

$^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$ **1986Ra24**

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	Citation
		NDS 201,346 (2025)

Beam: E=53 MeV ($\alpha,4n\gamma$); E=27 MeV ($^3\text{He},3n\gamma$); Target: ^{206}Pb (90.4%), ^{207}Pb (6.7%) and ^{208}Pb (2.9%); Detectors: large co-axial Ge(Li) and small planar Ge(Li), Si(Li). Measured: excitation function, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$ coin, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$, Ice, $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$.

 ^{206}Po Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	8.8 d <i>I</i>	T _{1/2} : From Adopted Levels.
700.4 4	2 ⁺		
1162.0 4	2 ⁺		
1177.4 6	4 ⁺		
1433.8 6	4 ⁺		
1546.1 7	4 ⁺		
1564.2 8	4 ⁺		
1572.9 7	6 ⁺		Configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+2})$.
1585.4 8	8 ⁺	210 ns <i>I</i> 0	T _{1/2} : From 1986Ra24 , deduced from $395\gamma(t)$, $477\gamma(t)$ and $700\gamma(t)$ after taking into account the contribution from the 9 ⁻ (T _{1/2} =1.0 μs <i>I</i>) isomer Others: 160 ns 40 (1970Ya03). g-factor=+0.919 13 (1973Br14) using the stroboscopic technique. The value was corrected for Knight shift and diamagnetic effect; g-factor=0.916 13, weighted average of 0.905 18 (deduced using the stroboscopic technique) and 0.926 18 (deduced using the time-difference PAC technique) (1973Na18). Both values were corrected for Knight shift and diamagnetic effect.
2100.2 7	6 ⁺		Configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+2})$.
2199.8 9	8 ⁺		Configuration= $\pi(f_{7/2}^{+1}, h_{9/2}^{+1})$.
2261.6 9	9 ⁻	1.0 μs <i>I</i>	T _{1/2} : Unweighted average of 0.95 μs 10 (62 $\gamma(t)$) and 1.1 μs 2 (614 $\gamma(t)$) in 1986Ra24 . Configuration= $\nu(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$.
2302.0 8	5 ⁻		J ^π : Note that J ^π =5 ⁻ in the Adopted Levels.
2418.2 9	10 ⁺		
2422.2 9	9 ⁺		
2590.2 [#] 9	10 ⁺		
2656.0 9	11 ⁻		Configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, i_{13/2}^{+1})$.
2780.1 9	11 ⁺		
3067.5 9	11 ⁻		
3462.3 10	13 ⁻		
3484.9 11	12 ⁻		J ^π : 13 ⁻ in Adopted Levels.
3548.2 11	14 ⁻		
3557.6 11	12 ⁻		
3694.5 [#] 12	15 ⁻		
3950.9 12	13 ⁻		J ^π : 14 ⁻ in Adopted Levels.
4075.1 [#] 13	16 ⁻		
4105.2 [#] 12	15 ⁻		
4144.0 [#] 12	15 ⁻		
4613.8 [#] 13	16 ⁻		J ^π : 15 ⁺ in Adopted Levels.
4692.0 [#] 12	15		
4758.0 [#] 13	16		
5149.0 [#] 13	16		

[†] From a least-squares fit to E γ .

[‡] From [1986Ra24](#), based on the deduced transition multipolarities using $\alpha(K)\exp$, $\alpha(L)\exp$ and $\gamma(\theta)$.

[#] This level was excluded from the Adopted Levels.

$^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$ **1986Ra24 (continued)** $\gamma(^{206}\text{Po})$

