

^{212}Bi α decay (60.55 min)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 108,1583 (2007)	1-Jun-2007

Parent: ^{212}Bi : E=0.0; $J^\pi=1^-$; $T_{1/2}=60.55$ min 6; $Q(\alpha)=6207.26$ 3; % α decay=35.94 6

^{212}Bi -% α decay: Weighted average of 36.00 3 ([1965Wa09](#)), 35.81 4 ([1962Be09](#)), and 35.96 6 ([1960Sc07](#)) based on $I\alpha(^{212}\text{Bi})/[I\alpha(^{212}\text{Bi})+I\alpha(^{212}\text{Po})]$. Others: [1961Ba12](#), [1961Fe04](#), [1958Ev80](#), [1958Pr70](#), [1956Se17](#), [1953Ma26](#) and [1950To63](#).

This data set is updated from [1992Ar05](#). The $Q(\alpha)$ value has been replaced by the value from [2003Au03](#). The following are J^π changes: $J^\pi(\text{g.s.})$ from 5^+ to 5^+ , $J^\pi(40)$ from 4^+ to 4^+ , $J^\pi(328)$ from 5^+ to 5^+ , $J^\pi(473)$ from $(3^+, 4^+, 5^+)$ to (4^+) , and $J^\pi(493)$ from $(3^+, 5^+)$ to (3^+) . The mult data have been reanalyzed using the theoretical values of [2005KiZT](#).

 ^{208}Tl Levels

$(\gamma)(\gamma)$: [1958De25](#).

$(\alpha)(\gamma)$: [1955Ni19](#), [1962Be09](#), [1967Be19](#).

$(\alpha)(\gamma)(\theta)$: 1) 6051 α – 40 γ data of [1955We10](#), [1956Fi41](#), [1956Ho11](#), and [1968Do17](#) are consistent with the spin sequences 1-3-4, and 1-4-5. Not consistent with 1-5-5, 1-4-4, 1-5-4, nor 1-6-5. Not consistent with $J(^{212}\text{Bi})=0$. The evaluator assumes that the 39.9 γ is M1 ($\delta<0.02$ based on ce data). 2) 5768 α – 288 γ and 5768 α – 328 γ data of [1966KIZZ](#) are consistent with the spin sequences 1(L,L+2)J(D,Q)4 and 1(L,L+2)J(D,Q)5, respectively, for $J(328 \text{ level})=5$, $L(\alpha)=5$, $\delta(288\gamma)=+0.067$ 6, $\delta(328\gamma)=+0.07$ 5. Other: [1963Co28](#). 3) 5625 α – 432 γ and 5625 α – 472 γ data of [1966KIZZ](#) are not consistent with $J(472 \text{ level})=3$ to 6, the maximum J-range allowed by ce data. Authors' analysis, which yields $J=4$, $\delta(432\gamma)=+1.7$ to 2.6, $\delta(472\gamma)=-14$ to –8, $\delta(\alpha L=5/L=3)=+1$, appears to Be In error. In particular, the experimental A₄ terms have the opposite sign from the theoretical values (for all values of $L=5$, $L=3$ α -mixing), whereas [1966KIZZ](#) show the theoretical A₄ values changing signs with α mixing (the authors' term linear In $\delta(\alpha)$ appears to Be~5 times too large). The lack of agreement In possible J-values between the Ag(θ) and ce data might Be due to the inability to resolve the weak 5626 α from the 5607 α In the α gate and the weak 432 and 472 γ 's from 452 γ In the coincidence spectrum. 4) 5606 α – 452 γ and 5606 α – 492 γ data of [1966KIZZ](#) are consistent with the spin sequences 1(L,L+2)J(D,Q)4 and 1(L,L+2)J(D,Q)5, respectively, for $J(492 \text{ level})=3$, $L(\alpha)=3$, $\delta(453\gamma)=-0.048$ 8 and for $J=5$, $L(\alpha)=5$, $\delta(453\gamma)=+0.123$ 4, $\delta(492\gamma)=-0.51$ 2, +2.06 8. Data are not consistent with $J=4$ or 6. Note, however, that the 492 γ is very weak. (others: [1956Ko60](#), [1963Co28](#) for 452 γ) 5) 5486 α – 620 γ and 5486 α – 576 γ data of [1966KIZZ](#) are suspect. The 5486 α was not seen In the authors' spectrum, so a wide gate was set At its expected position. And the existence of the 576 γ is In doubt. IT has not been reported In singles studies and, As pointed out by [1966KIZZ](#), most of its contribution to Ag(θ) could Be due to chance coincidences from the strong 583 γ In ^{208}Pb . Data for the 620 γ are consistent with $J(620 \text{ level})=6$, $L(\alpha)=5$, $\delta(620\gamma)<-8$; >47; not consistent with $J=3,4$ or 5.

