> 2405.1 <sup>†</sup> 5	0.009 3				
<sup>x</sup> 2486.0 <sup>†</sup> 4	0.009 2				
<sup>x</sup> 2696.3 <sup>†</sup> 5	0.0036 12				

<sup>†</sup> γ ray tentatively assigned to decay of <sup>211</sup>Rn or <sup>207</sup>Po.

<sup>‡</sup> The 946.7γ and 947.4γ ce(K) are not resolved; the measured α(K)exp(sum)=0.010 I is consistent with M1 for 946.7γ and E2 for 947.4γ.

<sup>#</sup> Additional information 2.

<sup>@</sup> From 1990Ve05, obtained from combined analysis of their  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  and previous ce data, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>&</sup> Additional information 3.

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.454 18.

<sup>b</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup> γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{211}\text{Rn}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (14.6 h) 1972As11,1972As12

## Legend

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