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^@$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	$I_\gamma^&$	Comments
12.5 1		1585.4	8 ⁺	1572.9	6 ⁺	[E2]		E_γ : From adopted gammas, based on γ -rays energy difference in $^{198}\text{Pt}(^{13}\text{C},5n\gamma)$ in 1990Ba31 .
61.8 5	26 4	2261.6	9 ⁻	2199.8	8 ⁺	E1	4.3 2	Mult.: From γ -ray intensity balance in the out-of-beam data.
85.9 5	8 1	3548.2	14 ⁻	3462.3	13 ⁻	M1		Mult.: From γ -ray intensity balance in the out-of-beam data.
146.3 5	2.4 3	3694.5	15 ⁻	3548.2	14 ⁻	M1		Mult.: $A_2=-0.27$ 3, $A_4=-0.11$ 3; γ -ray intensity balance in the out-of-beam data.
168.0 5	2.2 2	2590.2	10 ⁺	2422.2	9 ⁺	(M1)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.26$ 3, $A_4=-0.05$ 3; absence of measurable lifetime.
189.9 5	2.0 2	2780.1	11 ⁺	2590.2	10 ⁺	(M1)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.33$ 3, $A_4=-0.03$ 3; absence of measurable lifetime.
237.8 5	17 2	2656.0	11 ⁻	2418.2	10 ⁺	E1	2.7 3	Mult.: $A_2=-0.23$ 1, $A_4=0.01$ 2; $A_2=-0.25$ 8, $A_4=0.08$ 13 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.05$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$); γ -ray intensity balance in the out-of-beam data.
256.4 [‡] 5		1433.8	4 ⁺	1177.4	4 ⁺	E2	2.6 3	Mult.: $A_2=0.27$ 2, $A_4=0.02$ 3 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.10$ 3 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
362.0 5	1.8 2	2780.1	11 ⁺	2418.2	10 ⁺	M1(+E2)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.59$ 5, $A_4=0.09$ 7 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.48$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
380.6 [#] 5	3.9 5	4075.1	16 ⁻	3694.5	15 ⁻	M1(+E2)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.40$ 3, $A_4=0.10$ 4; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.221$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
384.1 [‡] 5		1546.1	4 ⁺	1162.0	2 ⁺	E2	1.0 5	Mult.: $A_2=0.21$ 8, $A_4=0.05$ 10 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$);
386.8 [‡] 5		1564.2	4 ⁺	1177.4	4 ⁺	M1(+E2)	3.4 5	Mult.: $A_2=0.15$ 4, $A_4=0.11$ 6 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.20$ 4 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
394.8 5	33 4	3462.3	13 ⁻	3067.5	11 ⁻	(E2)	4 2	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.033$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$) and 0.039 3 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$). Note, that the 394.8 + 395.5 doublet has an average $\alpha(K)\exp$ that agrees with E2.
395.5 5	94 5	1572.9	6 ⁺	1177.4	4 ⁺	E2	40 2	Mult.: $A_2=0.17$ 1, $A_4=-0.03$ 2; $A_2=0.21$ 1, $A_4=0.01$ 20 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.033$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$) and 0.39 3 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$). Note that the 394.8 + 395.5 doublet has an average $\alpha(K)\exp$ that agrees with E2.
457.0 [#] 5	5.3 8	5149.0	16	4692.0	15	M1		Mult.: $A_2=-0.28$ 2, $A_4=0.08$ 2; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.154$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
461.6 [‡] 5		1162.0	2 ⁺	700.4	2 ⁺	M1	0.8 2	Mult.: $A_2=0.24$ 2, $A_4=-0.12$ 2 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.13$ 1 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
466.0 5	2.5 4	3950.9	13 ⁻	3484.9	12 ⁻	M1(+E2)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.43$ 4, $A_4=0.11$ 5; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.15$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
469.8 [#] 5	1.6 4	4613.8	16 ⁻	4144.0	15 ⁻	M1		Mult.: $A_2=-0.19$ 5, $A_4=0.15$ 7; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.14$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
477.1 5	98 2	1177.4	4 ⁺	700.4	2 ⁺	E2	73 3	Mult.: $A_2=0.10$ 2, $A_4=0.00$ 2; $A_2=0.18$ 2, $A_4=0.02$ 2 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.027$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$) and 0.13 1 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
527.4 [‡] 5		2100.2	6 ⁺	1572.9	6 ⁺	M1	1.4 3	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.09$ 1 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
557.0 [#] 5	2.8 5	4105.2	15 ⁻	3548.2	14 ⁻	M1(+E2)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.07$ 3, $A_4=0.18$ 4; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.085$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
595.8 [#] 5	6.2 8	4144.0	15 ⁻	3548.2	14 ⁻	M1(+E2)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.52$ 2, $A_4=0.05$ 2; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.094$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
614.4 5	37 2	2199.8	8 ⁺	1585.4	8 ⁺	M1(+E2)	9.2 5	Mult.: $A_2=0.08$ 1, $A_4=0.02$ 2; $A_2=0.19$ 2, $A_4=0.02$ 3