Ag(θ ,H,t): [1969Va21](#) studied the transient magnetic field (due to recoil) on ^{208}Tl nuclei In Fe.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	5^+	3.053 min 4	
39.857 4	4^+	6.5 ps 8	$T_{1/2}$: (α)(ce(L) 39.85 γ)(t); measured recoil of atoms (1965Se02). Others(ps):~4 (1956Bu26), 2.6 10 (1958Si81).
328.04 4	5^+	>0.1 ps	
473.4 4	(4^+)		
492.84 4	(3^+)		
621 [#]	(6^+)		
759 [#]			
803 [#]			

[†] From E γ , unless otherwise noted.

[‡] From ^{208}Tl Adopted Levels.

Calculated from $\Delta Q(\alpha)$.

^{212}Bi α decay (60.55 min) (continued) α radiations $(\alpha)\gamma(\theta)$: see ^{208}Tl levels from ^{212}Bi α decay (60.55 min).Other: [1962Be09](#),

$E\alpha^{\dagger}$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\alpha^{\dagger\&}$	$HF^{\ddagger@}$	Comments
5302 2	803	0.00011 1	≈ 20000	
5345	759	0.0010 1	≈ 3800	
5481	621	0.013	≈ 1500	
5607	492.84	1.13	≈ 70	
5626	473.4	0.157	≈ 630	
5768	328.04	1.70	≈ 280	$I\alpha$: other: 1.67 2 (1962Be09).
6050.78 [#] 3	39.857	69.91 [#] 15	≈ 130	
6089.88 [#] 3	0.0	27.12 [#] 14	≈ 480	

[†] From [1960Wa14](#), unless otherwise noted. $E\alpha$ corrected (+4 keV) for calibration change (see [1991Ry01](#)). $I\alpha$ renormalized by evaluator to $\Sigma I\alpha(\text{weak branches})=100-\Sigma I\alpha(6051+6090)$.

[‡] $r_0(^{208}\text{Tl})=1.50$ 2.

[#] From [1991Ry01](#).

[@] The radius parameter is poorly known, and alone contributes an uncertainty of $\Delta(\text{HF})=+53\%$ and -35% . HF=71 +38–25, for the 5607 α , for example.

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.3594 6.

 $\gamma(^{208}\text{Tl})$

Absolute intensity measurements: $I\gamma(288.20\gamma)=0.337$ 4 per 100 ^{212}Bi decays ([1984Ge07](#)) which gives $I\gamma(288.20\gamma)=0.938$ 8 per 100 ^{212}Bi α decays. Other: [1983Sc13](#).

