

<sup>204</sup>Hg(<sup>9</sup>Be,4n $\gamma$ ) 2000Po03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. Chen # and F. G. Kondev		NDS 126, 373 (2015)	30-Sep-2013

2000Po03: E=62 MeV <sup>9</sup>Be beam was produced from the ANU 14 UD Pelletron accelerator. A enriched target of <sup>204</sup>Hg.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected by the CAESAR array of Compton suppressed Ge detectors. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ . Deduced levels,  $J^\pi$ , half-lives,  $\gamma$ -branchings,  $\gamma$ -multipolarities. Comparisons with shell-model calculations.

<sup>209</sup>Po Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\nu(3p_{1/2})^{-1}$ . $J^\pi$ : from Adopted Levels.
545.10 10	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\nu(2f_{5/2})^{-1}$ .
1327.10 15	9/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2})^{-1}$ .
1418.00 25	13/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2})^{-1}$ .
1472.9 3	17/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2})^{-1}$ .
1937.9 3	17/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(2f_{5/2})^{-1}$ .
2030.1 3	19/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(2f_{5/2})^{-1}$ .
2167.2 3	21/2 <sup>-</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(2f_{5/2})^{-1}$ .
2770.2 4	23/2 <sup>+</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2})^{-1}$ .
2976.7 4	25/2 <sup>+</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(1i_{13/2})^{-1}$ .
3620.6 4	27/2 <sup>+</sup>	<7 ns	configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(2f_{5/2})^{-1}$ .
4168.6 4	29/2 <sup>+</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(1i_{13/2})^{-1}$ .
4265.6 4	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	119 ns 4	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1289.0 $\gamma(t)$ , 206.5 $\gamma(t)$ and 603.0 $\gamma(t)$ (2000Po03). configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(2g_{9/2}^{+1} 3p_{1/2}^{-2})^3$ .
4354.3 4	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	<7 ns	configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(1i_{13/2})^{-1}$ .
4531.1 4	33/2 <sup>-</sup>	<7 ns	configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(1i_{13/2})^{-1}$ .
5355.6 4	35/2 <sup>-</sup>	<3.5 ns	configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 2f_{5/2}^{-1} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .
5503.6 4	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	<10 ns	configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 1j_{15/2}^{+1})^3$ .
6233.0 4	39/2 <sup>+</sup>		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 2f_{5/2}^{-1} 1j_{15/2}^{+1})^3$ .
6302.4 4	(39/2 <sup>+</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 1i_{13/2}^{-1} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .
6464.1 4	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 2f_{5/2}^{-1} 1j_{15/2}^{+1})^3$ .
6739.3 5	(43/2 <sup>+</sup> )	<7 ns	configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 1i_{13/2}^{-1} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .
6807.6 5	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 1i_{13/2}^{-1} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .
7159.4 5	(45/2 <sup>+</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 1i_{13/2}^{-1} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .
7247.9 5	(43/2 <sup>+</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(3p_{1/2}^{-1} 2f_{5/2}^{-1} 1j_{15/2}^{+1})^3$ .
7693.1 5	(47/2 <sup>+</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(2f_{5/2}^{-1} 1i_{13/2}^{-1} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .
8390.7 6	(47/2 <sup>-</sup> )		configuration= $\pi(1h_{9/2} 1i_{13/2})^{+2} \otimes \nu(1i_{13/2}^{-2} 2g_{9/2}^{+1})^3$ .

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From deduced  $\gamma$  transition multipolarities using  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$  (for E $\gamma$ ≥250 keV), unless otherwise noted.

<sup>#</sup> Limits from  $\gamma(t)$  in 2000Po03.

$\gamma(^{209}\text{Po})$

E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$ <sup>#</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$J_i^\pi$	E <sub>f</sub>	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	Comments
54.9 2		1472.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1418.00	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2) <sup>‡</sup>	
88.6 @ 2		4354.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	4265.6	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1) <sup>‡</sup>	I $\gamma$ : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=37 3.
90.9 2		1418.00	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	1327.10	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2) <sup>‡</sup>	
92.1 @ 2		2030.1	19/2 <sup>-</sup>	1937.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1) <sup>‡</sup>	I $\gamma$ : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=16 2.
96.9 1	70	4265.6	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	4168.6	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E1) <sup>‡</sup>	Mult.: A comparison of the I $\gamma$ and I( $\gamma$ +ce) is consistent with mult=E1 not with M1. M1 given in the 2000Po03 could be a

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>204</sup>Hg(<sup>9</sup>Be,4n $\gamma$ ) 2000Po03 (continued)

$\gamma$ (<sup>209</sup>Po) (continued)

