

<sup>208</sup>Pb( $\alpha,2n\gamma$ ) 1971Fa18,1985Ka07,1985Be22

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 121, 561 (2014)	31-Mar-2014

1971Fa18: target: 95.06% enriched <sup>208</sup>Pb. E( $\alpha$ )=23-43 MeV. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , excitation functions. Detectors:Ge(Li).  
 1985Ka07, 1985Be22: target: 99.5% enriched <sup>208</sup>Pb. This high enrichment was needed to suppress the interfering contribution from 686.5 $\gamma$  in <sup>208</sup>Po, originated from the <sup>206</sup>Pb( $\alpha,2n$ ) and <sup>207</sup>Pb( $\alpha,3n$ ) reactions. A  $\gamma$  ray with the same energy deexcites the J $^\pi$ =16<sup>+</sup> isomer at 5057 keV in <sup>210</sup>Po. E( $\alpha$ )=35 MeV. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , Ice, Ce(t), time-differential perturbed angular distributions (TDPAD). Deduced multipolarities, level g-factors and half-lives. Detectors:Ge(Li), electron spectrometer, in which conversion electrons are transported to a Si(Li) detector using a strong solenoidal magnetic field.  
 Others: 1967Ya01, 1968Is04, 1970Ya02, 1970Ya03, 1970Ya06, 1970BeZP, 1971Be55, 1971BI07, 1972Fa25, 1972As04, 1973Ya06, 1973Ba14, 1973Na18, 1976Ha56, 1976Ha62, 1982Fi02, 1983Da01.

<sup>210</sup>Po Levels

g-factors were measured by I $\gamma(\theta,H,t)$  via <sup>208</sup>Pb( $\alpha,2n\gamma$ ), and not corrected for Knight shift and diamagnetism, unless otherwise noted.

E(level) <sup>‡</sup> &	J $\pi$ # <sup>@</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>+</sup>	138.376 d 2	
1181.4 <sup>a</sup> 3	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1426.7 <sup>a</sup> 3	4 <sup>+</sup>	1.53 ns 7	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 1976Ha56 – $\gamma\gamma(t)$ . Other: 1.8 ns 2 (1963Fu02).
1473.2 <sup>a</sup> 4	6 <sup>+</sup>	42.6 ns 10	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1976Ha56 $\gamma\gamma(t)$ . Others: 38 ns 5 (1963Fu02), 40 ns 6 (1972Ja12), 40.9 ns 10 (1973Na21), 43.0 ns 15 (1973Be30) via <sup>210</sup> At $\epsilon$ decay. g-factor=0.913 6 (1976Ha56) corrected for Knight shift (1.4% 4) and diamagnetism (–1.9% 2). g-factor(8 <sup>+</sup> state) – g-factor(6 <sup>+</sup> state)=–0.020 7 (1973Ba14), 0.006 3 (1976Ha56); 1973ArYJ, 1977To04 calc support 1976Ha56 value.
1556.9 <sup>a</sup> 4	8 <sup>+</sup>	96.0 ns 14	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1976Ha56. Others: $\approx$ 150 ns (1967Ya01), 110 ns 8 (1968Is04), 115 ns 10 (1971Be55). E(level): 1310 keV calc (1978Ma38). g-factor=0.925 11 (1970Ya02), 0.904 14 (1970Ya06,1972Na33), 0.909 7 (1973BaVA,1973Ba14), 0.901 11 (1973Na18,1974Na02), 0.914 1 (1976Ha56). Corrected g-factor=0.919 5 (1976Ha56) corresponds to Configuration=( $\pi$ 1h <sub>9/2</sub> ) <sup>209</sup> Bi g.s. (g=0.9135 1) 1973Br14. Small deviation from additivity rule are discussed by 1976Ha56, 1977To04.
2188.0 <sup>b</sup> 4	8 <sup>+</sup>		
2325.8 <sup>b</sup> 5	6 <sup>+</sup>		
2382.5 <sup>b</sup> 4	4 <sup>+</sup>		
2403.1 <sup>b</sup> 4	5 <sup>+</sup>		
2438.3 <sup>b</sup> 4	7 <sup>+</sup>		
2849.2 <sup>c</sup> 4	11 <sup>-</sup>	20.4 ns 10	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1976Ha56. Others: 24 ns 5 (1967Ya01), 24 ns 3 (1971Fa18), 20.1 ns 13 (1976Ha62). E(level): 2710 keV calc (1978Ma38). g-factor=1.107 16 (1970Ya06,1972Na33), 1.107 10 (1976Re12), 1.102 8 (1976Ha56). g-factor Configuration=( $\pi$ 1i <sub>13/2</sub> )=1.24 2 is obtained from corrected g=1.107 12 (1976Ha56) for Configuration=( $\pi$ 1h <sub>9/2</sub> ) ( $\pi$ 1i <sub>13/2</sub> ) and g=0.9135 1 (1973Br14) for <sup>209</sup> Bi g.s. Configuration=( $\pi$ 2h <sub>9/2</sub> ).
2910.0 <sup>d</sup> 5	5 <sup>-</sup>		
2999.5 <sup>c</sup> 4	(9) <sup>-</sup>		
3016.6 <sup>c</sup> 6	(7) <sup>-</sup>		
3026.3 <sup>c</sup> 6	5 <sup>-</sup>		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,2n\gamma)$  **1971Fa18,1985Ka07,1985Be22 (continued)** $^{210}\text{Po}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>‡&amp;</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> #@	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>†</sup>	Comments
3137.9 <sup>c</sup>	5 (8) <sup>-</sup>		
3182.7 <sup>c</sup>	5 10 <sup>-</sup>		
4324.3 <sup>e</sup>	6 (11) <sup>-</sup>		
4372.1 <sup>f</sup>	7 13 <sup>-</sup>	51 ns 2	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from $\gamma(t)$ , (1985Be22). Other values: 93 ns 6 (1971BI07,1971Fa18), 78 ns (1970Ya03). E(level): 4300 keV calc (1978Ma38). Corrected g-factor=0.526 13 (1985Be22). Other value: corrected g-factor=0.546 12 (1973Ya06); configuration added g-factor=0.573 calc from 5 <sup>-</sup> , $^{208}\text{Pb}$ (g=0.021 7) 1969Bo01, and 8 <sup>+</sup> , $^{210}\text{Po}$ (g=0.919 5). See also 1976Ha56.
4777.4 <sup>g</sup>	7 14 <sup>-</sup>		
5057.7 <sup>h</sup>	7 16 <sup>+</sup>	263 ns 5	T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 265 ns 10, $\gamma(t)$ (1985Be22) and 262 ns 6, Ce(t) (1985Ka07). E(level): 5460 keV calc (1978Ma38). Corrected g-factor=0.615 5 (1985Be22).

