

(HI,xn $\gamma$ )    1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 201,346 (2025)	21-Jan-2025

**1981Ho29:**  $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction with  $E(^{14}\text{N})=80\text{-}94$  MeV and  $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction on enriched (97%) target with  $E(^{16}\text{O})=85\text{-}110$  MeV. The targets were backed with 8-10 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  layers; Detectors: Ge(Li); Measured: excitation functions,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  coin,  $T_{1/2}$ ; Deduced: level scheme. No longer-lived isomers ( $T_{1/2}<0.05$  s) were observed in a pulsed beam experiment.

**1981Ma28:**  $^{198}\text{Hg}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ,  $E(^{12}\text{C})=80$  MeV with 85.3% enriched  $^{198}\text{Hg}$  target cooled at  $-30^\circ \text{C}$ . The beam was pulsed with 1 ns width separated by 1.5  $\mu\text{s}$  periods. Detectors: two Ge(Li); Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$   $I(t,\theta)$ , angular distributions, g-factors,  $T_{1/2}$ ; Deduced:  $\mu$ .

**2008An01,2008Kr01:**  $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ,  $E(^{14}\text{N})=82$  MeV. Target: 3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>; OSIRIS-II gamma-ray spectrometer consisting of 10 HPGe detectors and internal electron conversion spectrometer with two Si(Li) and three PIPS detectors; Deduced:  $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$  and  $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}$ .

Others: [1970InZZ](#), [1969Ru08](#).

 $^{206}\text{Rn}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
575.40 <i>10</i>	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1134.50 <i>15</i>	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1763.30 <i>18</i>	6 <sup>+</sup>		
1818.50 <i>18</i>	6 <sup>+</sup>		
1924.60 <i>20</i>	8 <sup>+</sup>	19 ns 3	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 575.4 $\gamma(t)$ in <a href="#">1981Ho29</a> and by taking into account the half-life of the 2476-keV isomer. Other: 13.5 ns <i>10</i> ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ) using 575.4 $\gamma$ -559.1 $\gamma$ -628.8 $\gamma(t)$ . $\mu$ : g=0.83 5 ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ). This value was corrected for diamagnetic and Knight shift by +1.86% 2. $\mu=6.6$ 4. Configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+2})8+$ .
2270.4 <i>3</i>	9 <sup>-</sup>		
2476.0 <i>3</i>	10 <sup>-</sup>	65 ns 5	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 551.2 $\gamma(t)$ in <a href="#">1981Ho29</a> . The non-observation of a prompt component in the time spectrum suggests that this transition directly depopulates the isomer. Other: 75 ns <i>10</i> ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ) using 575.4 $\gamma$ -559.1 $\gamma$ -628.8 $\gamma(t)$ . $\mu$ : g=1.120 <i>10</i> ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ). This value was corrected for diamagnetic and Knight shift by +1.86% 2. $\mu=11.20$ <i>10</i> . Configuration= $\pi(f_{7/2}^{+1}i_{13/2}^{+1})10-$ .
2534.9 <i>3</i>	10 <sup>+</sup>		
2585.8 <i>4</i>	11(+)		
2834.6 <i>5</i>	12		
3131.8 <i>5</i>	12 <sup>+</sup>		
3362.4 <i>5</i>	13(+)		
3887.9? <i>6</i>	14		
4130.2 <i>9</i>	15	11 ns 2	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 768 $\gamma(t)$ in <a href="#">1981Ho29</a> . Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+3},i_{13/2}^{+1})$ . The assignment is tentative.

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From [1981Ho29](#), based on  $\gamma(\theta)$  data and the apparent band structures.