<u>E<sub><math>\gamma</math></sub></u>	<u>I<sub><math>\gamma</math></sub></u> <sup>#</sup>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup><math>\pi</math></sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup><math>\pi</math></sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>†</sup></u>	<u><math>\delta</math></u>	<u>Comments</u>
								typo since it is inconsistent with the spin-parity assignments of the connecting levels.
137.1 1	350	2167.2	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	2030.1	19/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1		I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=30 5.
148.0 2	47	5503.6	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	5355.6	35/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.03 22.
161.5 2	35	6464.1	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6302.4	(39/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2(+M1)	>1.0	Mult.: $\alpha$ (exp)<0.37, from intensity balances.
								Mult., $\delta$ : from $\alpha$ (exp)=1.4 5, from intensity balances.
176.8 1	109	4531.1	33/2 <sup>-</sup>	4354.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.05 1, $\alpha$ (exp)=1.7 6, from intensity balances.
185.6 1	300	4354.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	4168.6	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.24 11.
								I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=58 3.
206.5 1	470	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	2770.2	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.12 4.
265.6 2	27	4531.1	33/2 <sup>-</sup>	4265.6	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.02 12, pol=-0.7 6.
275.2 1	120	6739.3	(43/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6464.1	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.26 7, pol=-0.83 26.
<sup>x</sup> 299.9 2	30							
420.1 1	52	7159.4	(45/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6739.3	(43/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1+E2) <sup>‡</sup>		
440.3 2	26	7247.9	(43/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6807.6	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1+E2) <sup>‡</sup>		
<sup>x</sup> 444.7 2	40							
465.1 2	25	1937.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1472.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.01 5.
<sup>x</sup> 467.1 2	30							
519.9 2	40	1937.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1418.00	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2) <sup>‡</sup>		
545.1 1	1000	545.10	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		Mult.: from Adopted Gammas. A <sub>2</sub> =-0.03 2, pol=-0.8 8.
548.0 1	215	4168.6	29/2 <sup>+</sup>	3620.6	27/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.29 5, pol=-0.19 23.
557.2 1	450	2030.1	19/2 <sup>-</sup>	1472.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.32 3, pol=+0.15 8.
								I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=84 2.
574.6 2	40	6807.6	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6233.0	39/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1) <sup>‡</sup>		
603.0 1	670	2770.2	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	2167.2	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.28 3.
643.9 1	260	3620.6	27/2 <sup>+</sup>	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.26 4, pol=-0.46 17.
<sup>x</sup> 654.0 1	60							
694.3 1	190	2167.2	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	1472.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2) <sup>‡</sup>		
729.4 1	80	6233.0	39/2 <sup>+</sup>	5503.6	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.46 12, pol=-0.86 27.
<sup>x</sup> 752.8 2	50							
782.0 1	980	1327.10	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	545.10	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		Mult.: from Adopted Gammas. A <sub>2</sub> =0.00 1, pol=+0.5 5.
824.6 1	145	5355.6	35/2 <sup>-</sup>	4531.1	33/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.12 7, pol=-0.14 24.
953.8 2	45	7693.1	(47/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6739.3	(43/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.10 36, pol=-0.37 116.
<sup>x</sup> 1028.7 4	50							
1108.6 2	80	6464.1	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )	5355.6	35/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E3) <sup>‡</sup>		
1231.3 4	25	8390.7	(47/2 <sup>-</sup> )	7159.4	(45/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E1)		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =-0.16 22.
1238.0 2	110	5503.6	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	4265.6	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E3) <sup>‡</sup>		
1289.0 2	230	4265.6	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.10 7, A <sub>4</sub> =-0.18 10, pol=+0.48 34.
								I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=70 5.
1297.3 2	90	2770.2	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	1472.9	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	E3		Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.09 18, A <sub>4</sub> =-0.30 26, pol=+0.4 8.
1304.1 4	27	6807.6	(41/2 <sup>+</sup> )	5503.6	37/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2) <sup>‡</sup>		
1377.6 4	30	4354.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup>	2976.7	25/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E3) <sup>‡</sup>		
1771.2 2	95	6302.4	(39/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4531.1	33/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E3)		I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : I( $\gamma$ +ce)=5 2.
								Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =0.00 17.

<sup>†</sup> From 2000Po03 based on  $\gamma$ ( $\theta$ ) and  $\gamma$ (lin pol), unless otherwise noted. The quoted  $\alpha$ (exp) are from intensity balances.

<sup>‡</sup> No  $\gamma$ ( $\theta$ ) or  $\gamma$ (lin pol) values are given by the authors.

---

$^{204}\text{Hg}(^9\text{Be},4n\gamma)$  **2000Po03** (continued)

---

$\gamma(^{209}\text{Po})$  (continued)

# From **2000Po03**.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

x  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{204}\text{Hg}(^9\text{Be},4n\gamma)$  2000Po03

Legend

Level Scheme  
Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$

- ▶  $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- ▶  $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- ▶  $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - -▶  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

