

$^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma),^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$     **1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 109, 1527 (2008)	31-Jan-2008

**1981Ho29:**  $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction with  $E(^{14}\text{N})=80\text{-}94$  MeV and  $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction on enriched (97%) target with  $E(^{16}\text{O})=85\text{-}110$  MeV. The targets were backed with 8-10 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  layers; Detectors: Ge(Li); Measured: excitation functions,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  coin,  $T_{1/2}$ ; Deduced: level scheme. No longer lived isomers ( $T_{1/2}<0.05$  s) were observed in a pulsed beam experiment.

**1981Ma28:**  $^{198}\text{Hg}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ,  $E(^{12}\text{C})=80$  MeV with 85.3% enriched  $^{198}\text{Hg}$  target cooled at  $-30^\circ \text{C}$ . The beam was pulsed with 1 ns width separated by 1.5  $\mu\text{s}$  periods. Detectors: twoGe(Li); Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$   $I(t,\theta)$ , angular distributions, g-factors,  $T_{1/2}$ ; Deduced:  $\mu$ .

**2008An01:**  $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction with  $E(^{14}\text{N})=82$  MeV. Target: 3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>; OSIRIS-II gamma-ray spectrometer consisting of 10 HPGe detectors and internal electron conversion spectrometer with two Si(Li) and three PIPS detectors; Deduced:  $\alpha(K)\exp$  and  $\alpha(L)\exp$ .

Others: [1970InZZ](#), [1969Ru08](#).

 $^{206}\text{Rn}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
575.40 10	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1134.50 15	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1763.30 18	6 <sup>+</sup>		
1818.30 18	6 <sup>+</sup>		
1924.60 20	8 <sup>+</sup>	19 ns 3	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 575.4 $\gamma(t)$ and by taking into account the half-life of the 65 ns isomer. Other: 13.5 ns 10 ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ) using 575.4-559.1-628.8 $\gamma(t)$ . $\mu$ : g=0.83 5 ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ). This value was corrected for diamagnetic and Knight shift by +1.86% 2. $\mu=6.6$ 4. Configuration=( $\pi$ h <sub>9/2</sub> 2 <sup>+</sup> ).
2270.4 3	9 <sup>-</sup>		
2476.0 3	10 <sup>-</sup>	65 ns 5	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 551.2 $\gamma(t)$ . The non-observation of prompt component in the time spectrum suggests that this $\gamma$ directly depopulates the isomer. Other: 75 ns 10 ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ) using 575.4-559.1-628.8 $\gamma(t)$ . $\mu$ : g=1.120 10 ( <a href="#">1981Ma28</a> ). This value was corrected for diamagnetic and Knight shift by +1.86% 2. $\mu=11.20$ 10. Configuration=(( $\pi$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ) <sup>+1</sup> ( $\pi$ i <sub>13/2</sub> ) <sup>+1</sup> ) <sub>10</sub> <sup>-</sup> . The assignment is tentative.
2534.9 3	10 <sup>+</sup>		
2585.8 4	11 <sup>(+)</sup>		
2834.6? 5	(12)		
3131.8 5	12 <sup>+</sup>		
3362.4 5	13 <sup>(+)</sup>		
3887.9? 6	14		
4130.2 9	15	11 ns 2	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From 768 $\gamma(t)$ . Possible Configuration=(( $\pi$ h <sub>9/2</sub> ) <sup>+3</sup> ( $\pi$ i <sub>13/2</sub> ) <sup>+1</sup> ). The assignment is tentative.

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From angular distribution data ([1981Ho29](#)), apparent band structures and the J<sup>π</sup> assignments made in [1981Ho29](#).