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma(t)$  pulsed beam, except for g.s. and as noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Deduced by evaluator from a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

# From Adopted Levels.

@ Configuration assignments are from 1971Fa18. See Adopted Levels for adopted configurations.

& Using deformed independent-particle model, 1978Ma38 calculated excitation energies of 8<sup>+</sup>,11<sup>-</sup>,13<sup>-</sup>,16<sup>+</sup> isomers.

<sup>a</sup> Configuration=( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> Configuration=( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\pi$  2f<sub>7/2</sub>).

<sup>c</sup> Configuration=( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\pi$  1i<sub>13/2</sub>).

<sup>d</sup> Configuration=( $(208\pi B5^-)$  ( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>0))5<sup>-</sup> mixed with Configuration=( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\pi$  1i<sub>13/2</sub>).

<sup>e</sup> Configuration=( $(208\pi B3^-)$  ( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>8<sup>+</sup>))11<sup>-</sup>.

<sup>f</sup> Configuration=( $(208\pi B5^-)$  ( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>8<sup>+</sup>))13<sup>-</sup>.

<sup>g</sup> Configuration=( $(208\pi B5^-)$  ( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>8<sup>+</sup>))14<sup>-</sup>.

<sup>h</sup> Configuration=( $(208\pi B5^-)$  ( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\pi$  1i<sub>13/2</sub>))16<sup>+</sup>.

 $\gamma(^{210}\text{Po})$ 

$\delta(M1+E2)$  estimated from A<sub>2</sub>,A<sub>4</sub> coef (1971Fa18);  $\gamma(\theta=90^\circ, 120^\circ, 135^\circ, 150^\circ)$  measured at E $\alpha$ =29 MeV.