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$ 1986Ra24 (continued) **$\gamma(^{206}\text{Po})$ (continued)**

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma @$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	$I_\gamma \&$	Comments
676.2 5	5 1	2261.6	9 ⁻	1585.4	8 ⁺	(E1)	1.2 3	$(^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)); \alpha(K)\exp=0.057$
700.3 5	100	700.4	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	100	$(^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma))$ and 0.060 4 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$). Mult.: $A_2=-0.08$ 1, $A_4=0.10$ 2; Mult.: $A_2=0.11$ 1, $A_4=0.00$ 2.
733.4 [‡] 5		1433.8	4 ⁺	700.4	2 ⁺	E2	6.7 3	Mult.: $A_2=0.09$ 1, $A_4=0.03$ 1 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$). Note, that the A_2 value is inconsistent with the proposed multipolarity; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017$ 2 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
805.9 5	38 2	3067.5	11 ⁻	2261.6	9 ⁻	E2	4 1	Mult.: $A_2=0.31$ 2, $A_4=0.08$ 2 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$). Note, that the 805.9 + 806.3 doublet has an average $\alpha(K)\exp$ that agrees with E2.
806.3 5	6 1	3462.3	13 ⁻	2656.0	11 ⁻	(E2)		Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$). Note that the 805.9 + 806.3 doublet has an average $\alpha(K)\exp$ that agrees with E2.
828.9 5	4.9 8	3484.9	12 ⁻	2656.0	11 ⁻	M1(+E2)	0.2 1	Mult.: $A_2\approx 0$; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.027$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
832.8 5	23 2	2418.2	10 ⁺	1585.4	8 ⁺	E2	5.0 5	Mult.: $A_2=0.31$ 3, $A_4=-0.07$ 4; $A_2=0.54$ 12, $A_4=-0.05$ 15 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$) and 0.011 4 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
836.8 5	10 1	2422.2	9 ⁺	1585.4	8 ⁺	M1	5.0 5	Mult.: $A_2=-0.33$ 5, $A_4=0.50$ 7; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.029$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$) and 0.023 5 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).
868.2 [‡] 5		2302.0	5 ⁻	1433.8	4 ⁺	E1	3.2 3	Mult.: $A_2=-0.10$ 5, $A_4=0.11$ 8 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$); $\alpha(K)\exp<0.005$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
901.6 5	1.5 3	3557.6	12 ⁻	2656.0	11 ⁻	M1	9.2 5	Mult.: $A_2=-0.22$ 5, $A_4=0.64$ 7; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.04$ ($^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$).
922.7 [‡] 5		2100.2	6 ⁺	1177.4	4 ⁺	E2	3.3 3	Mult.: $A_2=0.25$ 6, $A_4=-0.12$ 9 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$);
1063.5 [#] 5	3.9 5	4758.0	16	3694.5	15 ⁻	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.35$ 5, $A_4=-0.07$ 4.
1143.8 [#] 5	4.2 5	4692.0	15	3548.2	14 ⁻	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.31$ 3, $A_4=0.08$ 4.
1162.1 [‡] 5		1162.0	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.8 2	Mult.: $A_2=0.20$ 7, $A_4=-0.13$ 10 ($^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$).

[†] From 1986Ra24. $\Delta E\gamma=0.5$ keV was assigned by the evaluator.[‡] Seen only in $^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$.[#] Different placement in the Adopted Levels, gammas.@ From $^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ experiment at 53 MeV in 1986Ra24.& From $^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He},3n\gamma)$ experiment at 27 MeV in 1986Ra24.^a Deduced from $\alpha(K)\exp$ and $\gamma(\theta)$ data (1986Ra24). The $\alpha(K)\exp$ are normalized to $\alpha(K)$ ($700.3\gamma=0.0114$ E2 (theory) value).The A_2 and A_4 values given in the comments section are from the $^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ data set, unless otherwise stated.

$^{206}\text{Pb}(\alpha, 4n\gamma), ^{206}\text{Pb}(^3\text{He}, 3n\gamma)$ 1986Ra24

Legend

Level Scheme
Intensities: Relative I_γ

- ➔ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- ➔ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- ➔ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

