

²⁰⁵Tl($\alpha,2n\gamma$), ²⁰⁸Pb(d,3n γ) **1978Lo12,1979Lo04,1969Be47**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Lalkovski		NDS 112, 707 (2011)	1-Aug-2010

1978Lo12, 1979Lo04: Pulsed beam, E(α)=30-43 MeV, E(d)=25 MeV; γ Ge(Li), ce Si(Li); $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$;
 1969Be47: Pulsed beam, E(α)=28 MeV; Target: 10 mg/cm² ²⁰⁵Tl₂O₃ sandwiched between 100 μ g/cm² thick foils; Detectors: two Ge(Li); Measured: E γ , I γ , $\gamma(t)$.

²⁰⁷Bi Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	9/2 ⁻	31.55 y 4	J π , T _{1/2} : From Adopted Levels. configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 0_1^{+}$.
669.52 9	11/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 2_1^{+}$.
931.78 11	13/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 1_1^{+}$.
1240.45 12	13/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 3_1^{+}$.
1358.04 18	15/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes 3_1^{+}$.
1607.3 5	13/2 ⁺		configuration: $\pi(1i_{13/2}^{+1})\otimes 0_1^{+}$.
1645.31 16	15/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(f_{5/2}^{-2})_3^{+}$.
2101.39 17	21/2 ⁺	182 μ s 6	T _{1/2} : From $\gamma(t)$ in 1969Be47. Other: 174 μ s 20 (1967Co20). configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})_6^{-}$.
2180.2 6	17/2 ⁻		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(p_{3/2}^{-1}, f_{5/2}^{-1})_4^{+}$.
2601.1 6	25/2 ⁺		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})_8^{-}$.
3500.4 8	27/2 ⁺		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})_9^{-}$.
3887.2 9	29/2 ⁻	12.7 ns 9	T _{1/2} : Weighted average of 11 ns 1 (499.7 $\gamma(t)$), 13 ns 1 (899.3 $\gamma(t)$) and 14 ns 1 (386.8 $\gamma(t)$) in 1979Lo04. configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})_{12}^{+}$.
4559.7 11	(31/2 ⁻)		configuration: $\pi(1h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})_{12}^{+}$.

[†] From a least-squares fit to E γ , unless otherwise stated.

[‡] From deduced transition multiplicities using $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\alpha(K)$ exp, unless otherwise stated.

$\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$

E γ [‡]	I γ ^{&}	E _i (level)	J π _i	E _f	J π _f	Mult. ^a	δ^b	α^{\dagger}	Comments
117.9 4	3	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.06 4	6.36 11	$\alpha(K)=5.16$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.914$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.215$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0676$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.0551$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.01124$ 22; $\alpha(P)=0.001334$ 24 I γ : I γ (delayed)=4 (1978Lo12) and 4.0 6 (1969Be47). Mult.: A ₂ =-0.09 4, A ₄ =-0.03 6. E γ : Tentative line, observed in 1969Be47 with I γ =2.5 10 and suggested to be a decay branch from the isomeric state in order to account for the intensity imbalance. However, the final state at 1863 keV has not been observed.
^x 238 1									
262.2 1	24	931.78	13/2 ⁻	669.52	11/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.03 2	0.671	$\alpha(K)=0.547$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0948$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0223$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00700$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.00570$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001165$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.0001387$ 20 E γ : E γ =261.7 1 from E(ce(K)) in 1978Lo12. I γ : I γ (delayed)=33 (1978Lo12) and 37 5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

²⁰⁵Tl($\alpha,2n\gamma$), ²⁰⁸Pb($d,3n\gamma$) **1978Lo12,1979Lo04,1969Be47 (continued)**

