

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\text{He},\text{t}),(\text{He},\text{tp}),(\text{He},\text{tn})$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 108,1583 (2007)	1-Jun-2007

[1981Ga08](#) E=81 MeV.[1991Br20](#) E=900, 2000 MeV.[1991Ja04](#) E=76, 200 MeV. FWHM=50 keV (At 200 MeV).[1994Bo22](#) E=61.2 MeV. FWHM=30 and 400 keV for 1 and 6 MeV neutrons, respectively.[1994Ak03](#) see [1995Ak02](#).[1994Ha40](#) see [1995Ak02](#).[1995Ak02](#) E=450 MeV. FWHM(t)≈300 keV, FWHM(t+p)≈400 keV. Data reported In [1994Ak01](#), [1994Ak03](#), and [1994Ha40](#) for the IAS and higher resonances are preliminary and are superseded by data In [1995Ak02](#) (priv. Comm. From M. N. Harakeh).[1998Ha43](#) data reported here are the same As given In [1995Ak02](#).[2000Ak01](#) E=450 MeV. FWHM(t+p)=580 keV. See also [1998Ha43](#).[2001Kr23](#) E=450 MeV. FWHM=300 keV.[2003Ze03](#) see [2004Ze02](#). These papers supersede the authors earlier work At E=177 MeV reported In [2001Ze02](#) and [2000Ze03](#).[2004Ze02](#) E=410 MeV. FWHM=200 keV.[1991Br20](#) study the broad features of the L=0, L=1, and L=2 strength distributions up to 70 MeV. ^{208}Bi Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [#]	L [†]	S [#]	Comments
1803 25	1 ⁺	0	2.7 5	$\sigma(\text{mb}/\text{sr})=0.302$ 15.
2860 50		>0		the energy is from 1994Ak03 . The uncertainty and the assignment of L ≠ 0 are from a priv. Comm. from J. Jänecke. This peak probably corresponds to the adopted 2 ⁻ level At 2893.
3174 25	1 ⁺	0	0.7 4	$\sigma(\text{mb}/\text{sr})=0.204$ 14.
3863 25	1 ⁺	0	≤3.5	$\sigma(\text{mb}/\text{sr})=0.194$ 13.
4043 25	1 ⁺	0	≤3.5	$\sigma(\text{mb}/\text{sr})=0.173$ 13.
4621 25	1 ⁺	0	1.8 5	$\sigma(\text{mb}/\text{sr})=0.350$ 18.
5.9×10 ³ 2	1 ⁺	0	3.2 8	E(level): 1991Ja04 report a broad peak At≈5600.
8.0×10 ³ 2	1 ⁺	0	7 3	
9.8×10 ³ 2	1 ⁺	0	12 4	
15171 18	0 ⁺	0		$\Gamma=232$ keV 6 (1994Ak03); $\Gamma_p=141$ keV 12 (1981Ga08) $\Gamma_n/\Gamma=0.37$ 3 (1994Bo22) configuration=isobaric analog of the ^{208}Pb g.s.. E(level): from 1991Ja04 .
15.6×10 ³ 2	1 ⁺	0		J^π : $\sigma(\theta)$ is isotropic for proton decay to states In ^{207}Pb (1981Ga08). $\Gamma_p=51$ keV 6, 26 keV 6, 61 keV 8, and 3.3 keV 5 for proton decay to the 3p _{1/2} , 2f _{5/2} , 3p _{3/2} , and 2f _{7/2} neutron hole states, respectively, In ^{207}Pb . Other: 1995Ak02 report 52 keV 10, 81 keV 16, and 3.6 keV 17 for decay to the 3p _{1/2} , 2f _{5/2} + 3p _{3/2} , and 2f _{7/2} states. $\Gamma=3720$ keV 250 (1995Ak02); $\Gamma_p=184$ keV 49 (1995Ak02) configuration=Gamow–Teller resonance. E(level): from 1995Ak02 .
21.1×10 ³ 8				J^π : tp(θ) is consistent with J=1, not with J=0 (1981Ga08). L: from 1991Br20 . $\Gamma_p=58$ keV 20, 102 keV 31, 8 keV 9, and 16 keV 8 for proton decay to the 3p _{1/2} , 2f _{7/2} + 3p _{3/2} , 1i _{13/2} , and 2f _{7/2} neutron hole states, respectively, In ^{207}Pb (1995Ak02). Earlier values from 1981Ga08 are discrepant. 1995Ak02 attribute the discrepancy to the fact that At the low bombarding energy used In 1981Ga08 the GTR is weakly excited, and other processes contribute to the triton-proton coincidence yield. $\Gamma=8.4$ MeV 17 (1995Ak02); $\Gamma_p=1122$ keV 324 (2000Ak01) configuration=isovector spin-flip dipole resonance In analogy with data In (p,n). E(level): from 1995Ak02 . The value of 21.01 MeV 5 given In 1994Ha40 is a typo. $\Gamma_p/\Gamma=0.134$ 39 (2000Ak01). This value supersedes that of 0.141 42 In 1995Ak02 and 0.146

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}({}^3\text{He},\text{t}),({}^3\text{He},\text{tp}),({}^3\text{He},\text{tn})$ (continued) ^{208}Bi Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	L [†]	S [#]	Comments
<i>I3 In 1994Ha40.</i> $\Gamma_p=80 \text{ keV } 24, 176 \text{ keV } 51, 234 \text{ keV } 68, 286 \text{ keV } 82, 264 \text{ keV } 76,$ and $82 \text{ keV } 23$ for proton decay to the $3p_{1/2}, 2f_{5/2}, 3p_{3/2}, 1i_{13/2}, 2f_{7/2},$ and $1h_{9/2}$ neutron hole states, respectively, In ^{207}Pb (2000AK01).				
[†] From 1991Ja04 for levels up to 4621, except for the 2860 as noted. Data for the 5900 to 9800 levels are from 2001Kr23 . [‡] Except where noted otherwise, J^π is based on L=0 and the assumption that possible 0^+ anti-analog states below the IAS state will not Be populated In $({}^3\text{He},\text{t})$ At the bombarding energies used by 1991Ja04 and 2001Kr23 . [#] Values are strengths In % relative to the strength of the main Gamow-Teller resonance At 15.6 MeV. The value for the 3863+4043 level is 3.0 5. Data are from 2001Kr23 . Cross sections At 0° for $E({}^3\text{He})=200 \text{ MeV}$ from 1991Ja04 are given In comments for comparison.				