

(HI,xn γ) **1999Fe10,2009Dr08**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 201,346 (2025)	21-Jan-2025

1999Fe10: produced in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{12}\text{C},3n\gamma)$; $E(^{12}\text{C})=60\text{--}80\text{ MeV}$; Target: ^{197}Au , 1 mg/cm² thick; Detectors: 7 HPGe with BGO shields and 1 planar Ge (LEPS) detector; Measured: $E\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ and $X\gamma$ coin ($E(^{12}\text{C})=63\text{ MeV}$), excitation functions, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, and $\gamma(\theta)$. The assignment of γ -rays to ^{206}At is based on the excitation function data and coincidences of the main γ -rays with the At K α x rays. No $\gamma(\theta)$ results were presented in **1999Fe10**.

2009Dr08: produced in $^{197}\text{Au}(^{16}\text{O},\alpha 3n\gamma)$ $E=95\text{ MeV}$ ^{16}O beam provided by the 14UD Pelletron accelerator at Australian National University. The γ 's detected by CAESAR array, consisting of six Compton-suppressed hyperpure germanium detectors, three larger volume Compton-suppressed detectors, and two LEPS detectors. Measured isomer half-life.

Other: **2010Ka29**.

 ^{206}At Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^{π} [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0+x	(7 ⁺)		Additional information 1 . Configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes\nu(f_{5/2}^{-1})$. The assignment is tentative.
686.0+x 10	(9 ⁺)		
806.7+x 14	(10 ⁻)	813 ns 2I	T _{1/2} : From [122 $\gamma(t)$ +686 $\gamma(t)$] in 2009Dr08 . Others: 410 ns 80 (1999Fe10) from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ data by gating on gamma-rays above and below the isomer and 377 ns 44 (2010Ka29) from 121 $\gamma(t)$. It should be noted, however, that the reported time-measurements windows are 300 ns in 1999Fe10 and 400 ns in 2010Ka29 , which are shorter than the reported T _{1/2} . Configuration= $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1})\otimes\nu(i_{13/2}^{-1})$. The assignment is tentative.
1276.3+x 14	(11 ⁺)		
1347.7+x 17			
1393.3+x 17			
1422.4+x 16	(12 ⁻)		
1622.5+x 15			
1740.7+x 20			
1797.4+x 19	(13 ⁻)		
1814.3+x 17	(14 ⁻)		
1961.8+x 17			
2002.3+x 17	(14 ⁻)		
2010.4+x 16			
2103.3+x 19			
2133.3+x 20			
2251.1+x 17			
2291.3+x 19			
2552.1+x 20			
2645.3+x 20			
2796.3+x 19			
2902.3+x 22			

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From **1999Fe10**.

 $\gamma(^{206}\text{At})$

E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
117 1	1393.3+x		1276.3+x	(11 ⁺)
121 1	806.7+x	(10 ⁻)	686.0+x	(9 ⁺)
188 1	2002.3+x	(14 ⁻)	1814.3+x	(14 ⁻)
249 1	2251.1+x		2002.3+x	(14 ⁻)
257 1	2902.3+x		2645.3+x	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) [1999Fe10,2009Dr08](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{206}\text{At})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
289 [‡] <i>I</i>	2103.3+x		1814.3+x	(14 ⁻)	437 <i>I</i>	2251.1+x		1814.3+x	(14 ⁻)
289 [‡] <i>I</i>	2251.1+x		1961.8+x		505 <i>I</i>	2796.3+x		2291.3+x	
289 [‡] <i>I</i>	2291.3+x		2002.3+x	(14 ⁻)	541 <i>I</i>	1347.7+x		806.7+x	(10 ⁻)
301 <i>I</i>	2552.1+x		2251.1+x		580 <i>I</i>	2002.3+x	(14 ⁻)	1422.4+x	(12 ⁻)
319 <i>I</i>	2133.3+x		1814.3+x	(14 ⁻)	590 <i>I</i>	1276.3+x	(11 ⁺)	686.0+x	(9 ⁺)
339 <i>I</i>	1961.8+x		1622.5+x		616 <i>I</i>	1422.4+x	(12 ⁻)	806.7+x	(10 ⁻)
346 <i>I</i>	1622.5+x		1276.3+x	(11 ⁺)	686 <i>I</i>	686.0+x	(9 ⁺)	0+x	(7 ⁺)
375 <i>I</i>	1797.4+x	(13 ⁻)	1422.4+x	(12 ⁻)	693 <i>I</i>	2796.3+x		2103.3+x	
388 <i>I</i>	2010.4+x		1622.5+x		734 <i>I</i>	2010.4+x		1276.3+x	(11 ⁺)
392 <i>I</i>	1814.3+x	(14 ⁻)	1422.4+x	(12 ⁻)	831 <i>I</i>	2645.3+x		1814.3+x	(14 ⁻)
393 <i>I</i>	1740.7+x		1347.7+x						

[†] From [1999Fe10](#). The uncertainties were estimated by the evaluator.

[‡] Multiply placed.

(HI,xn γ) 1999Fe10,2009Dr08

Level Scheme

