

(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) 2001Fo08,2002Pf01

| Type            | Author       | History Citation     | Literature Cutoff Date |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Full Evaluation | F. G. Kondev | NDS 109, 1527 (2008) | 31-Jan-2008            |

**2001Fo08:**  $^{206}\text{Hg}$  produced in bombardment of  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  ions on a 50 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^{238}\text{U}$  target;  $E(^{208}\text{Pb})=1360$  MeV, pulsed beam with 1.6  $\mu\text{s}$  repetition time; Detectors: Gammasphere spectrometer consisting of 101 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors; Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  coin; Deduced:  $J^\pi$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ , level scheme. Other publications using the same data: [2001Br35](#), [2001La09](#) and [2004Br19](#).

Shell model calculations presented in [2001Ma26](#).

**2002Pf01:**  $^{206}\text{Hg}$  produced using interaction of  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  primary beams at 1 GeV per nucleon with a 1.6 g/cm<sup>2</sup> beryllium target; Detectors: fragment mass separator, four HPGe (CLOVER) detectors located at the focal plane, multi-wire proportional counters and two scintillation detectors; Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin.,  $\gamma(t)$ ; Deduced: level scheme,  $T_{1/2}$ , isomeric ratios. Other publications using the same data: [2001Pf03](#) and [2005Ca02](#).

 $^{206}\text{Hg}$  Levels

| E(level) <sup>†</sup> | $J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup> | $T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup> | Comments  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| 0                     | 0 <sup>+</sup>       | 8.32 min 7             | $T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels.  |
| 1068.0 10             | 2 <sup>+</sup>       |                        | Configuration= $\pi(s_{1/2}^{-1}, d_{3/2}^{-1})$ .  |
| 2102.0 15             | 5 <sup>-</sup>       | 2.15 $\mu\text{s}$ 21  | $T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels.<br>Configuration= $\pi(s_{1/2}^{-1}, h_{11/2}^{-1})$ .   |
| 2466.0 18             | (7 <sup>-</sup> )    |                        | Isomeric ratio is 3.7% 7 ( <a href="#">2002Pf01</a> ).<br>Configuration= $\pi(d_{3/2}^{-1}, h_{11/2}^{-1})$ .   |
| 3623.0 20             | (8 <sup>+</sup> )    |                        | Configuration= $\pi(h_{11/2}^{-1})$ .   |
| 3723.0 20             | (10 <sup>+</sup> )   | 92 ns 8                | $T_{1/2}$ : From <a href="#">2001Fo08</a> using a time spectrum produced by summing gates on 364 $\gamma(t)$ , 1157 $\gamma(t)$ and 1257 $\gamma(t)$ . Other: 90 ns 10 in <a href="#">2001La09</a> using a time spectrum produced by gating on the same transitions as in <a href="#">2001Fo08</a> .<br>Configuration= $\pi(h_{11/2}^{-2})$ . |
| 4605.8 21             | (10 <sup>+</sup> )   |                        | Configuration= $\nu(g_{9/2}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$ .  |
| 4987.1 21             | (11 <sup>+</sup> )   |                        | Configuration= $\nu(g_{9/2}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$ .  |
| 5643.4 21             | (12 <sup>+</sup> )   |                        | Configuration= $\pi(d_{3/2}^{-1}, h_{11/2}^{-1})_{7-} \nu(g_{9/2} p_{1/2}^{-1})_{5-}$ .   |
| 6067.2 21             | (13 <sup>-</sup> )   |                        | Configuration= $\nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})_{10+}$ coupled to 3 <sup>-</sup> octupole phonon.  |
| 6276.2? 23            |                      |                        | Configuration= $\nu(j_{15/2}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$ . The assignment is tentative.  |

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From [2001Fo08](#), unless otherwise specified.

 $\gamma(^{206}\text{Hg})$ 

| $E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup> | $E_i(\text{level})$ | $J_i^\pi$          | $E_f$  | $J_f^\pi$          | Mult. | Comments  |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|---|
| 100 1                   | 3723.0              | (10 <sup>+</sup> ) | 3623.0 | (8 <sup>+</sup> )  | [E2]  | $I(\gamma+ce)(100\gamma)/I(\gamma+ce)(1257\gamma)=3.2$ 3, determined using the $I(\gamma+ce)(1157\gamma)/I(\gamma+ce)(1257\gamma)$ ratio. |
| 209 1                   | 6276.2?             |                    | 6067.2 | (13 <sup>-</sup> ) |       |   |
| 364 1                   | 2466.0              | (7 <sup>-</sup> )  | 2102.0 | 5 <sup>-</sup>     |       |   |
| 381 1                   | 4987.1              | (11 <sup>+</sup> ) | 4605.8 | (10 <sup>+</sup> ) |       |   |
| 424 1                   | 6067.2              | (13 <sup>-</sup> ) | 5643.4 | (12 <sup>+</sup> ) |       |   |
| 656 1                   | 5643.4              | (12 <sup>+</sup> ) | 4987.1 | (11 <sup>+</sup> ) |       |   |
| 883 1                   | 4605.8              | (10 <sup>+</sup> ) | 3723.0 | (10 <sup>+</sup> ) |       |   |
| 1034 <sup>‡</sup> 1     | 2102.0              | 5 <sup>-</sup>     | 1068.0 | 2 <sup>+</sup>     |       |   |
| 1038 1                  | 5643.4              | (12 <sup>+</sup> ) | 4605.8 | (10 <sup>+</sup> ) |       |   |
| 1068 <sup>‡</sup> 1     | 1068.0              | 2 <sup>+</sup>     | 0      | 0 <sup>+</sup>     |       |   |
| 1157 1                  | 3623.0              | (8 <sup>+</sup> )  | 2466.0 | (7 <sup>-</sup> )  |       |   |
| 1257 1                  | 3723.0              | (10 <sup>+</sup> ) | 2466.0 | (7 <sup>-</sup> )  |       |   |

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

(HL,xn $\gamma$ ) [2001Fo08,2002Pf01](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{206}\text{Hg})$  (continued)

| <u><math>E_\gamma</math></u> <sup>†</sup> | <u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u> | <u><math>J_i^\pi</math></u> | <u><math>E_f</math></u> | <u><math>J_f^\pi</math></u> |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1264 <i>I</i>                             | 4987.1                                | (11 <sup>+</sup> )          | 3723.0                  | (10 <sup>+</sup> )          |
| 2344 <i>I</i>                             | 6067.2                                | (13 <sup>-</sup> )          | 3723.0                  | (10 <sup>+</sup> )          |

<sup>†</sup> From the level scheme of [2001Fo08](#). Uncertainties were assigned by the evaluator.

<sup>‡</sup> The ordering of 1034 $\gamma$  and 1068 $\gamma$  is reversed in [2001La09](#), when compared to [2001Fo08](#).

**(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) 2001Fo08,2002Pf01**Level Scheme