

^{207}Bi IT decay (182 μs) [1969Be47,1972Ma24,1978Lo12](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Lalkovski		NDS 112, 707 (2011)	1-Aug-2010

Parent: ^{207}Bi : $E=2101.39$ 17; $J^\pi=21/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=182$ μs 6; %IT decay=100.0

[1978Lo12](#), [1979Lo04](#): Pulsed beam, $E(\alpha)=30\text{--}43$ MeV, $E(d)=25$ MeV; $\gamma\text{Ge(Li)}$, ce Si(Li) ; $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$;

[1969Be47](#): Pulsed beam, $E(\alpha)=28$ MeV; Target: 10 mg/cm² $^{205}\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ sandwiched between 100 $\mu\text{g/cm}^2$ thick foils; Detectors: two Ge(Li); Measured: E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma(t)$.

[1972Ma24](#): Isomeric state produced in $^{204}\text{Hg}(^7\text{Li},4n\gamma)$ reaction; Pulsed beam, $E(^7\text{Li})=41$ MeV; Target: 80 mg liquid mercury enriched to 80% in ^{204}Hg ; two planar Ge(Li) detectors, magnet; Measured: E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma(\theta, H, t)$, g-factor.

Others: [1998Pf02](#), [1979Lo04](#), [1967Co20](#).

$I(K\alpha \times \text{ray})/I(669\gamma)=1.05$ ([1967Co20](#)).

 ^{207}Bi Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	9/2 ⁻	31.55 y 4	configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 0_1^{+}$.
669.52 9	11/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 2_1^{+}$.
931.78 11	13/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 2_1^{+}$.
1240.45 12	13/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 3_1^{+}$.
1358.04 18	15/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 3_1^{+}$.
1645.31 16	15/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(f_{5/2}^{-2})_3^{+}$.
2101.39 17	21/2 ⁺	182 μs 6	$T_{1/2}$: From $\gamma(t)$ in 1969Be47 . Other: 174 μs 20 (1967Co20). μ : +3.43 4 from $g=+0.327$ 4, weighted average of $g=+0.325$ 6 (1972Ma24) and 0.329 5 (1974PrZF). configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})_6^{-}$.

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From Adopted Levels, unless otherwise stated.

 $\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$

I_γ normalization: From $\Sigma \text{Ti(g.s.)}=100$. Note that this condition gives $\Sigma \text{Ti(from isomer)}=97$ 12.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†#}	$E_t(\text{level})$	J^π_t	E_f	J^π_f	Mult. [‡]	δ [‡]	α [@]	Comments
117.9 4	2.5 4	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.06 4	6.36 11	$\alpha(K)=5.16$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.914$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.215$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0676$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.0551$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.01124$ 22; $\alpha(P)=0.001334$ 24
^x 238 1	2.5 10								E_γ : Tentative line, observed in 1969Be47 with $I_\gamma=2.5$ 10 and suggested to be a decay branch from the isomeric state in order to account for the intensity imbalance. However, the final state at 1863 keV has not been observed.
262.2 1	23 3	931.78	13/2 ⁻	669.52	11/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.03 2	0.671	$\alpha(K)=0.547$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0948$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0223$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00700$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.00570$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001165$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.0001387$ 20
288	≤ 1	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	[M1]			I_γ : From 1972Ma24 ; shows the 182 μs half-life.
308.6 2	2.5 7	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	931.78	13/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	-0.03 5	0.429 7	$\alpha(K)=0.350$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.0605$ 9;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{207}Bi IT decay (182 μs) [1969Be47,1972Ma24,1978Lo12](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †#	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.‡	$\delta^\ddagger$	$\alpha^@$	Comments
									$\alpha(\text{M})=0.01420$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00446$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00363$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000742$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.84\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.165$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0285$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00669$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00210$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00171$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000349$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.16\times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1455$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0250$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00586$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00184$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001500$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000306$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.65\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0662$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0545$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01436$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00445$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00368$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000702$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.32\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0656$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01121$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00263$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000826$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000672$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000137$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.63\times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0427$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00728$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00171$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000536$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000436$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.92\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.061\times 10^{-5}$ 20 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0377$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00636$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001490$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000468$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000381$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.79\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.30\times 10^{-6}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0223$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00844$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00214$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000666$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000548$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001070$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.069\times 10^{-5}$ 15 I_γ : The value $I_\gamma=21$ of 1978Lo12 (from $I_\gamma/I_\gamma(669\gamma)=0.35$) is inconsistent with spectra of 1972Ma24 and 1979Lo04 . The authors' value may be a misprint. A ratio of 0.55 would give good agreement. $\alpha=0.00803$ 12; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00633$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001299$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000312$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=9.72\times 10^{-5}$ 14
405.0 2	4.2 8	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.15 4	0.202 4	
426.1 2	14 2	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	931.78	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.10 5	0.178 3	
456.1 1	49 5	2101.39	21/2 ⁺	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	E3		0.1395	
571.0 1	16 2	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	669.52	11/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.20 5	0.0802 17	
669.5 1	62 6	669.52	11/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.24 4	0.0523 11	
713.5 2	39 4	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	931.78	13/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	-0.03 4	0.0460	
743.30 15	37 4	2101.39	21/2 ⁺	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	E3		0.0335	
931.8 2	29 3	931.78	13/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	E2		0.00803 12	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{207}Bi IT decay (182 μs) [1969Be47](#),[1972Ma24](#),[1978Lo12](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†#}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	α [@]	Comments
975.6 4	7 2	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	669.52	11/2 ⁻	E2	0.00734 11	$\alpha(\text{N})=7.95\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.590\times 10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.756\times 10^{-6}$ 25 $\alpha=0.00734$ 11; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00581$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001167$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000279$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.71\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.13\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.427\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.586\times 10^{-6}$ 23 $\alpha=0.00464$ 7; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000684$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001619$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.83\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.13\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.34\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.51\times 10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=7.74\times 10^{-6}$ 14 I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=3$ (1978Lo12) and 8 5 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=0.23$ 7, $A_4=0.08$ 6. I_γ : From unweighted average of $I_\gamma/I_\gamma(571\gamma)=0.17$ (1978Lo12 prompt spectrum) and 0.12 (1978Lo12 delayed spectrum). Note that $I_\gamma/I_\gamma(571\gamma)=0.56$ 14 in 1969Be47 .
1240.9 7	2.3 5	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	E2	0.00464 7	

[†] From [1969Be47](#), unless otherwise stated.[‡] From adopted gammas.

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.03 10.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{207}Bi IT decay (182 μs) 1969Be47,1972Ma24,1978Lo12

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
%IT=100.0

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}$

