## <sup>210</sup>**Fr** $\alpha$ decay 2005Ku06

History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date				
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 201,346 (2025)	21-Jan-2025				

Parent: <sup>210</sup>Fr: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=6^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.18 \text{ min } 6$ ;  $Q(\alpha)=6671 5$ ; % $\alpha$  decay=71 4 <sup>210</sup>Fr-Q( $\alpha$ ): From 2021Wa16.

<sup>210</sup>Fr- $\mu$ =4.38  $\mu_{\rm N}$  5 (2008Go11), consistent with the  $\pi$ (h<sup>+1</sup><sub>9/2</sub>) $\otimes v$ (f<sup>-1</sup><sub>5/2</sub>) configuration. 2005Ku06: <sup>210</sup>Fr is produced in <sup>209</sup>Bi(<sup>12</sup>C,7n)<sup>214</sup>Ac reaction at E=7.1 and 9.1 MeV per nucleon and subsequent  $\alpha$  decay of <sup>214</sup>Ac. Detectors: Velocity filter SHIP. Residues were implanted into position-sensitive 16-strip PIPS Si-detector. A Ge Clover was placed behind Si detector; Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $E\alpha$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $I\alpha$ ,  $\alpha\gamma$  coin.

<sup>206</sup>At Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$(6)^{+}$	30.5 min 6	$J^{\pi}, T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels.
			configuration: $\pi(h_{\alpha/2}^{+1}) \otimes v(f_{5/2}^{-1})$ , same as the parent state.
5.7? 3			E(level): From $\gamma$ -ray energy differences. No direct decay to the ground state was observed.
31.05? 22			E(level): From $\gamma$ -ray energy differences. No direct decay to the ground state was observed.
126.30 10			
137.57? 23			
148.00 10			
201.0? 4			
322.30? 10			
340.40 10			
444.2 5			
657.3? <i>3</i>			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

## $\alpha$ radiations

$E\alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\alpha^{\dagger \#}$	$\mathrm{HF}^{\ddagger}$	Comments
5899 5	657.3?	0.010 5	31 16	E $\alpha$ : From Q( $\alpha$ ) and the corresponding level energy.
6112 7	444.2	0.0017 9	1.69×10 <sup>3</sup> 90	
6212 4	340.40	0.022 3	369 56	
6227 5	322.30?	0.010 2	9.7×10 <sup>2</sup> 21	
6347 5	201.0?	0.0041 13	$7.7 \times 10^3 \ 25$	$E\alpha$ : From $Q(\alpha)$ and the corresponding level energy.
6400 4	148.00	0.034 7	1.53×10 <sup>3</sup> 34	
6409 4	137.57?	0.014 4	$4.1 \times 10^3$ 12	
6420 4	126.30	0.030 5	2.13×10 <sup>3</sup> 39	
6545 5	0.0	99.87 <i>3</i>	2.06 14	I $\alpha$ ,HF: Could include contributions to the 5.7 level.

<sup>†</sup> From 2005Ku06, except where noted. I $\alpha$  values were extracted from  $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  coin data, but no corrections for conversion electrons summing effects were applied.

<sup>±</sup> Using  $r_0(^{206}At)=1.4726\ 61$  unweighted average of 1.4755 52 (<sup>204</sup>Po), 1.4568 22 (<sup>206</sup>Po), 1.4861 29 (<sup>206</sup>Rn) and 1.4718 31 (<sup>208</sup>Rn) from 2020Si16.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.71 4.

## $^{210}{\rm Fr}\,\alpha$ decay 2005Ku06 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{206}\text{At})$ 

 $I\gamma$  normalization: Since the decay scheme is uncertain and there are no conversion coefficient data, normalization to absolute  $I\gamma$ was not applied.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$
<sup>x</sup> 100.9 2					195.3 <sup>‡</sup> 2	163 47	201.0?	5.7?	
106.5 <sup>‡</sup> 2	94 29	137.57?	31.05?		322.3 <sup>‡</sup> 1	399 65	322.30?	0.0	(6)+
116.9 <sup>‡</sup> 3	348 75	148.00	31.05?		340.4 1	8.8×10 <sup>2</sup> 10	340.40	0.0	$(6)^{+}$
120.7 <sup>‡</sup> 3	158 48	126.30	5.7?		444.2 5	65 <i>33</i>	444.2	0.0	$(6)^{+}$
126.3 <i>1</i>	1000	126.30	0.0	$(6)^{+}$	626.3 <sup>‡</sup> 3	125 43	657.3?	31.05?	
137.6 <sup>‡</sup> 3	471 <i>71</i>	137.57?	0.0	$(6)^{+}$	651.5 <sup>‡</sup> 3	284 <i>23</i>	657.3?	5.7?	
148.0 <i>1</i>	9.7×10 <sup>2</sup> 13	148.00	0.0	$(6)^{+}$					

<sup>†</sup> From 2005Ku06. <sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain. <sup>*x*</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

## <sup>210</sup>**Fr** $\alpha$ decay 2005Ku06

