

^{205}Fr ε decay 2010De04

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 166, 1 (2020)	20-Apr-2020

Parent: ^{205}Fr : $E=0$; $J^\pi=9/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=3.90$ s 7; $Q(\varepsilon)=6400$ 9; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=1.5 4

^{205}Fr - $Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2017Wa10.

2010De04: 1.4 GeV proton beam induced spallation on a 49 mg/cm² UC₂-C target at ISOLDE-CERN facility. Francium was surface ionized, accelerated to 30 keV and mass separated. Moving-tape system. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -t coin, ce, $\gamma(\text{ce})$ -t coin using two HPGe detectors, located at 90° and 180°, surrounding a 4 mm thick Si(Li) detector placed inside a MINI-ORANGE spectrometer.

 ^{205}Rn Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0 [#]	5/2 ⁻	170 s 4	
31.40 [@] 20	(3/2 ⁻)		
387.60 18	(7/2 ⁻)		
545.30? 20	(7/2 ⁻ , 9/2 ⁻)		E(level), J^π : The assignment is uncertain, since the depopulating 545.3-keV γ ray could feed the 31.4-keV level ($J^\pi=3/2^-$).
596.20 18	(7/2 ⁻)		
633.10 20	(7/2 ⁻)		
657.1?& 5	(13/2 ⁺)	>10 s	$T_{1/2}$: Estimated in 2010De04, based on observed ce events within a 18.6 s time window.
1049.1? 3	(7/2 ⁻ , 9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)		E(level), J^π : Tentative assignment, given the uncertainty with the energy of the 545.30-keV level (1080.5 if 545.3 γ feeds the 31.4 keV level).

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From Adopted Levels, based on deduced γ -ray transition multiplicities in 2010De04.

[#] configuration= $\nu(f_{5/2}^{-1})$.

[@] configuration= $\nu(p_{3/2}^{-1})$.

[&] configuration= $\nu(i_{13/2}^{-1})$.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ [†]	Comments
(5351 9)	1049.1?	0.040 16	0.060 24	6.96 21	0.10 4	av $E\beta=1946.3$ 41; $\varepsilon K=0.4770$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.08961$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=0.02999$ 8
(5743 9)	657.1?	≈ 0.062	≈ 0.18	$\approx 8.6^{1u}$	≈ 0.24	av $E\beta=2051.0$ 40; $\varepsilon K=0.5910$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.11405$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.03834$ 6 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: From log $ft=8.6$ for the same $\pi h_{9/2}$ to $\nu i_{13/2}$ transition in the ^{201}Pb isotone (2007Ko06).
(5767 9)	633.10	0.09 3	0.11 3	6.77 18	0.20 6	av $E\beta=2135.4$ 41; $\varepsilon K=0.4266$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.07998$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.02676$ 7
(5804 9)	596.20	0.21 6	0.23 7	6.44 18	0.44 13	av $E\beta=2152.3$ 41; $\varepsilon K=0.4224$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.07916$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.02648$ 7
(5855 9)	545.30?	0.10 3	0.10 3	6.79 18	0.20 6	av $E\beta=2175.5$ 41; $\varepsilon K=0.4165$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.07804$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.02611$ 7
(6012 9)	387.60	0.16 5	0.15 4	6.65 18	0.31 9	av $E\beta=2247.5$ 42; $\varepsilon K=0.3986$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.07464$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.02497$ 7

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

²⁰⁵Fr ε decay 2010De04 (continued)

γ(²⁰⁵Rn)

I_γ normalization: From the decay scheme and ΣI_i(γ+ce)(g.s.)=100% and by assuming no %ε+%β⁺ direct feeding to the ground (J^π=5/2⁻) and the first excited (J^π=3/2⁻) states.

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ[#]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[†]</u>	<u>δ[†]</u>	<u>α[‡]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
31.4 2	2.06 7	31.40	(3/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁻	[M1]		76.1 18	%I _γ =0.00604 17 α(L)=57.8 14; α(M)=13.8 4 α(N)=3.59 9; α(O)=0.786 19; α(P)=0.115 3 I _γ : From intensity balance at the 31.40-keV level and by assuming no direct %ε+%β ⁺ feeding to this level (ΔJ=3).
356.3 2	52 4	387.60	(7/2 ⁻)	31.40	(3/2 ⁻)	E2		0.0840	%I _γ =0.16 5 α(K)=0.0476 7; α(L)=0.0271 4; α(M)=0.00704 10 α(N)=0.00183 3; α(O)=0.000380 6; α(P)=4.65×10 ⁻⁵ 7 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.048 11.
387.5 2	36 3	387.60	(7/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁻	M1		0.297	%I _γ =0.11 3 α(K)=0.241 4; α(L)=0.0429 6; α(M)=0.01017 15 α(N)=0.00265 4; α(O)=0.000580 9; α(P)=8.47×10 ⁻⁵ 12 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.205 40.
503.8 2	33 3	1049.1?	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	545.30?	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)	E2		0.0345	%I _γ =0.102 29 α(K)=0.0233 4; α(L)=0.00844 12; α(M)=0.00214 3 α(N)=0.000558 8; α(O)=0.0001171 17; α(P)=1.506×10 ⁻⁵ 22 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.022 5.
545.3 2	98 8	545.30?	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁻	E2		0.0287	%I _γ =0.30 9 α(K)=0.0199 3; α(L)=0.00662 10; α(M)=0.001672 24 α(N)=0.000435 7; α(O)=9.17×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(P)=1.192×10 ⁻⁵ 17 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.016 7.
564.7 2	100	596.20	(7/2 ⁻)	31.40	(3/2 ⁻)	E2		0.0265	%I _γ =0.30 8 α(K)=0.0186 3; α(L)=0.00597 9; α(M)=0.001503 21 α(N)=0.000391 6; α(O)=8.25×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(P)=1.078×10 ⁻⁵ 16 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.022 3.
596.3 2	41 4	596.20	(7/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁻	M1		0.0939	%I _γ =0.13 4 α(K)=0.0763 11;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{205}Fr ε decay **2010De04** (continued) $\gamma(^{205}\text{Rn})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ #	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. †	δ^\dagger	α^\ddagger	Comments
633.1 2	63 5	633.10	(7/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	1.8 4	0.035 6	$\alpha(\text{L})=0.01343$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00318$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000828$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000181$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.65\times 10^{-5}$ 4 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.069$ 9. $\%I_\gamma=0.20$ 6 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.027$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0060$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00146$ 17 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00038$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.2\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.13\times 10^{-5}$ 16 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.027$ 3.
657.1 5	≈36	657.1?	(13/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁻	[M4]		0.889	δ : From $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and the briccmixing program. $\%I_\gamma\approx 0.11$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.586$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.224$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0593$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.01571$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00337$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000458$ 7 E_γ : From 2010De04 , based on the observed 558.7-keV ce line in coincidence with the Rn X rays. The γ rays is highly contaminated. I_γ : From $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ feeding to this level.

† From the measured $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ in **2010De04**.

‡ [Additional information 1](#).

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0031 8.

^{205}Fr ϵ decay 2010De04

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

