

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 201,346 (2025)	21-Jan-2025

$Q(\beta^-)=6760$  syst;  $S(n)=3690$  syst;  $S(p)=8660$  syst;  $Q(\alpha)=30$  syst [2021Wa16](#)

$\Delta Q(\beta^-)=300$ ,  $\Delta S(n)=360$ ,  $\Delta S(p)=420$ ,  $\Delta Q(\alpha)=420$  (syst,[2021Wa16](#)).

$S(2n)=9940$  360,  $S(2p)=19200$  500,  $Q(\beta^-n)=30$  300 (syst,[2021Wa16](#)).

[2015Mo20](#):  $^9\text{Be}$  target, 2.5 g/cm<sup>2</sup> thick, was bombarded with a 1 GeV/nucleon  $^{238}\text{U}$  pulsed beam at GSI in 3 and 4 s repetition cycles, and extraction times of 1 and 2 s, respectively. The  $^{206}\text{Au}$  recoiling nuclei were selected using the Fragment Separator (FRS) using three magnetic settings centered around  $^{205}\text{Pt}$ ,  $^{215}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{217}\text{Pb}$ . The  $^{206}\text{Au}$  recoils were decelerated in an Al degrader before their implantation in an active stopper consisting of six DSSD detectors (256 pixels with 9 mm<sup>2</sup> active area), surrounded by an array of 15 HPGe detectors (RISING). Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$  and  $\beta\gamma(t)$  with a coincidence window of 100  $\mu\text{s}$ .

Other: [2017Ca12](#).

 $^{206}\text{Au}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	(5 <sup>+</sup> ,6 <sup>+</sup> )	40 s 15	$\% \beta^- = 100$ E(level): Early identification in <a href="#">2009St16</a> , <a href="#">2008StZY</a> , but no level properties were measured. $\% \beta^-$ : Neutron-rich nuclide with $\beta^-$ decay being the only expected decay mode. $J^\pi$ : Possible direct $\beta^-$ -decay feeding to the $J^\pi=5^-$ level in $^{206}\text{Hg}$ ( <a href="#">2015Mo20</a> ). Particle-hole character of the proposed configuration (repulsive for mutual alignment) would be consistent with $J^\pi=5^+,6^+$ . The authors of <a href="#">2015Mo20</a> proposed a tentative $J^\pi=(4^+,5^+)$ assignment. $T_{1/2}$ : From sum of $\beta^-$ -gated 1068 $\gamma(t)$ and 1034 $\gamma(t)$ spectra in <a href="#">2015Mo20</a> and the maximum likelihood method. The uncertainty includes both statistical and systematics components, but the individual contributions were not given by the authors. Other: 56 s 17 from $\beta^-(t)$ in <a href="#">2017Ca12</a> . configuration: $\pi(d_{3/2})^{-1} \otimes \nu(g_{9/2})^{+1}$ . The $\pi(d_{3/2})^{-1}$ orbital is associated with the ground state of the even-N Au nuclei, while the $\nu(g_{9/2})^{+1}$ orbital is associated with the ground state of the $^{209}\text{Pb}$ and $^{211}\text{Po}$ (N=127) isotopes. If $J^\pi=5^+$ , admixtures with the $\pi(s_{1/2})^{-1} \otimes \nu(g_{9/2})^{+1}$ configuration are possible. The authors of <a href="#">2015Mo20</a> proposed a tentative configuration= $\pi(s_{1/2})^{-1} \otimes \nu(g_{9/2})^{+1}$ .