

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 5n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ **1985Ra18**

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Lalkovski	NDS 112, 707 (2011)	1-Aug-2010

$E(\alpha)=40\text{-}64$ MeV on $^{204,206,207,208}\text{Pb}$ targets and $^{207}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He}, 3n\gamma)$ at $E=27$ MeV; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$, and $\gamma\gamma(t)$.
 $^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 3n)$ at $E\alpha=43$ MeV and $^{207}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He}, 3n\gamma)$ at 27.6 MeV; measured conversion electron coefficients; Detectors: small planar Ge(Li) detectors, large coaxial Ge(Li) detectors, and Si(Li) detectors; Deduced: Level scheme, J^π , $T_{1/2}$, transition rates, and configurations.

Others: [1973Ri06](#) ($\alpha, 3n\gamma$) $E=38$ MeV (preliminary report in [1982RaZV](#))
[1985Ro07](#) ($\alpha, 3n\gamma$) $E=44$ MeV

 ^{207}Po Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$5/2^-$	5.80 h 2	$J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels. configuration: $(\pi h_{9/2})_{0+}^{+2}(\nu f_{5/2})^{-1}$.
814.50 20	$9/2^-$		configuration: $(\pi h_{9/2})_{2+}^{+2}(\nu f_{5/2})^{-1}$.
1115.1 3	$13/2^+$	$49 \mu\text{s}$ 4	$g=-0.140$ 2 (1973Ri06) $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels. g: Corrected for Knight shift and diamagnetic shielding. configuration: $(\pi h_{9/2})_{0+}^{+2}(\nu i_{13/2})^{-1}$.
1274.1 3	$13/2^-$		
1383.2 4	$19/2^-$	2.8 s 1	$T_{1/2}$: From $268\gamma(t)$, $300\gamma(t)$ and $814\gamma(t)$ in $^{207}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He}, 3n\gamma)$. configuration: $(\pi h_{9/2})_{8+}^{+2}(\nu f_{5/2})^{-1}$.
1564.3 4	$21/2^-$		configuration: $(\pi h_{9/2})_{8+}^{+2}(\nu f_{5/2})^{-1}$. The assignment is tentative.
1691.3 4	$17/2^+$		
2313.5 4	$21/2^+$		
2379.7 4	$25/2^+$	43.0 ns 3	$g=0.433$ 3 (1985Ro07) $T_{1/2}$: From $576.2\gamma(t)$, $622.2\gamma(t)$, and $749.2\gamma(t)$ in 1985Ro07 . Systematics uncertainty due to time calibration and background subtraction has been included. Other: 40 ns 2 is reported in 1985Ra18 , based on 37 ns 4 ($66\gamma(t)$), 42 ns 2 ($181\gamma(t)$), 40 ns 1 ($576\gamma(t)$), 40 ns 1 ($622\gamma(t)$), and 41 ns 1 ($749\gamma(t)$). g: Corrected for Knight shift and diamagnetic shielding. configuration: $67.5\% (\pi h_{9/2})_{8+}^{+2}(\nu i_{13/2})^{-1} + 32.5\% (\pi h_{9/2})_{6+}^{+2}(\nu i_{13/2})^{-1}$.
2879.5 5	$27/2^+$		
2963.7 5	$27/2^+$		configuration: $((\pi h_{9/2})^{+1} (\pi i_{13/2})^{+1})_{11-} (\nu f_{5/2})^{-1}$.
3137.1 5	$29/2^+$		configuration: $(\pi h_{9/2})_{8+}^{+2}(\nu i_{13/2})^{-1}$.
3381.3 5	$31/2^+$		
3599.0 5	$29/2^-$		
3602.5 5	$31/2^-$		
3800.9 6	$33/2^-$		
3919.6 6			
4346.4 6	$35/2^-$		

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From [1985Ra18](#), based on deduced transition mult using $\gamma(\theta)$, $\alpha(K)\exp$ and analogy to yrast cascades in adjacent nuclides.

