

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\text{t},\text{p}\gamma)$  1984Ma43,1982Be38,1978FI08

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 201,346 (2025)	21-Jan-2025

**1982Be38:** E=16 MeV, pulsed beam (1 ns on with 12.8  $\mu\text{s}$  separation); Target: thick  $^{204}\text{Hg}$  liquid target; Detectors: Ge detectors; Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma(\theta, \text{H}, \text{t})$  and  $\gamma(\theta)$ ; Deduced:  $J^\pi$ ,  $T_{1/2}$  and g-factor for the 2102-keV state.

**1984Ma43:** E=16 MeV, pulsed beam; Target: polycrystalline Hg, enriched to >98%; Detectors: two Ge(Li) placed at 0 and 90 degrees with respect to the beam direction; Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ; Q of the 2102-keV state.

**1978FI08:** E=17 MeV; Target: enriched HgO (360  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  thick) deposited between two 20  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$   $^{12}\text{C}$  foils; Detectors: helical detector (FWHM=35 keV). Authors stated that 22 levels in  $^{206}\text{Hg}$  were observed, but they reported only two levels populated by L=0 transitions.

 $^{206}\text{Hg}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	L <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	8.32 min 13	0	$T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels.
1068.2 2	2 <sup>+</sup>	<21 ns		$T_{1/2}$ : Upper limit from $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data in 1982Be38. Configuration= $\pi(s_{1/2}^{-1}, d_{3/2}^{-1})$ .
2102.4 2	5 <sup>-</sup>	2.15 $\mu\text{s}$ 21		$T_{1/2}$ : From 1034 $\gamma(\text{t})$ and 1068 $\gamma(\text{t})$ using the pulsed-beam technique in 1982Be38. $\mu$ : 5.45 5 from the measured g-factor=1.09 1 in 1982Be38 using the perturbed angular distribution technique. The value was corrected for diamagnetic shielding and Knight shift.
				Q: 0.65 13 in 1984Ma43 using the perturbed angular distribution technique. This value is relative to $Q(^{199}\text{Hg})=0.83$ 9.
3625	0 <sup>+</sup>		0	Configuration= $\pi(s_{1/2}^{-1}, h_{11/2}^{-1})$ . E(level): From 1978FI08.

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ , unless otherwise stated.

<sup>‡</sup> From deduced transition multipolarities using  $\gamma(\theta)$  in 1982Be38 and 1984Ma43, and L values in 1978FI08.

<sup>#</sup> From 1978FI08.

 $\gamma(^{206}\text{Hg})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	Comments
1034.2 2	2102.4	5 <sup>-</sup>	1068.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	E3	Mult.: $A_2=0.38$ 5 in 1982Be38. The measured ratio of $A_2(1068\gamma)/A_2(1034\gamma)=0.58$ 9 is consistent with a $\Delta J=3$ transition for which $A_2(2^+ \text{ to } 0^+)/A_2(5 \text{ to } 2)=0.6$ is expected, however $A_2(2^+ \text{ to } 0^+)/A_2(4 \text{ to } 2)=1.0$ if 1034 $\gamma$ was $\Delta J=2$ (1982Be38).
1068.2 2	1068.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	Mult.: From $A_2=+0.22$ 2 in 1982Be38.

<sup>†</sup> From 1982Be38.

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\text{t,p}\gamma)$     **1984Ma43,1982Be38,1978Fl08**

Level Scheme

