

Coulomb excitation 1972Ha59,1971Gr33,1970KI03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Lalkovski		NDS 112, 707 (2011)	1-Aug-2010

1972Ha59: Facility: Chalk River tandem; Beam: E(α)=15-18 MeV, E(¹⁶O)=69-80 MeV; Target: enriched to 99.8% in ²⁰⁸Pb; Detectors: two Ge(Li) and one surface-barrier; Measured: γ, γγ, DSAM; Deduced: E, B(Eλ) and T_{1/2}; Other from the same collaboration: **1974Ha34**.
1970KI03,1971Gr31: Facility: Heidelberg Tandem; Beam: E(α)=15 and 18 MeV, E(¹⁶O)=70 and 80 MeV; Target: natural lead and enriched to 92.4% in ²⁰⁷Pb; Detectors: Ge(Li); Measured: γ, γ(θ), DSAM.
1973Do20: E(¹⁶O)=61, 68 MeV.
1971Gr33: E(α)=15, 18 MeV. E(¹⁶O)=70, 80 MeV.
Others: **1966Br15, 1966Ko16, 1965An13, 1962Na06, 1955St57.**

²⁰⁷Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	Jπ [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0	1/2 ⁻		configuration: ν(3p _{1/2}) ⁻¹ .
569.7028 20	5/2 ⁻	130 ps 4	T _{1/2} : From B(E2). B(E2)↑: 0.213 6, weighted average of 0.0214 10 (1972Ha59), 0.0210 15 (1971Gr31) and 0.0213 9 (1966Ko16).
897.78 8	3/2 ⁻	0.115 ps 15	configuration: ν(2f _{5/2}) ⁻¹ . T _{1/2} : Weighted average of 0.104 ps 21 (1973Do20), 0.132 ps 28 (1972Ha59), and 0.118 ps 35 (1971Gr31), all DSAM. B(E2)↑: 0.0121 5 (1972Ha59) and 0.0124 10 (1971Gr31).
2339.948? 11	7/2 ⁻		configuration: ν(3p _{3/2}) ⁻¹ .
2623.5 5	5/2 ⁺	0.09 ps 4	configuration: ν(2f _{7/2}) ⁻¹ . T _{1/2} : Other: 0.17 ps 14 (1971Gr31). B(E3)↑: 0.23 3 (1972Ha59) and 0.19 2 (1971Gr31).
2662.4 6	7/2 ⁺	0.66 ps 14	configuration: ν(3p _{1/2}) ⁻¹ ⊗3 ⁻ . T _{1/2} : Other: >0.41 ps (1971Gr31). B(E3)↑: 0.29 2 (1972Ha59) and 0.26 3 (1971Gr31). configuration: ν(3p _{1/2}) ⁻¹ ⊗3 ⁻ .

[†] From a least-squares fit to Eγ.
[‡] From the Adopted Levels.
[#] From DSAM in **1972Ha59**, unless otherwise noted.

γ(²⁰⁷Pb)

E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _γ [‡]	I _γ [#]	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [@]	α [†]	Comments
569.7028	5/2 ⁻	569.698 2	100	0	1/2 ⁻	E2	0.0216	α(K)=0.01583 23; α(L)=0.00439 7; α(M)=0.001081 16; α(N+..)=0.000330 5 α(N)=0.000274 4; α(O)=5.21×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(P)=4.29×10 ⁻⁶ 6 Mult.: From A2=0.186 25; A4=-0.021 18 in 1970KI03 .
897.78	3/2 ⁻	328.1 4	0.59 9	569.7028	5/2 ⁻	[M1]	0.334	α(K)=0.273 4; α(L)=0.0466 7; α(M)=0.01090 16; α(N+..)=0.00338 5 α(N)=0.00277 4; α(O)=0.000552 8; α(P)=5.91×10 ⁻⁵ 9 E _γ ,I _γ : From 1974Ha34 .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Coulomb excitation 1972Ha59,1971Gr33,1970KI03 (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\ddagger	$I_\gamma^\#$	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	δ	α^\dagger	Comments
897.78	3/2 ⁻	897.77 12	99.41 9	0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.091 9	0.0233	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0192$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00318$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000741$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000230$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000188$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.76\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.04\times 10^{-6}$ 6 I_γ : Deduced by the evaluators as 100%- $I_\gamma(328.1\gamma)$. Mult.: From $A_2=-0.127$ 8 in 1970KI03; δ : From adopted gammas. Also $\delta=-2.19$ 6, as a second solution of the angular distribution in 1970KI03, would imply almost a pure E2 transition, but this is incompatible with the substantial line Doppler broadening.
2339.948?	7/2 ⁻	1442.20 & 9	2.1	897.78	3/2 ⁻	E2		0.00337 5	$\alpha=0.00337$ 5; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00271$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000468$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001098$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.08\times 10^{-5}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.78\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.50\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.60\times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=4.69\times 10^{-5}$ 7
		1770.237 10	98	569.7028	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.087 5	0.00441 7	$\alpha=0.00441$ 7; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00341$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000554$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001289$ 19; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000312$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.27\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.54\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=7.05\times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000272$ 4
2623.5	5/2 ⁺	1725.7 6	>96	897.78	3/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.001307 19	δ : From adopted gammas. $\alpha=0.001307$ 19; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000818$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0001215$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.79\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000340$ $\alpha(\text{N})=7.07\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.407\times 10^{-6}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.487\times 10^{-7}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000331$ 5
2662.4	7/2 ⁺	2053.7 7 322.5 & 2092.7 6	<4 <2 >98	569.7028	5/2 ⁻				I_γ : From 1971Gr31. I_γ : From 1971Gr31. I_γ : From 1971Gr31. I_γ : From 1971Gr31.
				2339.948?	7/2 ⁻				
				569.7028	5/2 ⁻				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Coulomb excitation 1972Ha59,1971Gr33,1970KI03 (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

† Additional information 1.

‡ From the adopted gammas, unless otherwise noted.

From 1972Ha59, unless otherwise noted. I_γ is normalized to the sum intensity of the γ -ray transitions depopulating the respective level ($I_\gamma/\Sigma_i(\text{RI})_i$).

@ From the adopted gammas, unless otherwise noted.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Coulomb excitation 1972Ha59,1971Gr33,1970KI03

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

-----▶ γ Decay (Uncertain)