

$^{208}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 108,1583 (2007)	1-Jun-2007

1973Na18 E=28 MeV.

1985Ra21 E=19.0-27.6 MeV.

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1985Ra21 based on $\gamma\gamma$ data. The evaluators have added a level At 3399.9 on the basis of the agreement in energy of the 697.0 γ , unplaced by 1985Ra21, with the transition deexciting the 3399.5 level in $^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ and in ($^9\text{Be},5n\gamma$).

 ^{208}Po Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
686.5	2 ⁺		
1263.0	2 ⁺		
1346.5	4 ⁺		
1420.0	3 ⁺		
1524.1	6 ⁺		
1528.1	8 ⁺	380 ns 50	J^π : J=3 from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1985Ra21). g=0.913 9 $T_{1/2}$: from 1985Ra21. g-factor: from 1973Na18 based on stroboscopic resonance method. Value is corrected for Knight shift (1.4% 4) and diamagnetism (-1.9% 2) (see 1976Ha56). The value of 1973Na18 is a weighted average of their value in that work, and an earlier value by the same authors. The uncorrected weighted average is 0.913 4.
1539.5	2 ⁺		
1583.0	4 ⁺		
2041.1	(6,7) ⁺		
2160.0	8 ⁺		
2240.6	9 ⁺		
2293.3	(6) ⁺		
2335.2	(7) ⁺		
2369.3	7 ⁻		
2414.4	(7) ⁺		
2506.9	(5,6,7) ⁺		
2554.4	10 ⁺		
2555.7	(7) ⁺		
2574.3	(6,7) ⁻		
2702.9	11 ⁻		
2800.3	9 ⁻		
3399.9?	12 ⁻		

[†] Spin and parity values are those given under adopted values.

 $\gamma(^{208}\text{Po})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	α ^{&}	Comments
4.02 3		1528.1	8 ⁺	1524.1	6 ⁺	E2		E_γ : from ^{208}At ε decay. 1985Ra21 report 4.0 2 from differences of energy sums. Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
^x 110@								
148.5	3	2702.9	11 ⁻	2554.4	10 ⁺	E1	0.172	
177.5	35	1524.1	6 ⁺	1346.5	4 ⁺	E2		Mult.: $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.36$ 4\$.
^x 197.6@								

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$ (continued) $\gamma(^{208}\text{Po})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^\&$	Comments
205.3	0.9	2574.3	(6,7) ⁻	2369.3	7 ⁻	M1(+E2)	≤0.27	1.47 4	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=0.10$ 1\$.
236.5	0.9	1583.0	4 ⁺	1346.5	4 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤0.39	0.96 5	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.80$ 5\$.
^x 245.6									
252.2	0.4	2293.3	(6) ⁺	2041.1	(6,7) ⁺				
^x 262.5									
^x 275.0									
313.7	2.5	2554.4	10 ⁺	2240.6	9 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.09 1	0.465 1	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.37$ 2\$.
^x 389.7									
430.9	1.7	2800.3	9 ⁻	2369.3	7 ⁻	E2		0.0469	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.047$ 5\$.
^x 465.9									
517.0	4.6	2041.1	(6,7) ⁺	1524.1	6 ⁺	M1+E2	0.37 5	0.111 3	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.091$ 3\$.
576.5	3.4	1263.0	2 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤0.48	0.085 7	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.071$ 5\$.
631.8	5.8	2160.0	8 ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	0.42 11	0.064 4	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.052$ 4\$.
660.0	72	1346.5	4 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	E2			Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0127$ 4\$.
686.5	100	686.5	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2			
697.0		3399.9?	12 ⁻	2702.9	11 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.21 5	0.0542 8	
712.5	7.2	2240.6	9 ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.29 18	0.049 3	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.040$ 3\$.
733.5	6.4	1420.0	3 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.71 17	0.037 4	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.033$ 5\$.
769.4	1.4	2293.3	(6) ⁺	1524.1	6 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤0.6	0.039 4	
807.1	2.1	2335.2	(7) ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤0.27	0.0373 9	
^x 843.7 [@]									
845.0	9.6	2369.3	7 ⁻	1524.1	6 ⁺	E1		0.00371	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.004$ 1\$.
852.5	0.9	1539.5	2 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.70 20	0.026 3	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.019$ 3\$.
^x 873.3									
886.3	1.7	2414.4	(7) ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	0.6 3	0.023 5	
896.5	<24	1583.0	4 ⁺	686.5	2 ⁺	E2		0.0092	I_γ : peak contains impurity contributions. From branching In Adopted Gammas one expects $I_\gamma=8.8$.
^x 906.7									
946.9	1.2	2293.3	(6) ⁺	1346.5	4 ⁺	E2		0.00826	
^x 974.8									
982.8	1.3	2506.9	(5,6,7) ⁺	1524.1	6 ⁺	M1+E2	0.35 15	0.0212 12	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.012$ 3\$.
^x 1008.5 ^a	3.1								E_γ : probably not the same As the 1008.5 γ assigned to the 3564 level In ϵ decay since the much stronger 989.9 γ from that level is not seen.
^x 1014.4 [@]									
1026.3	2	2554.4	10 ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	E2		0.0071	
1027.6	1	2555.7	(7) ⁺	1528.1	8 ⁺	M1+E2	0.42 +20-25	0.0185 17	
1174.8	1.3	2702.9	11 ⁻	1528.1	8 ⁺	E3		0.0119	
^x 1223.7									
^x 1238.4 [@]									
1263.0	1.9	1263.0	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2			Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}\approx 0.0043$.
^x 1368 [@]									
1539.0 ^a		1539.5	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				not listed In authors' table, but shown In their level scheme.

† From 1985Ra21. The authors quote a single set of energies for their ($^3\text{He},3n\gamma$) and ($p,2n\gamma$) experiments. The uncertainties are

 $^{208}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$ (continued) $\gamma(^{208}\text{Po})$ (continued)

stated As being typically 0.1 to 0.2 keV. The unplaced transitions without intensities are from the authors's spectrum.

‡ From [1985Ra21](#). Relative prompt data taken At $E(^3\text{He})=27$ MeV, $\theta=125^\circ$. $\Delta I\gamma$ are In the range 1-20%.

From adopted γ 's. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}$ data of [1985Ra21](#), given here, are based on $I(\text{ce})/I\gamma$, normalized so that $\alpha(\text{K})(686.5\gamma)=0.0118$ (E2 theory).

@ Tentative transition.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{208}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- ▶ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- ▶ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- ▶ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - -▶ γ Decay (Uncertain)