$\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^b	α^\dagger	Comments
288	≤ 1	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	1358.04	15/2 ⁻				(1969Be47). Mult.: A ₂ =-0.11 2, A ₄ =-0.03 3; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.4$ 2.
308.6 2	4	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	931.78	13/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	-0.03 5	0.429 7	E _{γ} , I _{γ} : From adopted gammas. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.350$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0605$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01420$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00446$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00363$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000742$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.84 \times 10^{-5}$ 13 I _{γ} : I _{γ} (delayed)=5 (1978Lo12) and 4 1 (1969Be47).
386.8 5	11	3887.2	29/2 ⁻	3500.4	27/2 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.05 3	0.019 3	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.05 5, A ₄ =-0.03 5. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0155$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0027$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00063$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00020$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00016$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 E _{γ} : E _{γ} =388.3 8 from E(ce(K)) in 1979Lo04.
405.0 2	4	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.15 4	0.202 4	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.17 5, A ₄ =+0.12 7 ; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.024$ 12 (1979Lo04). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.165$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0285$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00669$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00210$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00171$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000349$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.16 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 I _{γ} : I _{γ} (delayed)=8 (1978Lo12) and 6.8 13 (1969Be47).
426.1 2	18	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	931.78	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.10 5	0.178 3	Mult.: A ₂ =0.00 4, A ₄ =-0.00 6. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1455$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0250$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00586$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00184$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001500$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000306$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.65 \times 10^{-5}$ 6 E _{γ} : E _{γ} =426.2 1 from E(ce(K)) in 1978Lo12.
456.1 1	34	2101.39	21/2 ⁺	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	E3		0.1395	I _{γ} : I _{γ} (delayed)=22 (1978Lo12) and 23 3 (1969Be47). Mult.: A ₂ =-0.05 3, A ₄ =-0.02 4; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.12$ 1. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0662$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0545$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01436$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00445$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00368$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000702$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.32 \times 10^{-5}$ 9 E _{γ} : E _{γ} =456.2 1 from E(ce(K)) in 1978Lo12.
499.7 [@] 5	29	2601.1	25/2 ⁺	2101.39	21/2 ⁺	E2		0.0307	I _{γ} : I _{γ} (delayed)=73 (1978Lo12) and 79 8 (1969Be47). Mult.: A ₂ =0.09 2, A ₄ =0.01 3; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.067$ 6. δ : 0.01 3 in 1978Lo12. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0215$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00698$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00174$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000540$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000445$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.64 \times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.52 \times 10^{-6}$ 13 E _{γ} : E _{γ} =499.9 7 from E(ce(K)) in 1979Lo04.
									Mult.: A ₂ =0.29 2, A ₄ =-0.06 3 ; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.020$ 6 (1979Lo04).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{205}\text{Tl}(\alpha,2n\gamma),^{208}\text{Pb}(d,3n\gamma)$ **1978Lo12,1979Lo04,1969Be47 (continued)** $\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^b	α^\dagger	Comments
571.0 1	24	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	669.52	11/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.20 5	0.0802 17	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0656$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01121$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00263$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000826$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000672$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000137$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.63\times 10^{-5}$ 4 E_γ : $E_\gamma=571.2$ 1 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12. I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=25$ (1978Lo12) and 26 3 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=0.12$ 4, $A_4=-0.03$ 6; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.031$ 2.
669.5 1	100	669.52	11/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.24 4	0.0523 11	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0427$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00728$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00171$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000536$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000436$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.92\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.061\times 10^{-5}$ 20 E_γ : $E_\gamma=669.0$ 1 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12. I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=100$ (1978Lo12,1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=-0.30$ 2, $A_4=-0.03$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.023$ 2.
672.5@ 5	<10	4559.7	(31/2 ⁻)	3887.2	29/2 ⁻				
713.5 2	39	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	931.78	13/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	-0.03 4	0.0460	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0377$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00636$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001490$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000468$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000381$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.79\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.30\times 10^{-6}$ 14 E_γ : $E_\gamma=712.9$ 1 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12. I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=63$ (1978Lo12) and 63 7 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=-0.12$ 4, $A_4=0.02$ 6; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.020$ 2.
743.30 15	26	2101.39	21/2 ⁺	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	E3		0.0335	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0223$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00844$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00214$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000666$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000548$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001070$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.069\times 10^{-5}$ 15 E_γ : $E_\gamma=743.7$ 2 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12. I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=34$ (1978Lo12) and 60 6 (1969Be47). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.025$ 3. Angular distributions were isotropic.
822.2# 5	12	2180.2	17/2 ⁻	1358.04	15/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	-0.02 4	0.0319	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0261$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00439$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001027$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000323$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000263$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.37\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.42\times 10^{-6}$ 10 E_γ : $E_\gamma=823.2$ 5 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12. Mult.: $A_2=-0.15$ 4, $A_4=-0.04$ 6; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.012$ 4.
899.3@ 5	19	3500.4	27/2 ⁺	2601.1	25/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.05 3	0.0252	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0207$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00347$ 5;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{205}\text{Tl}(\alpha,2n\gamma), ^{208}\text{Pb}(d,3n\gamma)$ **1978Lo12,1979Lo04,1969Be47** (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^b	α^\dagger	Comments
931.8 2	36	931.78	13/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	E2		0.00803 12	$\alpha(\text{M})=0.000811$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000255$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000207$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.24\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.07\times 10^{-6}$ 8 E_γ : $E_\gamma=899.9$ 9 from E(cc(K)) in 1979Lo04 . Mult.: $A_2=-0.16$ 4, $A_4=-0.02$ 6 ; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.014$ 5 (1979Lo04). $\alpha=0.00803$ 12; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00633$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001299$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000312$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=9.72\times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.95\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.590\times 10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.756\times 10^{-6}$ 25 E_γ : $E_\gamma=931.2$ 10 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12 . I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=43$ (1978Lo12) and 47 5 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=0.20$ 4, $A_4=-0.01$ 4; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.004$ 2.
937.8 [#] 5	9	1607.3	13/2 ⁺	669.52	11/2 ⁻	E1+M2	+0.16 5	0.0042 10	$\alpha=0.0042$ 10; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0035$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00058$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00014$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.2\times 10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.4\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.0\times 10^{-6}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.3\times 10^{-7}$ 21 E_γ : $E_\gamma=937.1$ 10 from E(cc(K)) in 1978Lo12 . I_γ : Line in γ spectrum in 1978Lo12 is admixed with a ^{19}F impurity line. Mult.: $A_2=-0.18$ 4, $A_4=-0.02$ 6; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.002$ 1. $\alpha=0.00734$ 11; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00581$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001167$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000279$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.71\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.13\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.427\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.586\times 10^{-6}$ 23 I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=13$ (1978Lo12) and 11 3 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=0.26$ 3, $A_4=-0.09$ 4; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.020$ 2.
975.6 4	7	1645.31	15/2 ⁻	669.52	11/2 ⁻	E2		0.00734 11	$\alpha=0.00464$ 7; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000684$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001619$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.83\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.13\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.34\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.51\times 10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=7.74\times 10^{-6}$ 14 I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=3$ (1978Lo12) and 8 5 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=0.23$ 7, $A_4=0.08$ 6.
1240.9 7	4	1240.45	13/2 ⁻	0.0	9/2 ⁻	E2		0.00464 7	$\alpha=0.00464$ 7; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000684$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001619$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.83\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.13\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.34\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.51\times 10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=7.74\times 10^{-6}$ 14 I_γ : $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=3$ (1978Lo12) and 8 5 (1969Be47). Mult.: $A_2=0.23$ 7, $A_4=0.08$ 6.

