

<sup>208</sup>Pb( $\alpha$ ,d) 1977Da05,1981Da07,1988La18

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 121, 561 (2014)	31-Mar-2014

Others: 1987Sh13, 1984Sa04, 1983Sa33, 1982Na22, 1979Wu09, 1978Da12, 1978Wu02.

Preferential ( $\alpha$ ,d) excitations are J(max)=J(p) + J(n) for the dominant p-n configuration. Related high-spin states populated by ( $\alpha$ ,d) in <sup>208</sup>Bi,<sup>206</sup>Bi show similar E(level) spacings, angular distribution shapes, and strengths (1977Da05).

1977Da05, 1981Da07:>99% enriched <sup>208</sup>Pb target. Projectile:  $\alpha$ 's, E=33, 48 MeV. Measured scattered deuterons at  $\theta=10^\circ$  to  $60^\circ$  in  $10^\circ$  steps (ED=33 MeV), and at  $\theta=10^\circ$  to  $40^\circ$  in  $5^\circ$  steps (ED=48 MeV). Detector: magnetic spectrograph (Q3D), FWHM(33 MeV)=16 keV, FWHM(48 MeV)=25 keV.

1988La18: projectiles:  $\alpha$ 's, E=218 MeV. Measured scattered deuterons at  $\theta=3^\circ$  to  $18^\circ$ . Detector: magnetic spectrograph, FWHM $\approx$ 220 keV. Deduced spins and configurations by comparing experimental angular distributions with theoretical DWBA values.

<sup>210</sup>Bi Levels

E(level) <sup>e</sup>	L <sup>c</sup>	$\sigma(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr})^d$	Comments
0 <sup>†a</sup>	(1)	2.2	
49 <sup>†a</sup>	(1)	3.4	
270 <sup>†ab</sup>	(9)	85	J(max)=9 <sup>-</sup> . L=9 analogs: <sup>208</sup> Bi, <sup>206</sup> Bi at 2477,2542 keV, respectively.
319 <sup>†a</sup>	(3)	8.8	
350 <sup>†a</sup>	(3)	3.4	
435 <sup>†a</sup>	(7)	47	Doublet of 433,439 states.
501 <sup>†a</sup>	(5)	14.8	
548 <sup>†a</sup>	(5+7)	25	
581 <sup>†a</sup>	(7)	100	
669 <sup>‡ab</sup>	11	38	J $\pi$ =10 <sup>-</sup> (J(max)=10 <sup>-</sup> ). L=11 analogs: <sup>208</sup> Bi, <sup>206</sup> Bi at 2.81,2.86 MeV, respectively.
915 <sup>a</sup>	7	260	J(max)=8 <sup>-</sup> . L=7 analogs: <sup>208</sup> Bi, <sup>206</sup> Bi at 3096,3170 keV, respectively. Configuration=(( $\pi$ 2f <sub>7/2</sub> )( $\nu$ 2g <sub>9/2</sub> )) is dominant; see (pol d,p). Doublet of 972,994 states.
994 <sup>a</sup>	(3)	23	
1180 <sup>‡</sup> 2	(7,8,9)	35	
1205 2	(6,7,8,9)	117	
1244 2	(5)	24	
1316 <sup>b</sup> 3	(10)	318	J $\pi$ =11 <sup>+</sup> (J(max)=11 <sup>+</sup> ), Configuration=(( $\pi$ 1i <sub>13/2</sub> )( $\nu$ 2g <sub>9/2</sub> )) (1988La18 1981Da07). L=10 analogs: <sup>208</sup> Bi, <sup>206</sup> Bi at 3508,3568 keV, respectively.
1373 <sup>‡</sup> 3	( $\leq$ 9)	(12)	Doublet of 1374,1382 states.
1469 <sup>#</sup> 3	(12)	30	J(max)=12 <sup>+</sup> . L=12 analogs: <sup>208</sup> Bi, <sup>206</sup> Bi at 3609,3632 keV, respectively.
1520 <sup>‡</sup> 3	(5,6)	10	
1579 3		8.8	
1704 3	(5)	18.4	L=5 ( $\alpha$ ,d) conflicts with L=7 (d,p),( $\alpha$ , <sup>3</sup> He).
1751 <sup>#</sup> 4		144	
1808 <sup>#</sup> 4	(11,10)	58	Doublet of 1801, 1812 states.
1840 <sup>#</sup> 4		(28)	
1908 4		(14)	
1987 4	(12)	65	J=(11 <sup>+</sup> ,12 <sup>+</sup> ) inferred from L-value and cross section.
2034 <sup>@</sup> 4		(13.4)	
2101 4	(12)	(44)	(11 <sup>+</sup> ), 2110-keV excitation in ( $\alpha$ , <sup>3</sup> He) probably corresponds.
2143 4	(11,10)	(107)	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, \text{d})$  [1977Da05](#), [1981Da07](#), [1988La18](#) (continued) $^{210}\text{Bi}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>e</sup>	L <sup>c</sup>	$\sigma(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr})^d$	Comments
2174? 4		(20)	
2231 5		(14)	
2280 5	(7,9)	(31)	
2468 5		18	
2523?		<(10)	
2543 5		19	
2581& 5	5	65	J(max)=5 <sup>-</sup> .
2614 5	(5)	33	
2664 5	(5)	19	
2733 <sup>b</sup> 5	(13)	134	Doublet of 2733,2736 states. J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =14 <sup>-</sup> (J(max)=14 <sup>-</sup> ), Configuration=(( $\pi$ 1i <sub>13/2</sub> )( $\nu$ 1j <sub>15/2</sub> )). ( <a href="#">1988La18</a> , <a href="#">1981Da07</a> ). L=13 analogs: $^{208}\text{Bi}$ , $^{206}\text{Bi}$ at 4848,4843 keV, respectively.
2773 5		(32)	
2833 <sup>b</sup> 6	(10,11)	52	
2868 6		20.5	
2924 6		23	
3042 6		32	
3086?		(15)	
3123 6	(5)	27	Doublet of 3107,3141 states.
3208 6		88	
3239 6	(10,11)	38	
3332 7	(12)	71	
3412 <sup>b</sup> 7	(11,12)	73	
3443 7		32	
3502 7		66	
3538 7		48	
4025?		(50)	
4188		(70)	

† Configuration=(( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\nu$  2g<sub>9/2</sub>)).

‡ Main Configuration=(( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\nu$  1i<sub>11/2</sub>)).

# Main Configuration=(( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\nu$  1j<sub>15/2</sub>)).

@ Main Configuration=(( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\nu$  3d<sub>5/2</sub>)).

& Main Configuration=(( $\pi$  1h<sub>9/2</sub>)( $\nu$  4s<sub>1/2</sub>)).

<sup>a</sup> Nominal energies used for calibration.

<sup>b</sup> Observed also by [1988La18](#) using 218-MeV  $\alpha$ 's.

<sup>c</sup> Deduced by [1981Da07](#) from angular distributions compared with standard shapes and DWBA values. L-uncertainty=1 (for low values of L), 2 (for high values of L).

<sup>d</sup>  $\sigma(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr})$  for E=48 MeV ( $\theta=10^\circ$ ), measured by [1981Da07](#).

<sup>e</sup> From [1977Da05](#), [1981Da07](#). Uncertainties for E>1 MeV are based on a general fractional uncertainty of 0.2% suggested by [1977Da05](#).