

^{207}Pb IT decay

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Lalkovski		NDS 112, 707 (2011)	1-Aug-2010

Parent: ^{207}Pb : $E=1633.368$ 5; $J^\pi=13/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=0.806$ s 5; %IT decay=100.0

 ^{207}Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	1/2 ⁻	stable	
569.7028 20	5/2 ⁻		
1633.368 5	13/2 ⁺	0.806 s 5	$T_{1/2}$: Weighted average of 0.81 s 4, 0.775 s 38 (1986A111), 0.810 s 8 (1973Sa22), 0.80 s 1 (1971Sc38), 0.77 s 3 (1971GI09), 0.81 s 2 (1961GI16), 0.84 s 2 (1956Ca50), 0.799 s 13 (1955Be24), 0.80 s 2 (1952Ho41), 0.82 s 2 (1951La18). Others: 0.743 s 22 (1967Yu01), 0.797 s (1958Fa08), 0.948 s 14 (1956Ve10), 0.8 s 1 (1954Re33), 0.88 s 10 (1953Fr17).

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{207}\text{Pb})$

E_γ [#]	I_γ ^{@&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ ^{‡#}	α [†]	Comments
569.698 2	97.9 14	569.7028	5/2 ⁻	0	1/2 ⁻	E2		0.0216	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01583$ 23; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00439$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001081$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000274$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.21 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.29 \times 10^{-6}$ 6
1063.656 3	88.8 13	1633.368	13/2 ⁺	569.7028	5/2 ⁻	M4+E5	+0.02 1	0.1257	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0942$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0238$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00589$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001508$ 22; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000296$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.87 \times 10^{-5}$ 4

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] If No value given it was assumed $\delta=1.00$ for E2/M1, $\delta=1.00$ for E3/M2 and $\delta=0.10$ for the other multiplicities.

[#] From adopted gammas.

[@] From $\text{Ti}(570\gamma)=\text{Ti}(1064\gamma)=100$, and α 's.




[&] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{207}Pb IT decay

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
%IT=100.0

Legend

-  $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
-  $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
-  $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