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 5n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ 1985Ra18 (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Po})$

E_γ^\ddagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α^\dagger	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
(3.4)		3602.5	31/2 ⁻	3599.0	29/2 ⁻	[M1]		1.3 2	
66.2 2	0.8 1	2379.7	25/2 ⁺	2313.5	21/2 ⁺	E2	48.5 10		E_γ : Not observed. Existence inferred from 719.5 γ -198.4 γ coin. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: From $\text{Ti}(465.4\gamma)/\text{Ti}(3.4\gamma)=2$ in 1985Ra18. $\alpha(L)=36.0$ 8; $\alpha(M)=9.59$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=2.96$ 6 $\alpha(N)=2.45$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.465$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.0411$ 9 Mult.: From $\alpha(\text{exp})=55$ 10, deduced from an intensity balances in delayed spectrum. $\alpha(K)=82.9$ 12; $\alpha(L)=265$ 4; $\alpha(M)=79.5$ 12; $\alpha(N+..)=25.8$ 4 $\alpha(N)=21.2$ 3; $\alpha(O)=4.18$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.430$ 6
109.1	<0.1	1383.2	19/2 ⁻	1274.1	13/2 ⁻	M3	453		E_γ : Seen only as a ce line in $^{207}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He}, 3n\gamma)$. Mult.: L12/L3=1.1.
173.3 2	1.2 1	3137.1	29/2 ⁺	2963.7	27/2 ⁺				$\alpha(K)=1.668$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.294$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0694$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0221$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0179$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00374$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000483$ 7 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=1.78$ 9; $A_2=-0.24$ 3, $A_4=0.03$ 4.
181.1 2	6.0 3	1564.3	21/2 ⁻	1383.2	19/2 ⁻	M1	2.05		$\alpha(K)=1.291$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.227$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0536$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01707$ 25 $\alpha(N)=0.01381$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.00289$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.000373$ 6 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=1.36$ 7; $A_2=-0.17$ 6, $A_4=0.03$ 10.
198.4 2	9 1	3800.9	33/2 ⁻	3602.5	31/2 ⁻	M1	1.590		$\alpha(K)=0.724$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.1271$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.0300$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00954$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.00772$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.001615$ 23; $\alpha(P)=0.000209$ 3 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.80$ 8; $A_2=-0.22$ 2, $A_4=0.08$ 3.
244.2 2	5.0 3	3381.3	31/2 ⁺	3137.1	29/2 ⁺	M1	0.891		$\alpha(K)=0.625$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.1097$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0259$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00823$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00666$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.001393$ 20; $\alpha(P)=0.000180$ 3 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.61$ 6; $A_2=-0.23$ 2, $A_4=0.05$ 3.
257.5 2	7.7 6	3137.1	29/2 ⁺	2879.5	27/2 ⁺	M1	0.769		$\alpha(K)=0.229$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.691$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.189$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0593$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.0489$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.00945$ 14; $\alpha(P)=0.000897$ 13 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.25$ 3; $A_2\approx 0$.
268.1 2	13.7 7	1383.2	19/2 ⁻	1115.1	13/2 ⁺	E3	1.168		$\alpha(K)=1.372$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.351$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0871$ 13; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0279$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0226$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00470$ 7; $\alpha(P)=0.000589$ 9 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=1.40$ 7; $A_2\approx 0$.
300.6 2	31.6 16	1115.1	13/2 ⁺	814.50	9/2 ⁻	M2	1.84		$\alpha(K)=0.0264$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00977$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.00247$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000774$
459.6 2	6.3 5	1274.1	13/2 ⁻	814.50	9/2 ⁻	E2	0.0394		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 5n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ 1985Ra18 (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Po})$ (continued)

