

$^{204}\text{Hg}(^9\text{Be}, \alpha 3n\gamma)$ **1994Po20**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 201,346 (2025)	21-Jan-2025

Projectile: ^9Be , $E=62$ MeV. Target: enriched ^{204}Hg . Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$, linear polarization. Deduced levels half-life and γ -ray multiplicities. Detectors: CAESAR, an array of Compton suppressed germanium hyper-pure detectors; germanium hyper-pure linear polarimeter. Others: [2016Ch14](#), [2019Ra28](#).

 ^{206}Pb Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$	Comments
0.0	0^+		
803.1 10	2^+	12 ps 3	$T_{1/2}$: From RDDS in 2019Ra28 .
1340.5 13	3^+		
1684.0 13	4^+		
2200.2 17	7^-	124 μs	
2658.3 20	9^-		
3957.4 21	10^+		Dominant configuration= $\nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$.
4027.1 21	12^+	197 ns	Dominant configuration= $\nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$.
5217.3 22	12^+		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, f_{5/2}^{-1})$.
5553.6 22	(11^+)		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, f_{5/2}^{-1})$.
5782.9 22	13^+		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, s_{1/2}^{-1}) \nu(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$.
6033.7 22	14^+		Possible configuration= $\nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1}, g_{9/2}^{+1})$.
6382.1 24	(14^+)		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, d_{3/2}^{-1}) \nu(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$.
6564.8 24	$15^{(-)}$		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$.
6564.8+x 16	$16^{(-)}$		Additional information 1 .
			$E(\text{level})$: State predicted by theory.
6850.6+x 10	$17^{(-)}$		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(p_{1/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$.
7661.1+x 15	$18^{(-)}$		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$.
7758.4+x 18	(19^+)	7.5 ns 9	Possible configuration= $\nu(f_{1/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-2}, g_{9/2}^{+1})$.
8081.0+x 20	(20^+)		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$.
8704.8+x 23	(21^+)		Possible configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}, h_{11/2}^{-1}) \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$.

† From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ with $\Delta E\gamma=0.5$ keV used for all γ rays.

‡ From [1994Po20](#), unless otherwise stated. Uncertainty was reported only to the $T_{1/2}(19^+)$ value.

 $\gamma(^{206}\text{Pb})$

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. $^\#$	Comments
69.7 5		4027.1	12^+	3957.4	10^+	E2 [@]	E_γ : From adopted gamma. Not observed directly in 1994Po20 .
97.3	≈ 8	7758.4+x	(19^+)	7661.1+x	$18^{(-)}$	E1	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp}) < 2$, deduced from transition intensity balance.
$^{x135.0}$	9 2						E_γ : in coincidence with 285.6-, 322.6- and 810.5-keV γ rays.
229.4	6 1	5782.9	13^+	5553.6	(11^+)	Q	Mult.: $A_2=0.46$ 25.
250.8	18 4	6033.7	14^+	5782.9	13^+	(M1)	Mult.: $A_2=-0.38$ 7.
285.8	45 5	6850.6+x	$17^{(-)}$	6564.8+x	$16^{(-)}$	M1	Mult.: $A_2=-0.30$ 6, $\text{pol}=-0.65$ 47.
322.6	18 4	8081.0+x	(20^+)	7758.4+x	(19^+)		
336.4	17 4	5553.6	(11^+)	5217.3	12^+	(M1)	E_γ : Unresolved from a 335.6-keV line from a contaminant.
343.5	234 12	1684.0	4^+	1340.5	3^+	M1(+E2) [@]	Mult.: $A_2=0.02$ 2, $\text{pol}=-0.05$ 8.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Hg}(^9\text{Be},\alpha 3n\gamma)$ **1994Po20** (continued) $\gamma(^{206}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
348.4	5 1	6382.1	(14 ⁺)	6033.7	14 ⁺		E_γ : Unresolved from a 348.0-keV line from ^{207}Po .
^x 354.8	5 1						E_γ : Possibly feeds the 5217.3-keV level.
^x 425.6	5 1						E_γ : Possibly feeds the 6033.7-keV level.
458.1	471 24	2658.3	9 ⁻	2200.2	7 ⁻	E2 [@]	Mult.: $A_2=0.12$ 1, pol=+0.17 3. E_γ : Partially contaminated by 457.5-keV line from ^{208}Po .
516.2	955 48	2200.2	7 ⁻	1684.0	4 ⁺	E3 [@]	Mult.: $A_2=0.06$ 2 and assigned E2 in 1994Po20 . E_γ : Partially contaminated by 516.2-keV line from ^{208}Po .
^x 528.1	6 1						E_γ : in coincidence with 531.1-keV γ ray.
531.1	91 9	6564.8	15 ⁽⁻⁾	6033.7	14 ⁺	(E1)	E_γ : Partially contaminated by 530.9-keV line from ^{208}Po . Mult.: $A_2=-0.08$ 5, pol=+0.52 33.
537.4	283 14	1340.5	3 ⁺	803.1	2 ⁺	M1(+E2) [@]	Mult.: $A_2=-0.21$ 13, pol=-0.04 8.
565.5	9 2	5782.9	13 ⁺	5217.3	12 ⁺		
623.8	10 2	8704.8+x	(21 ⁺)	8081.0+x	(20 ⁺)		
803.1	1000 50	803.1	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2 [@]	Mult.: $A_2=0.06$ 4, pol=-0.05 5. The value is inconsistent with the assigned multipolarity.
810.5	18 4	7661.1+x	18 ⁽⁻⁾	6850.6+x	17 ⁽⁻⁾	D	Mult.: $A_2=-0.18$ 16.
816.3	73 7	6033.7	14 ⁺	5217.3	12 ⁺	(E2)	Mult.: $A_2=0.21$ 8.
880.9	648 32	1684.0	4 ⁺	803.1	2 ⁺	E2 [@]	Mult.: $A_2=0.18$ 5, pol=0.05 5.
^x 1186 [‡]	7 1						
1190.2	95 10	5217.3	12 ⁺	4027.1	12 ⁺	M1	Mult.: $A_2=0.40$ 5, pol=+0.19 25; J to J transition, based on $\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization. $\delta=-2.2$ 8, or $\delta=+0.8$ 3.
^x 1239 [‡]	5 1						
1299.1	228 11	3957.4	10 ⁺	2658.3	9 ⁻	E1 [@]	Mult.: $A_2=-0.13$ 6.
1368.7	254 13	4027.1	12 ⁺	2658.3	9 ⁻	E3 [@]	Mult.: $A_2=0.25$ 5.
1526.5	20 4	5553.6	(11 ⁺)	4027.1	12 ⁺	M1	Mult.: $A_2=-0.34$ 16, pol=-0.25 83.
^x 1748 [‡]	8 2						
1755.9	65 7	5782.9	13 ⁺	4027.1	12 ⁺	M1	Mult.: $A_2=-0.55$ 4, pol=-0.83 33.
^x 1825 [‡]	4 1						
2006.7	>10	6033.7	14 ⁺	4027.1	12 ⁺	(E2)	

[†] From **1994Po20**.[‡] Feeds the 4020.2-keV level.[#] From **1994Po20**, based on $\gamma(\theta)$ and linear polarization, unless otherwise stated.[@] From adopted gammas.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\alpha\text{Be}, \alpha 3n\gamma)$ 1994Po20

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