$E\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. ^a	δ	α^c	Comments
39.857 4	2.96 24	39.857	4^+	0.0	5^+	M1	—	23.2	$\alpha(L)=17.81$ 25; $\alpha(M)=4.16$ 6; $\alpha(N..)=1.275$ 18 $\alpha(N)=1.052$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.204$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.0193$ 3 E_{γ} : from the $B \times \rho$ measurements of 1956Si23 , recalculated by the evaluator using revised fundamental constants (1987Co39) and electron binding energies (1967Be73). Mult.: L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3:N12:O12=1000 25:106 5:8.2 33:262 15:30 5:6.2 25: 76 4:10 4 (1963Se20). L1:L2:L3:M1:N:O=1000:92:8.0:231:57:13 (1957Zh05). $\alpha=22.6$ 5 from $\alpha\gamma$ -coin (1966Il01). From L3/L1, $\delta<0.012$.
^x 124.1									E_{γ} : from 1973SIZJ ; observed ce(L) and ce(M) lines In ^{212}Pb source and found to Be converted In Tl. Not reported by other authors.
^x 144.94 ^d									1957Zh05 report $E=144.94$ with $I(\text{ce}(K))=0.036$. The transition is not seen by 1962Be09 who report $I\gamma<0.006$. These data give $\alpha(K)\exp>6$, consistent only with a large E_0 component.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{212}Bi α decay (60.55 min) (continued) $\gamma(^{208}\text{TI})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ	α^c	Comments
288.20 4	0.938 8	328.04	5 ⁺	39.857	4 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.067 6	0.436	$\alpha(K)=0.357\ 5; \alpha(L)=0.0605\ 9;$ $\alpha(M)=0.01411\ 20; \alpha(N+..)=0.00432\ 6$
328.03 4	0.349 ^{&} 17	328.04	5 ⁺	0.0	5 ⁺	M1		0.307	$\alpha(N)=0.00356\ 5; \alpha(O)=0.000692\ 10;$ $\alpha(P)=6.54\times 10^{-5}\ 10$ Mult.: from K/L=5.6 6 (1967Be19); $\text{Ag}(\theta)$ (1963Co28 , 1966KIZZ). δ : δ from $\text{Ag}(\theta)$ (1966KIZZ).
433.7 5	0.047 [#] 9	473.4	(4 ⁺)	39.857	4 ⁺	M1		0.1451	$\alpha(K)=0.252\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.0425\ 6;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00990\ 14; \alpha(N+..)=0.00303\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00250\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.000486\ 7;$ $\alpha(P)=4.60\times 10^{-5}\ 7$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.230\ 15$ (1955Ni19), 0.27 2 (1967Be19), 0.31 3 from (K x ray) γ/γ (1966II01). L1/K=0.23 +7-6 (1963Da11). L/K=0.15 4 (1967Be19), 0.21 4 (1955Ni19). Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.30$ (1957Vo22).
452.98 5	1.010 9	492.84	(3 ⁺)	39.857	4 ⁺	M1		0.1292	$\alpha(K)=0.1191\ 17; \alpha(L)=0.0199\ 3;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00464\ 7; \alpha(N+..)=0.001421\ 21$ $\alpha(N)=0.001172\ 17; \alpha(O)=0.000228\ 4;$ $\alpha(P)=2.16\times 10^{-5}\ 3$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.43\ 12$ (1967Be19), 0.25 9 (1955Ni19). Other: 0.12 (1957Vo22), 0.13 7 (1963Da11) using authors Ice(K)/Ice(K)(328 γ). $\alpha(K)=0.1061\ 15; \alpha(L)=0.01772\ 25;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00413\ 6; \alpha(N+..)=0.001264\ 18$ $\alpha(N)=0.001042\ 15; \alpha(O)=0.000203\ 3;$ $\alpha(P)=1.92\times 10^{-5}\ 3$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.086\ 22$ (1978Av01), normalized to mult(583 γ)=E2 In ^{208}TI β^- decay, 0.115 6 (1967Be19), 0.100 7 (1955Ni19). Other: 0.11 (1957Vo22).
473.0 7	0.14 [#] 1	473.4	(4 ⁺)	0.0	5 ⁺	M1+E2		0.07 5	$\alpha(K)=0.06\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.011\ 5;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0027\ 10; \alpha(N+..)=0.0008\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.00069\ 24; \alpha(O)=0.00013\ 5;$ $\alpha(P)=1.1\times 10^{-5}\ 6$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.079\ 18$ (1967Be19), 0.100 15 (1966II01). 0.053 15 (1955Ni19). Other: 0.12 (1957Vo22). The value of 1966II01 is from (K x ray) γ/γ for the unresolved 473+493 γ ; however, the 493 γ is questionable.
493.3 ^d 7	<0.01 [#]	492.84	(3 ⁺)	0.0	5 ⁺				Mult.: $I(\text{ce}(K))=0.009$ (1957Vo22) not seen by 1967Be19 who report $I\gamma<0.01$. These data give $\alpha(K)\exp>0.9$, requiring mult $\geq M4$ or an E0 component. The placement is not consistent with mult=E0.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{212}Bi α decay (60.55 min) (continued) $\gamma(^{208}\text{Tl})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
576 ^a _d	<0.001	621	(6 ⁺)	39.857	4 ⁺	I_γ : $I(\gamma)/I(620\gamma)=0.22$ 10 (1966KIZZ). Transition masked by strong 583 γ In ^{208}Tl decay.
620 ^a _d	<0.003	621	(6 ⁺)	0.0	5 ⁺	I_γ : not seen. The I_γ limit is from 1962Be09 .

[†] From [1957Vo22](#), unless otherwise noted. The evaluator has recalculated E_γ from $B \times \rho(\text{ce}(K))$ using revised fundamental constants ([1987Co39](#)) and electron binding energies ([1967Be73](#)). Others: [1984Ge07](#), [1982Sa36](#), [1973Da38](#), [1967Be19](#).

[‡] From [1984Ge07](#), unless otherwise noted. Others: [1983Sc13](#), [1982Sa36](#), [1973Da38](#), [1967Be19](#), [1962Be09](#), [1960Em01](#).

[#] From [1967Be19](#).

[@] From [1966KIZZ](#).

[&] Corrected for contribution from 327.6-keV γ from ^{232}U decay.

^a The quoted $\alpha(K)\exp$ values are from $\text{Ice}(K)$ and the adopted I_γ values, normalized to $\alpha(K)=0.357$ for the 288 γ , unless noted otherwise.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.3594 6.

^c Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^d Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{212}Bi α decay (60.55 min)