

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	B. Singh, K. Zuber	ENSDF	12-Apr-2010

$Q(\beta^-)=3.48\times 10^3$  3;  $S(n)=4.85\times 10^3$  5;  $S(p)=9.7\times 10^3$  syst;  $Q(\alpha)=2.23\times 10^3$  syst [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 3485 31 5.12E3 15 9810 calc 2120 calc.

$Q(\beta^-), S(n)$ : from measured mass excess ( $^{208}\text{Hg}$ )=-13265 31 ([2009Ch08](#)), mass excess ( $^{208}\text{Tl}$ )=-16749.5 20 and mass excess ( $^{207}\text{Hg}$ )=-16220 150 ([2009AuZZ](#),[2003Au03](#)).

$S(p), Q(\alpha)$ : calculated values ([1997Mo25](#)).

Assignment:  $\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},\text{X})$ .  $E=360$  MeV. Hg separation, p 2614 $\gamma$  ([1994Zh02](#)).

Also produced in  $\text{Pb}(^{18}\text{O},\text{X})$ ,  $E=600$  MeV ( $\sigma=5.0 \mu\text{b}$ )  $I\gamma$  ([2004Zh28](#)). Other papers by the same group: [2003Zh06](#), [2003Zh19](#), [1998Zh22](#), [1997Zh18](#), [1993Zh07](#), [1993Zh35](#).

Measured mass excess=-13265 keV 31 ([2009Ch08](#), Schottky mass spectrometry).

Nuclear structure calculations for Hg isotopes: [1999Sh04](#).

 **$^{208}\text{Hg}$  Levels****Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

A  $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{X}\gamma)$ :isomer

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0 <sup>#</sup>	0 <sup>+</sup>	41 min +5-4	A	% $\beta^-$ =100 T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <a href="#">1997Zh18</a> . Earlier value from these authors has been reported as 42 min +23-12 ( <a href="#">1994Zh02</a> , <a href="#">1993Zh35</a> , <a href="#">1993Zh07</a> , <a href="#">1993ZhZU</a> ).
669.0 5	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		A	Configuration= $\nu g_{9/2}^2$ 2 <sup>+</sup> with mixing of $\pi(s_{1/2}d_{3/2})$ ( <a href="#">2009Al29</a> ).
1093.9 <sup>#</sup> 7	(4 <sup>+</sup> )		A	
1296.9 <sup>#</sup> 9	(6 <sup>+</sup> )		A	
1296.9+x <sup>#</sup>	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	99 ns 14	A	%IT=100 T <sub>1/2</sub> : from $\gamma(t)$ gated on 669.0 $\gamma$ , 424.9 $\gamma$ and 203.0 $\gamma$ ( <a href="#">2009Al29</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From E $\gamma$ 's, assuming  $\Delta(E\gamma)=0.5$  KeV for each  $\gamma$  ray.

<sup>‡</sup> From shell-model predictions and probable yrast sequence.

# Dominant configuration= $\nu g_{9/2}^2$  ([2009Al29](#)).

 **$\gamma(^{208}\text{Hg})$** 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$J_i^\pi$	E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
669.0	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	669.0	100	0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
1093.9	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	424.9	100	669.0	(2 <sup>+</sup> )			
1296.9	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	203.0	100	1093.9	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	0.37	Mult.: from $\alpha$ (exp) deduced from intensity balance, assuming cascade of E2 transitions ( <a href="#">2009Al29</a> ).
1296.9+x	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	x		1296.9	(6 <sup>+</sup> )			E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : x<83 keV (binding energy of the K electron in Hg), as suggested by the observed low intensity of Hg K $\alpha$ x rays ( <a href="#">2009Al29</a> ).
								B(E2)(W.u.)=1.9 4-1.58 22 for a transition of E $\gamma$ =20-80 keV as compared to B(E2)(W.u.)=1.22 from shell-model calculations ( <a href="#">2009Al29</a> ).
								Comparison with similar 8 <sup>+</sup> to 6 <sup>+</sup> transition in N=128, $^{212}\text{Po}$ having B(E2)(W.u.)=2.30 gives $(E\gamma)^5(1+\alpha)=3.4\times 10^{10}$ for

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $\gamma(^{208}\text{Hg})$  (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	$E_\gamma$	Comments
---------------------	------------	----------

<sup>†</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

