

$^{209}\text{Bi}(\mathbf{p},2n\gamma)$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 108,1583 (2007)	1-Jun-2007

1968Tr06 E=14,15,16 MeV.

1970Go09 E=16 MeV.

1976Ju03 E=14.8 MeV.

1985Ra21 E(p)=11.5-20.7.

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1985Ra21 based on $\gamma\gamma$ data. The evaluators have added a level At 3399.9 on the basis of the agreement In energy of the 697.0 γ , unplaced by 1985Ra21, with the transition deexciting the 3399.5 level In $^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$.

 ^{208}Po Levels

E(level)	J $^{\pi \dagger}$	T $_{1/2}^{\#}$	Comments
0.0	0 $^{+}$		
686.5	2 $^{+}$		
1263.0	2 $^{+}$		
1271.6	0 $^{+}$	465 ps 20	T $_{1/2}$: from P, γ (t) (1976Ju03).
1346.5	4 $^{+}$		
1420.0	3 $^{+}$		J $^{\pi}$: J=3 from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1985Ra21).
1524.1	6 $^{+}$	4.8 ns 5	
1528.1	8 $^{+}$		
1539.5	2 $^{+}$		
1583.0	4 $^{+}$		
2041.1	(6) $^{+}$		
2160.0	8 $^{+\ddagger}$		
2240.6	9 $^{+}$		
2335.2	(7) $^{+}$		
2369.3	7 $^{-}$		
2414.4	(7) $^{+}$		
2554.4	10 $^{+}$		
2555.7	(7) $^{+}$		
2574.3	(6,7) $^{-}$		
2702.9	11 $^{-}$	8.0 ns 5	
2800.3	9 $^{-\ddagger}$		
3399.9	12 $^{-}$		

\dagger Except where noted otherwise, spin and parity values are from Adopted Levels. Assignments from this reaction are noted.

\ddagger From $\gamma(\theta)$ and multipolarities of deexciting transitions (1985Ra21).

From P, γ (t) (1985Ra21), except for the 1271 level As noted.

 $\gamma(^{208}\text{Po})$

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult.#	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{\@}$	Comments
4.02 3		1528.1	8 $^{+}$	1524.1	6 $^{+}$	E2			E $_{\gamma}$: from ^{208}At ε decay. 1985Ra21 report 4.0 2 from differences of energy sums. Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
148.5	2.5	2702.9	11 $^{-}$	2554.4	10 $^{+}$	E1		0.172	Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.34$ 3.
177.5	35	1524.1	6 $^{+}$	1346.5	4 $^{+}$	E2		0.736	Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.30$ 1.
205.3	1	2574.3	(6,7) $^{-}$	2369.3	7 $^{-}$	M1(+E2)	≤ 0.27	1.47 4	Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.20$ 1.
236.5	1	1583.0	4 $^{+}$	1346.5	4 $^{+}$	M1(+E2)	≤ 0.39	0.96 5	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.50$ 5.
294.1	<1	2335.2	(7) $^{+}$	2041.1	(6) $^{+}$	M1		0.558	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.50$ 5.
313.7	2.3	2554.4	10 $^{+}$	2240.6	9 $^{+}$	M1+E2	-0.09 1	0.465 1	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.39$ 2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{209}\text{Bi}(\mathbf{p},2\mathbf{n}\gamma)$ (continued) **$\gamma(^{208}\text{Po})$ (continued)**

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{\@}$	Comments
430.9	1.5	2800.3	9 ⁻	2369.3	7 ⁻	E2		0.0469	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.025$ 5.
^x 465.9									
517.0	4.7	2041.1	(6) ⁺	1524.1	6 ⁺	M1+E2	0.37 5	0.111 3	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.088$ 2.
^x 566.1									
576.5	2.6	1263.0	2 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	M1+(E2)	≤ 0.48	0.085 7	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.075$ 5.
585.1	<1	1271.6	0 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺				E_γ, I_γ : No transition to the 2 ⁺ level is seen ($E_\gamma=585$ from the level energy difference), $I_\gamma<0.3I_\gamma(1272\gamma)$ (1976Ju03). Note that 1970Go09 report Ice(K)/Ice(K)(1273)=0.86 11 for $E_\gamma=575$ 7, apparently incorrectly interpreted by these authors As the 0 ⁺ to 2 ⁺ cascade transition.
^x 601.4									
631.8	6.2	2160.0	8 ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	0.42 11	0.064 3	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.049$ 4.
660.0	73	1346.5	4 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	E2		0.0173	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0125$ 5.
686.5	100	686.5	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.0159	Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
694.5	2	2041.1	(6) ⁺	1346.5	4 ⁺				
697.0		3399.9	12 ⁻	2702.9	11 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.21 5	0.0542 8	
712.5	8	2240.6	9 ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.29 18	0.049 3	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.041$ 5.
^x 724.1									
733.5	7	1420.0	3 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.71 17	0.037 4	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.029$ 7.
807.1	2	2335.2	(7) ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+(E2)	≤ 0.27	0.0373 9	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.03$ 1.
^x 836.8									
^x 843.7 &									
845.0	9	2369.3	7 ⁻	1524.1	6 ⁺	E1		0.00371	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0033$ 5.
852.5	2	1539.5	2 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.70 20	0.026 3	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.024$ 4.
^x 863.6									
886.6	2	2414.4	(7) ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	0.6 3	0.023 5	
896.5	9	1583.0	4 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	E2		0.0092	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.007$ 1.
^x 934.7									
^x 948.3									
^x 992.3 &									
^x 1014.4 &									
1026.3	2	2554.4	10 ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	E2		0.0071	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.007$ 3.
^x 1027.2									
1027.6	2	2555.7	(7) ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	0.42 +20-25	0.0185 17	
^x 1146.3									
1174.8	1	2702.9	11 ⁻	1528.1	8 ⁺	E3		0.0119	
^x 1203.9									
^x 1257.3									
1263.0	1.2	1263.0	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				E_γ : from 1976Ju03 . monopole strength, $\rho=0.030$ to 0.037.
1271.6	8	1271.6	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0			Mult.: strong ce transition, No photons (1976Ju03).
^x 1280.9									
1539.5		1539.5	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				E_γ : not listed In authors' table, but shown In their level scheme.

[†] From [1985Ra21](#). Others: [1968Tr06](#), [1970Go09](#).

 $^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{p},2n\gamma)$ (continued) $\gamma(^{208}\text{Po})$ (continued)

[‡] From [1985Ra21](#). Relative prompt data taken At $E(p)=20$, $\theta=125^\circ$. $\Delta I\gamma$ are In the range 1-20%.

[#] From Adopted Gammas. $\alpha(K)\exp$ data of [1985Ra21](#), given here, are based on $I(\text{ce}(K))/I\gamma$ normalized so that $\alpha(K)(686.5\gamma)=0.0118$ (E2 theory). Other $I(\text{ce})$: [1970Go09](#).

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