E_γ^\ddagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	α^\dagger	Comments
								<i>II</i>
								$\alpha(N)=0.000634$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.0001261$ 18; $\alpha(P)=1.363 \times 10^{-5}$ 20
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.024$ 3; $A_2=0.09$ 5, $A_4=-0.03$ 2. The A_2 coefficient is not consistent with the proposed assignment, due to a loss of alignment.
465.4 2	2.6 3	3602.5	31/2 ⁻	3137.1	29/2 ⁺	E1	0.01192	$\alpha(K)=0.00980$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.001622$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.000379$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001195$ 17 $\alpha(N)=9.70 \times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=2.00 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.48 \times 10^{-6}$ 4
499.8 2	24.0 12	2879.5	27/2 ⁺	2379.7	25/2 ⁺	M1	0.1276	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.009$ 1; $A_2=-0.23$ 2, $A_4=0.05$ 3. $\alpha(K)=0.1040$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0179$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00422$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001344$ 19 $\alpha(N)=0.001087$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.000228$ 4; $\alpha(P)=2.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 5
538.3 2	4.6 5	3919.6		3381.3	31/2 ⁺	E1,E2		Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.103$ 5; $A_2=-0.33$ 3, $A_4=0.09$ 2. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017$ 2; $A_2=-0.26$ 4, $A_4=0.06$ 5. The assignment is ambiguous.
545.5 2	11 1	4346.4	35/2 ⁻	3800.9	33/2 ⁻	M1	0.1011	$\alpha(K)=0.0825$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.01420$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.00334$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001063$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.000860$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.000180$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.33 \times 10^{-5}$ 4
576.2 2	53 3	1691.3	17/2 ⁺	1115.1	13/2 ⁺	E2	0.0231	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.080$ 4; $A_2=-0.09$ 1, $A_4=0.04$ 8. $\alpha(K)=0.01662$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.00488$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.001214$ 17; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000382$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000312$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.27 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=7.06 \times 10^{-6}$ 10
584.0 2	9.0 7	2963.7	27/2 ⁺	2379.7	25/2 ⁺	M1	0.0845	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017$ 2; $A_2=0.25$ 1, $A_4=-0.09$ 2. $\alpha(K)=0.0690$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.01184$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00279$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000886$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000717$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0001501$ 21; $\alpha(P)=1.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 3
622.2 2	52 3	2313.5	21/2 ⁺	1691.3	17/2 ⁺	E2	0.0195	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.095$ 5; $A_2=-0.31$ 13, $A_4=0.08$ 1. $\alpha(K)=0.01427$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00392$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000969$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000305$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000249$ 4; $\alpha(O)=5.02 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=5.73 \times 10^{-6}$ 8
719.5 2	8.6 7	3599.0	29/2 ⁻	2879.5	27/2 ⁺	E1	0.00499 7	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.015$ 2; $A_2=0.26$ 1, $A_4=-0.08$ 1. $\alpha=0.00499$ 7; $\alpha(K)=0.00413$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000657$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.0001529$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=4.83 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(N)=3.91 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=8.12 \times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=1.025 \times 10^{-6}$ 15
749.2 2	5.4 6	2313.5	21/2 ⁺	1564.3	21/2 ⁻	E1	0.00462 7	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0045$ 5; $A_2=-0.19$ 3, $A_4=0.07$ 4. $\alpha=0.00462$ 7; $\alpha(K)=0.00383$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000607$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001412$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=4.46 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(N)=3.61 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=7.50 \times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=9.48 \times 10^{-7}$ 14
757.4 2	6.0 5	3137.1	29/2 ⁺	2379.7	25/2 ⁺	E2	0.01281	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0024$ 3; $A_2=0.30$ 2, $A_4=0.00$ 3 consistent with $\Delta J=0$ transition. $\alpha(K)=0.00976$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.00231$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000564$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001778$ 25 $\alpha(N)=0.0001449$ 21; $\alpha(O)=2.95 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=3.47 \times 10^{-6}$ 5
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.011$ 1; $A_2=0.30$ 3, $A_4=-0.11$ 5.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha,5n\gamma),^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$ **1985Ra18 (continued)** $\gamma(^{207}\text{Po})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α^{\dagger}	Comments
814.5 2	100	814.50	9/2 ⁻	0	5/2 ⁻	E2	0.01104	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00850$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00192$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000467$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001474$ 21 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001200$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.45 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.91 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0086$ 9; $A_2=0.01$ 1, $A_4=0.02$ 2. The A_2 coefficient is not consistent with the proposed assignment, due to a loss of alignment.

[†] Additional information 1.[‡] From 1985Ra18. Uncertainties in I_γ were assigned by the evaluator on the basis of the authors' spectrum, and the authors' statement that the uncertainties range from 5-10%.[#] From $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1985Ra18, except where noted otherwise. The authors do not state how the relative I_γ and $I_{\text{ce}}(\text{K})$ were normalized.

$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 5n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ 1985Ra18

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

