

$^{208}\text{Bi IT decay}$ 

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 108,1583 (2007)	1-Jun-2007

Parent:  $^{208}\text{Bi}$ : E=1571.1 8;  $J^\pi=10^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.58$  ms 4; %IT decay=100.0K x ray:  $I(\text{K x ray})/I(921\gamma)=0.50$  8 ([1968Bo23](#)), 0.44 9 ([1968Ga17](#)).

<a href="#">1958Du80</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(\gamma, n)$	$E \leq 22$ MeV
<a href="#">1961Gl16</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(n, 2n)$	$E=14.7$ MeV
<a href="#">1962Mo19</a>	$^{208}\text{Pb}(p, n)$ , $^{209}\text{Bi}(p, pn)$	$E=9$ MeV
<a href="#">1966Me02</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(n, 2n)$	$E=14.7$ MeV
<a href="#">1967Hi08</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(\gamma, n)$	
<a href="#">1968Bo23</a>	$^{208}\text{Pb}(d, 2n)$	$E=13$ MeV
<a href="#">1968Ga17</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(\gamma, n)$	
<a href="#">1973Sa22</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(n, 2n)$	$E=14.7$ MeV
<a href="#">1974Hu11</a>	$^{208}\text{Pb}(d, 2n)$	$E=18$ MeV
<a href="#">1975WhZY</a> , <a href="#">1974WhZT</a>	$^{204}\text{Hg}(^7\text{Li}, 3n)$	$E=34$ MeV
<a href="#">1976Ga33</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(n, 2n)$	$E=14.7$ MeV
<a href="#">1986Ar12</a>	$\text{Pb}(p, xn)$	$E=20$ MeV
<a href="#">1995An36</a>	$^{209}\text{Bi}(n, 2n)$	$E=14$ MeV

 $^{208}\text{Bi Levels}$ 

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$5^+$		
64.1 8	$4^+$		
510.3 5	$6^+$	<8 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : from $(921\gamma)(510\gamma)(t) < 8$ ns ( <a href="#">1958Du80</a> ).
650.1 6	$7^+$		
1571.1 8	$10^-$	2.58 ms 4	<p><math>g=0.2674</math> 14</p> <p><math>T_{1/2}</math>: weighted average of 2.6 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1961Gl16</a>), 2.5 ms <a href="#">I</a> and 2.6 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1962Mo19</a>), 2.7 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1966Me02</a>), 2.53 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1967Hi08</a>), 2.65 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1973Sa22</a>), 2.7 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1976Ga33</a>), and 2.58 ms <a href="#">I</a> (<a href="#">1995An36</a>). Others: <a href="#">1986Ar12</a>, <a href="#">1958Du80</a>.</p> <p><math>g</math>-factor: from NMR-PAD (<a href="#">1974Hu11</a>). Authors' value is corrected for diamagnetic shift and Knight shift. The Knight-shift correction is from <a href="#">1985No09</a>, and is slightly different from that applied by the authors. The uncorrected value is 0.2658 14.</p>

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to the adopted  $E\gamma$  data. $\gamma(^{208}\text{Bi})$  $I\gamma$  normalization,  $I(\gamma+ce)$  normalization: from  $I(\gamma+ce) 921\gamma=100$ . $\gamma\gamma$ : see [1967Hi08](#).

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†#</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha$ <sup>@</sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
~64	0.27 7	64.1	$4^+$	0.0	$5^+$	M1(+E2)	<0.14	7.8 5	2.4 6	$\alpha(L)=5.9$ 4; $\alpha(M)=1.41$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.44$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.359$ 25; $\alpha(O)=0.073$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.0085$ 4
139.8 5	14.4 20	650.1	$7^+$	510.3	$6^+$	M1(+E2)	<0.33	3.81 11		$I_\gamma$ : from $I(\gamma+ce)$ and $\alpha$ . Mult., $\delta$ , $\alpha$ : from Adopted Gammas. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : from an intensity balance At the 64.1 level. $\alpha(K)=3.05$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.580$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.138$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0432$ 21

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{208}\text{Bi}$  IT decay (continued)** **$\gamma(^{208}\text{Bi})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^@$	Comments
446.0 10	2.3 6	510.3	6 <sup>+</sup>	64.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.0406 7	$\alpha(N)=0.0352$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.0071$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.000825$ 17 Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha(K)\exp=3.2$ 8 from K x ray/ $\gamma$ (1968Bo23) gives $\delta<0.62$ , $\alpha(\exp)=4.1$ 10 from scin $\Sigma \gamma/\gamma$ (1967Hi08) gives $\delta<0.34$ , $K/L=6.3$ 15 (1968Bo23) gives $\delta<0.34$ , and $\alpha(\exp)=4.4+11-7$ from the requirement of an intensity balance At the 650 level gives $\delta<0.33$ .
510.3 5	75 5	510.3	6 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5 <sup>+</sup>	E2(+M1)	>1.3	0.044 15	$\alpha(K)=0.034$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0082$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.0020$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00062$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00051$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.000101$ 21; $\alpha(P)=1.1\times 10^{-5}$ 3
650.1 8	24 3	650.1	7 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.0169	$\delta$ : from $K/L=3.2$ 15 (1968Bo23). $\alpha(K)=0.01260$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.00324$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000794$ 12; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000247$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000203$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.99\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=4.15\times 10^{-6}$ 6
921.0 5	100	1571.1	10 <sup>-</sup>	650.1	7 <sup>+</sup>	E3(+M4)	<0.05	0.0200 2	Mult.: $K/L=3.6$ 12 (1968Bo23) gives $\delta(E2/M1)>1.8$ . Placement In the decay scheme rules out an M1 component. $\alpha(K)=0.0144$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00428$ 8; $\alpha(N)=0.001066$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000332$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.000273$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.39\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=5.62\times 10^{-6}$ 11 Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017$ 6 (1968Bo23) gives mult=E3(+M4) with $\delta<0.25$ . From the recommended upper limit of 10 for the $\gamma$ -ray strength, one gets $\delta<0.05$ .

<sup>†</sup> From 1968Bo23. Other: 1968Ga17.<sup>‡</sup> From relative  $I_{e^-}$  and  $I_\gamma$  data of 1968Bo23 normalized so that  $\alpha(K)\exp(140\gamma)=3.05$  14 (see 139 $\gamma$  below).<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.9804.<sup>@</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

