

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$  1974Be74

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. Chen <sup>#</sup> and F. G. Kondev		NDS 126, 373 (2015)	30-Sep-2013

**1974Be74:** E=33-43 MeV  $\alpha$  beams were produced from the Stockholm 225-cm cyclotron.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors of various sizes. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta),\gamma(t)$ . Deduced levels,  $J^\pi$ , half-lives,  $\gamma$ -branchings, mixing ratios, multipolarities.

**1976Re12:** E=41-51 MeV  $\alpha$  beam. Natural lead target.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected by two big Ge(Li) detectors placed at  $\pm 135^\circ$  relative to the incident beam direction. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta,H,t)$ . Deduced levels, g-factors.

**1975WiZR:** E=40 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\sigma(E\gamma,\theta)$ . Deduced levels,  $\gamma$ -multipolarities.

**1983Da01:** E=24, 35 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta,H,t)$ . Deduced quadrupole moment.

Others: **1966AlZZ**, **1968Ya08**, **1973Ab09**.

Data and level scheme are from **1974Be74** except for the 4354 level and its de-exciting  $\gamma$ 's (**1975WiZR**) and the g-factor data (**1976Re12**).

 $^{209}\text{Po}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	1/2 <sup>-#</sup>		
545.10 20	5/2 <sup>-#</sup>		
1326.9 3	9/2 <sup>-#</sup>		
1417.8 4	13/2 <sup>-#</sup>	24.8 ns 14	$T_{1/2}$ : from 90.9 $\gamma(t)$ ( <b>1974Be74</b> ).
1472.7 5	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	98.1 ns 16	Q=(-)0.39 8 ( <b>1983Da01</b> ) g=0.89 5 $T_{1/2}$ : from 545 $\gamma(t)$ and 782 $\gamma(t)$ . 100 ns 25 from 54.9 $\gamma(t)$ . Q: from <b>1983Da01</b> using TDPAD method. g: weighted average of 0.90 5 ( <b>1973Ab09</b> ) and 0.88 5 ( <b>1968Ya08</b> ).
1937.8 4	17/2 <sup>-</sup>		
2029.9 6	19/2 <sup>-</sup>		
2167.1 6	21/2 <sup>-</sup>		
2311.9 11			
2770.1 6	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	2.5 ns 7	$T_{1/2}$ : preliminary value from 603 $\gamma(t)$ .
2976.7 6	25/2 <sup>+</sup>		
3620.6 6	27/2 <sup>+</sup>		
4168.7 7	29/2 <sup>+</sup>		
4265.8 6	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	119 ns 4	g=0.625 5 $T_{1/2}$ : weighted average of values from <b>1974Be74</b> (118 ns 3) and <b>1976Re12</b> (132 ns 10). g: from $\alpha,\gamma(\sigma,H,t)$ H=8.4-25.5 kG ( <b>1976Re12</b> ). Value includes corrections for diamagnetism and knight shift.
4354.3 7	31/2 <sup>-</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$  energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From deduced  $\gamma$ -ray transition multipolarities, using  $\gamma(\theta)$  and comparisons with shell-model calculations.

<sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>208</sup>Pb( $\alpha,3n\gamma$ ) **1974Be74** (continued)

$\gamma(^{209}\text{Po})$										
$E_\gamma$ ‡	$I_\gamma$ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. @	$\delta$	$\alpha^\dagger$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
54.9 5	0.59	1472.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1417.8	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]		120 6	73	ce(L)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.736 25; ce(M)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.196 12; ce(N+)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.060 4 ce(N)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.050 4; ce(O)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.0095 7; ce(P)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.00083 6 $I_\gamma$ : from $I(\gamma+ce)$ and conversion coefficient. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : from intensity balance at 1472.7 ( $I(\gamma+ce)\geq 66$ ) and at 1417.8 ( $I(\gamma+ce)\leq 79$ ), with internal conversion taken into account for all transitions.
90.9 2	6.6	1417.8	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	1326.9	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2 <sup>a</sup>		10.71 19		$\alpha(L)=7.94$ 14; $\alpha(M)=2.12$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.655$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.543$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.1031$ 18; $\alpha(P)=0.00919$ 16
97.0 5	2.0	4265.8	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	4168.7	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.484 9		$\alpha(K)=0.378$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0805$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0191$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00591$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00484$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.000958$ 19; $\alpha(P)=0.0001054$ 21 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.2$ 4 based on intensity balance at the 4169 level in a delayed spectrum (1974Be74).
137.2 2	6.9	2167.1	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	2029.9	19/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1		4.51		$\alpha(K)=3.66$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.648$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.1529$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0487$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0394$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.00824$ 12; $\alpha(P)=0.001064$ 16 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})=3.9$ 8 based on intensity balance at the 2030 level in a delayed spectrum (1974Be74). $A_2=-0.31$ 8 and $A_4=+0.02$ 10 consistent with stretched dipole (1974Be74).
185.6# 5		4354.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	4168.7	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	D <sup>b</sup>				
206.6 2	16.1	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	2770.1	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1		1.419		$\alpha(K)=1.153$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.203$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0479$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01523$ 22 $\alpha(N)=0.01232$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.00258$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000333$ 5 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})=1.6$ 4 based on intensity balance at the 2770 level in a delayed spectrum (1974Be74). $A_2=-0.27$ 5, $A_4=+0.11$ 7 consistent with stretched dipole (1974Be74).
465.1 5	1.9	1937.8	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1472.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)	1.16 20			Mult.: $A_2=+0.16$ 12, $A_4=-0.20$ 17 (1974Be74).
520.0 2	6.3	1937.8	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1417.8	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)				Mult.: $A_2=+0.33$ 7, $A_4=+0.02$ 10 (1974Be74).
545.1 2	100.0	545.10	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		0.0262		$\alpha(K)=0.0186$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00575$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001436$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000451$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.000369$ 6; $\alpha(O)=7.39\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=8.24\times 10^{-6}$ 12 Mult.: $A_2=+0.16$ 2, $A_4=-0.01$ 4 (1974Be74) and $A_2=0.24$ 2\$, $A_4=0.01$ 3 (1968Ya08) are consistent with stretched quadrupole.
548.1 2	7.8	4168.7	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	3620.6	27/2 <sup>+</sup>					
557.2 2	43.8	2029.9	19/2 <sup>-</sup>	1472.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)	0.53 5	0.080 3		$\alpha(K)=0.0648$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.0117$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00276$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000877$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.000710$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.000148$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.89\times 10^{-5}$ 6 Mult.: $\delta$ : $A_2=-0.84$ 4, $A_4=+0.10$ 6, $\delta=0.53$ 5 or 1.67 16 (1974Be74). 1974Be74 choose mult=M1+E2 rather than