**$^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma),^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$     1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{206}\text{Rn})$** 

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
					(E1)	4	
109.8 3	2585.8	11 <sup>(+)</sup>	2476.0	10 <sup>-</sup>			Mult.: $A_2=-0.20$ 13 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.11$ 37 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 13 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $A_2=0.11$ 7 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.06$ 2 and $A_4=-0.48$ 32 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 90 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $A_2=-0.06$ 5 and $A_4=0.17$ 8 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.38$ 11 and $A_4=0.40$ 16 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ; From $\alpha$ deduced from intensity balance in $\gamma\gamma$ coin studies. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 31 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). $E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ is shown In decay scheme, but not listed In $\gamma$ table. Mult.: $A_2=-0.26$ 6 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.52$ 9 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 10 (M1) ( $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ); 4 (E1) or 8 (M1) ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ 3 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0097$ 23 (2008An01); $A_2=-0.08$ 2 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.01$ 3 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 55 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ).
161.3 1	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	1763.30	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2	120	
205.7 2	2476.0	10 <sup>-</sup>	2270.4	9 <sup>-</sup>	M1	32	
242 1	4130.2	15	3887.9?	14			
248.9 3	2834.6?	(12)	2585.8	11 <sup>(+)</sup>	D	5	
346.0 2	2270.4	9 <sup>-</sup>	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	E1	46	
$x^{427.9}$							
525.5 3	3887.9?	14	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>	D	4	Mult.: $A_2=-0.56$ 40 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.13$ 30 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 5 (M1) ( $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ); $I_{(\gamma+ce)}=6$ (E1) or 7 (M1) ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $A_2=-0.55$ 4 and $A_4=0.64$ 61 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=-0.50$ 6 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 18 (M1) ( $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ); 18 (E1) or 20 (M1) ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.20$ 5 (2008An01); $A_2=0.00$ 3 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.03$ 6 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 30 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.027$ 7 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0076$ 18 (2008An01); $A_2=0.13$ 1 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.16$ 2 and $A_4=0.31$ 22 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 104 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.018$ 4 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0068$ 16 (2008An01); $A_2=0.13$ 2 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.15$ 1 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 100 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.018$ 5 (2008An01); $A_2=0.04$ 3 and $A_4=0.25$ 6 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.16$ 5 and $A_4=0.16$ 7 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 20 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $A_2=0.34$ 10 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.21$ 10 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 26 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.011$ 3 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0016$ 4 (2008An01); $A_2=0.11$ 3 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.12$ 1 and $A_4=-0.48$ 16 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 97 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ). $E_\gamma$ : From adopted gammas. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017$ 4 (2008An01). Note, that 684 $\gamma$ is labeled as E1 in Table 1 (2008An01).
596.9 3	3131.8	12 <sup>+</sup>	2534.9	10 <sup>+</sup>	E2	9	
610.3 2	2534.9	10 <sup>+</sup>	1924.60	8 <sup>+</sup>	E2	16	
628.8 1	1763.30	6 <sup>+</sup>	1134.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2	93	
684.0 1	1818.30	6 <sup>+</sup>	1134.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma),^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$     **1981Ho29,1981Ma28,2008An01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{206}\text{Rn})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
768 1	4130.2	15	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>			$E_\gamma: \gamma$ is shown In decay scheme, but not listed In $\gamma$ table.
776.6 3	3362.4	13 <sup>(+)</sup>	2585.8	11 <sup>(+)</sup>	E2	13	Mult.: $A_2=0.22$ 3 and $A_4=-0.45$ 46 in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ ; $A_2=0.18$ 18 and $A_4=0.29$ 28 in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 15 ( $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$ ).

<sup>†</sup> Uncertainties were assigned by the evaluators from authors' general statement that they are 0.1 to 0.3 keV.<sup>‡</sup> From angular distribution data and the apparent band structures in [1981Ho29](#) and  $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$  and  $\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}$  in [2008An01](#).<sup>#</sup> From  $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction at 88 MeV ([1981Ho29](#)). The  $\alpha$  of Rosel ([1978Ro21](#)) were used by the authors.<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma), ^{194}\text{Pt}(^{16}\text{O},4\text{n}\gamma)$     1981Ho29, 1981Ma28, 2008An01

Level Scheme