[†] Additional information 1.

$^{205}\text{Tl}(\alpha,2n\gamma),^{208}\text{Pb}(d,3n\gamma)$ 1978Lo12,1979Lo04,1969Be47 (continued) $\gamma(^{207}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

‡ From 1969Be47, unless otherwise stated. The authors in 1978Lo12 also quote E(cc(K)) data, with uncertainties; however, these values do not agree, within the quoted uncertainty, with data from 1969Be47, or with $E\gamma=669\gamma$ from ε decay.

From 1978Lo12. $\Delta E\gamma$ assigned by the evaluators.

@ From 1979Lo04. $\Delta E\gamma$ assigned by the evaluators.

& From data at $E(\alpha)=33$ MeV in 1978Lo12, unless otherwise stated. Delayed intensities in 1969Be47 were normalized to $I\gamma(669.5\gamma)=100$.

^a From $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ and $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1978Lo12, unless otherwise stated. The $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ values given by 1978Lo12 are based on relative $I\gamma$ and $\text{Ice}(\text{K})$ data normalized to $\alpha(\text{K})(456\gamma)=0.067$ (E3 theory). Note, however, that the authors quote incorrect theory values for M1, and the deduced $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ values do not support their multipolarity conclusions for M1 transitions. If one renormalizes the authors' $I\gamma$ and $\text{Ice}(\text{K})$ scales using $\alpha(\text{K})(699\gamma)=0.0448$ δ , based on the authors' δ value and $\text{mult}=\text{M1}+\text{E2}$ from ε decay, one gets consistency between the $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ and δ values, except for the 456 and 744 γ 's. For these transitions one obtains $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ values larger than the theory values for E3, the expected mult . For the 456 γ , for example, $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}(669\gamma)=2.9$ 4, which leads to $\alpha(\text{K})(456\gamma)=0.13$ 2, compared with the theory value of 0.067. The reason for the discrepancy is not known. From RUL, one expects $\text{B}(\text{M4})(\text{W.u.})<10$, which leads to $\delta<8\times 10^{-4}$. Such a small M4 component will have a negligible affect on $\alpha(\text{K})$. The evaluators adopts $\text{mult}=\text{E3}$ for the 456 and 744 γ 's. $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ data of 1979Lo04 are also normalized to $\alpha(\text{K})(456\gamma)=0.067$. A renormalization to $\alpha(\text{K})(456\gamma)=0.13$ would not invalidate the authors' conclusions (based on $\gamma(\theta)$) since the $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ values have large uncertainties.

^b From $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1978Lo12, unless otherwise stated.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{205}\text{Tl}(\alpha, 2n\gamma), ^{208}\text{Pb}(d, 3n\gamma)$ 1978Lo12, 1979Lo04, 1969Be47