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult.	Comments
46.5 2		1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	1426.7	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2 <sup>‡</sup>	Mult.: I(ce L2)/I(ce L3) measurement (1975Sc45) supports E2. B(E2)(W.u.)=3.0 1.
47.8 CA	0.032	4372.1	13 <sup>-</sup>	4324.3	(11) <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	Ti(48 $\gamma$ )/Ti(1523 $\gamma$ )=1.55 15 (1971BI07) from delayed $\gamma$ -spectra. I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from Ti(48 $\gamma$ )/Ti(1523 $\gamma$ )=1.55 15 and $\alpha$ (48 $\gamma$ )=239. E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from $\Delta E$ (levels). I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from delayed $\gamma$ -spectrum of 51-ns isomer.
83.7 1	4	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>	1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2 <sup>‡</sup>	Mult.: I(ce L2)/I(ce L3) measurement (1975Sc45) supports E2. B(E2)(W.u.)=1.13 4.
245.3 1	80	1426.7	4 <sup>+</sup>	1181.4	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2 <sup>‡</sup>	A <sub>2</sub> =0.16 1. B(E2)(W.u.)=4.53 18.
250.3 2	1.0	2438.3	7 <sup>+</sup>	2188.0	8 <sup>+</sup>	M1 <sup>‡</sup>	A <sub>2</sub> =-0.32 16.
280.3 2	0.26	5057.7	16 <sup>+</sup>	4777.4	14 <sup>-</sup>	M2	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> (280 $\gamma$ )/I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> (686 $\gamma$ )=0.064 14 (1985Ka07). Mult.: from ce(K)/ce(L) exp=3.9 7, $\alpha$ (K)exp=2.0 6 (1985Ka07). A <sub>2</sub> =-0.19 4.
333.5 2	2.9	3182.7	10 <sup>-</sup>	2849.2	11 <sup>-</sup>		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$  **1971Fa18, 1985Ka07, 1985Be22 (continued)** $\gamma(^{210}\text{Po})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta$	Comments
405.3 2	2.6	4777.4	14 <sup>-</sup>	4372.1	13 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	1.1 3	Mult., $\delta$ : from ce(K)/ce(L) exp=3.9 9, $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.12 2 (1985Ka07). $I_\gamma$ : $I_\gamma(405\gamma)/I_\gamma(686\gamma)=0.23$ 2 (1985Ka07). $A_2=0.43$ 4.
631.0 2	16	2188.0	8 <sup>+</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>	M1 $\ddagger$		$A_2=0.31$ 2.
661.1 3	1.8	2849.2	11 <sup>-</sup>	2188.0	8 <sup>+</sup>			$I_\gamma$ : $I_\gamma(660.1\gamma)$ from $^{208}\text{Po}$ was subtracted. $E_\gamma$ : Placement from the level scheme (1971Fa18). In the text some discussion of 661.1 $\gamma$ under section 3.2. and the 2187.9 keV level appears to be a typo.
685.6 3	4	5057.7	16 <sup>+</sup>	4372.1	13 <sup>-</sup>	E3		Mult.: from ce(K)/ce(L) exp=2.7 5 (1985Ka07). $I_\gamma$ : $I_\gamma(686.5\gamma)$ from $^{208}\text{Po}$ was subtracted.
811.5 2	4.6	2999.5	(9) <sup>-</sup>	2188.0	8 <sup>+</sup>	[E1] $\#$		$A_2=-0.17$ 3.
852.6 3	4.6	2325.8	6 <sup>+</sup>	1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	M1 $\ddagger$		$A_2=0.16$ 4.
881.4 3	1.2	2438.3	7 <sup>+</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2) $\ddagger$		$A_2=0.09$ 15.
929.8 3	0.9	2403.1	5 <sup>+</sup>	1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	M1 $\ddagger$		$A_2=-0.21$ 7.
949.9 4	0.3	3137.9	(8) <sup>-</sup>	2188.0	8 <sup>+</sup>			
955.8 3	1.3	2382.5	4 <sup>+</sup>	1426.7	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1 $\ddagger$		$A_2=-0.01$ 6.
965.2 5	0.4	2438.3	7 <sup>+</sup>	1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>			
976.4 3	1.0	2403.1	5 <sup>+</sup>	1426.7	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1 $\ddagger$		$A_2=-0.62$ 11.
1181.4 3	100	1181.4	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2 $\ddagger$		$A_2=0.16$ 1. $B(E2)(\text{W.u.})=0.56$ 11.
1292.2 3	33	2849.2	11 <sup>-</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>			$A_2=0.48$ 2.
1436.7 5	0.9	2910.0	5 <sup>-</sup>	1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	E1 $\ddagger$		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00121$ ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00018$ $A_2=-0.41$ 8.
1442.8 5	1.2	2999.5	(9) <sup>-</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>			$\alpha(\text{L})= 11.98$ ; $\alpha(\text{M})= 3.19$ ; $\alpha(\text{N+..})= 1.083$ $A_2=-0.01$ 6.
1460 1	<0.5	3016.6	(7) <sup>-</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>			
1475.1 5	11	4324.3	(11) <sup>-</sup>	2849.2	11 <sup>-</sup>			$A_2=0.36$ 2.
1483.4 5	1.5	2910.0	5 <sup>-</sup>	1426.7	4 <sup>+</sup>	E1 $\ddagger$		$A_2=-0.76$ 7.
1522.9 5	5.0	4372.1	13 <sup>-</sup>	2849.2	11 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)		Mult.: from $\gamma(\theta)$ $A_2=0.30$ 3.
1543.3 5	0.9	3016.6	(7) <sup>-</sup>	1473.2	6 <sup>+</sup>			$A_2=-0.45$ 8.
1580.9 5	0.4	3137.9	(8) <sup>-</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>			
1599.6 5	0.7	3026.3	5 <sup>-</sup>	1426.7	4 <sup>+</sup>	E1 $\ddagger$		
1626.0 5	0.4	3182.7	10 <sup>-</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>			
2767.3 10	0.4	4324.3	(11) <sup>-</sup>	1556.9	8 <sup>+</sup>			

$\dagger$  From 1971Fa18, unless otherwise specified.

$\ddagger$  From  $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ , K/L, L-subshell ratio data of 1972Ja12 in  $^{210}\text{At}$   $\varepsilon$  decay.

$\#$  Dipole inferred from  $A_2 < 0$ .

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,2n\gamma)$  1971Fa18,1985Ka07,1985Be22

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