## (HI,xny) 1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{206}\text{Rn})$ 

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
					(E1)	4	
109.8 3	2585.8	11 <sup>(+)</sup>	2476.0	10 <sup>-</sup>			Mult.: $A_2=-0.20$ 13 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.11$ 37 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 13 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
161.3 1	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	1763.30	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2	120	Mult.: $A_2=0.11$ 7 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.06$ 2 and $A_4=-0.48$ 32 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 90 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
205.7 2	2476.0	10 <sup>-</sup>	2270.4	9 <sup>-</sup>	M1	32	Mult.: $A_2=-0.06$ 5 and $A_4=0.17$ 8 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.38$ 11 and $A_4=0.40$ 16 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ; using $\alpha$ deduced from intensity balance in $\gamma\gamma$ coin data. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 31 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
242 1	4130.2	15	3887.9?	14			$E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ is shown in the decay scheme, but it is not listed in $\gamma$ table.
248.9 3	2834.6	12	2585.8	11 <sup>(+)</sup>	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.26$ 6 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.52$ 9 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 5 (E1) or 10 (M1) in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ at 88 MeV (1981Ho29); $I_{(\gamma+ce)}=4$ (E1) or 8 (M1) in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
346.0 2	2270.4	9 <sup>-</sup>	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	E1	46	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ 3 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0097$ 23 (2008An01); $A_2=-0.08$ 2 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.01$ 3 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 55 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
<sup>x</sup> 427.9							
525.5 3	3887.9?	14	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.56$ 40 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.13$ 30 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 4 (E1) or 5 (M1) in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ at 88 MeV (1981Ho29); $I_{(\gamma+ce)}=6$ (E1) or 7 (M1) in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
527.8 2	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>	2834.6	12	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.55$ 4 and $A_4=0.64$ 61 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.50$ 6 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 16 (E1) or 18 (M1) in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ at 88 MeV (1981Ho29); $I_{(\gamma+ce)}=18$ (E1) or 20 (M1) in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
551.2 2	2476.0	10 <sup>-</sup>	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	M2	16	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.20$ 5 (2008An01); $A_2=0.00$ 3 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.03$ 6 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 30 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
559.1 1	1134.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	575.40	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	98	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.027$ 7 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0076$ 18 (2008An01); $A_2=0.13$ 1 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.16$ 2 and $A_4=0.31$ 22 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 104 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
575.4 1	575.40	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	100	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.018$ 4 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0068$ 16 (2008An01); $A_2=0.13$ 2 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.15$ 1 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 100 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV (1981Ho29).
596.9 3	3131.8	12 <sup>+</sup>	2534.9	10 <sup>+</sup>	E2	9	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.018$ 5 (2008An01); $A_2=0.04$ 3 and $A_4=0.25$ 6 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.16$ 5 and $A_4=0.16$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xny)    **1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{206}\text{Rn})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
610.3 2	2534.9	10 <sup>+</sup>	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	E2	16	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 20 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV ( <b>1981Ho29</b> ). Mult.: $A_2=0.34$ 10 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.21$ 10 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 26 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ reaction at 95 MeV ( <b>1981Ho29</b> ).
628.8 1	1763.30	6 <sup>+</sup>	1134.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2	93	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.011$ 3 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0016$ 4 ( <b>2008An01</b> ); $A_2=0.11$ 3 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.12$ 1 and $A_4=-0.48$ 16 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 97 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV ( <b>1981Ho29</b> ).
684.0 1	1818.50	6 <sup>+</sup>	1134.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$E_\gamma$ : From adopted gammas. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017$ 4 ( <b>2008An01</b> ). Note, that 684 $\gamma$ is labeled as E1 in Table 1 in <b>2008An01</b> .
768 1	4130.2	15	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ is shown in the decay scheme, but it is not listed in $\gamma$ table.
776.6 3	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>	2585.8	11 <sup>(+)</sup>	E2	13	Mult.: $A_2=0.22$ 3 and $A_4=-0.45$ 46 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.18$ 18 and $A_4=0.29$ 28 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : Other: 15 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ at 95 MeV ( <b>1981Ho29</b> ).

<sup>†</sup> From **1981Ho29**. Uncertainties were assigned by the evaluators from authors' general statement that they are 0.1 to 0.3 keV.<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  data and the apparent band structures in **1981Ho29** and  $\alpha(K)\exp$  and  $\alpha(L)\exp$  in **2008An01**.<sup>#</sup> From  $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction at 88 MeV (**1981Ho29**). The  $\alpha$  from **1978Ro21** were used by the authors.<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

(HI,xn $\gamma$ )    1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01

Level Scheme