$\gamma(^{209}\text{Po})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>&amp;</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
603.0 2	44.9	2770.1	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	2167.1	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E1)		0.00703	E1+M2 based on expectation from theory of 19/2 <sup>-</sup> but not 19/2 <sup>+</sup> level at this energy. $\alpha(K)=0.00580$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.000937$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.000219$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=6.90\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=5.59\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=1.158\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=1.451\times 10^{-6}$ 21 Mult.: $A_2=-0.23$ 4 and $A_4=+0.03$ 5 consistent with stretched dipole ( <b>1974Be74</b> ).
643.9 2	12.5	3620.6	27/2 <sup>+</sup>	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	0.12 2		Mult.. $\delta$ : $A_2=-0.42$ 4, $A_4=+0.03$ 5 consistent with stretched dipole with a small quadrupole admixture ( <b>1974Be74</b> ).
694.3 2	10.5	2167.1	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	1472.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)			$A_2=+0.11$ 6; $A_4=-0.01$ 8 Mult.: $A_2=+0.11$ 6, $A_4=-0.01$ 8 ( <b>1974Be74</b> ). But <b>1974Be74</b> gives mult=M1+E2 with $\delta=0.21$ 6, inconsistent with the $J^\pi$ predictions of 21/2 <sup>-</sup> to 17/2 <sup>-</sup> .
781.8 2	99.0	1326.9	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	545.10	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		0.01200	$\alpha(K)=0.00919$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.00213$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000519$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001637$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.0001333$ 19; $\alpha(O)=2.72\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=3.21\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult.: $A_2=+0.16$ 2, $A_4=-0.02$ 4 ( <b>1974Be74</b> ) and $A_2=0.24$ 2, $A_4=0.01$ 3 ( <b>1968Ya08</b> ) are consistent with stretched quadrupole.
985 1		2311.9		1326.9	9/2 <sup>-</sup>				$E_\gamma$ : The author of <b>1974Be74</b> has seen a peak at $E_\gamma=985$ keV but not placed it. The evaluators made this placement based on adopted level scheme, assuming an uncertainty of 1 keV.
1289.1 2	8.0	4265.8	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3		0.00986	$\alpha(K)=0.00753$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001762$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.000430$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001412$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.0001108$ 16; $\alpha(O)=2.27\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=2.74\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=4.97\times 10^{-6}$ 7 Mult.: $A_2=+0.27$ 5, $A_4=+0.05$ 7 ( <b>1974Be74</b> ).
1297.4 2	5.9	2770.1	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	1472.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	E3		0.00972	$\alpha(K)=0.00743$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001732$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.000423$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001394$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.0001089$ 16; $\alpha(O)=2.23\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=2.70\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=5.47\times 10^{-6}$ 8 Mult.: $A_2=+0.46$ 3, $A_4=-0.03$ 5, consistent with octupole transition ( <b>1974Be74</b> ). M3 is excluded because it would imply a too long half-life.
1377.7 <sup>#</sup> 5		4354.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E3) <sup>b</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 1.

<sup>‡</sup> From **1974Be74**, unless otherwise noted. A uncertainty of 0.2 keV is assumed by evaluators for strong transitions and 0.5 keV for weak ones.

<sup>#</sup> From **1975WiZR**, uncertainty=0.5 keV assigned by evaluators.

<sup>@</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  in **1974Be74**, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>&</sup> From prompt spectrum of **1974Be74**. Authors also quote  $I_\gamma$  from delayed spectrum.

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,3\text{n}\gamma)$  **1974Be74** (continued)

$\gamma(^{209}\text{Po})$  (continued)

<sup>a</sup> From  $^{209}\text{At}$   $\text{ec}+\beta^+$  decay.

<sup>b</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  (**1975WiZR**).

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$  1974Be74

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

