

<sup>204</sup>Hg(t,d $\gamma$ ) **1985Ma48**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 166, 1 (2020)	20-Apr-2020

**1985Ma48:** 1) E=16 MeV ( $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ); 2) E=16 MeV ( $\gamma(\theta)$ ); 3) E=14.2 MeV pulsed beam with 1 ns on and 12.8  $\mu$ s off; 4) E=16 MeV, pulsed beam with 25 ms on and 25 ms off, but the data were collected only during beam off period; Target: metallic liquid Mercury, enriched to 98.2% in <sup>204</sup>Hg; Detectors: Ge(Li); Measured: E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ ; Deduced: levels, J $^\pi$ , T<sub>1/2</sub>, transition multipolarities.

Others: **1984Be14**.

<sup>205</sup>Hg Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	5.14 min 9	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From Adopted Levels. configuration: $\nu(p_{1/2}^{-1})$ .
379.48 11	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>		configuration: $\nu(f_{5/2}^{-1})$ .
467.51 11	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>		
1346.14 13	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>		
1395.12 22	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>		configuration: $\nu(f_{5/2}^{-1})\otimes 2^+$ .
1556.5 4	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.10 ms 4	J $^\pi$ : From Adopted Levels. T <sub>1/2</sub> : From $\gamma(t)$ (ms pulsed-beam experiment) using gates on the 379.42 $\gamma$ , 966.62 $\gamma$ and 1015.63 $\gamma$ .
1847.42 18	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>		configuration: $\nu(i_{13/2}^{-1})$ . configuration: $\nu(g_{9/2}^{+1})$ .

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From **1985Ma48**, unless otherwise stated.

$\gamma(^{205}\text{Hg})$

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ <sup>#</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J $^\pi$ <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J $^\pi$ <sub>f</sub>	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>&amp;</sup>	Comments
(49.0 3)	0.166 10	1395.12	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	1346.14	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1]	11.5 3	$\alpha(L)=8.82$ 21; $\alpha(M)=2.06$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.516$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.0975$ 23; $\alpha(P)=0.00746$ 18 E $\gamma$ : Unobserved, but required from the intensity balance arguments. Deduced using the level's energy difference. I $\gamma$ : From the measured branching ratios of 19% I ( <b>1985Ma48</b> ) and I $\gamma$ (1015.63 $\gamma$ )=8.8 I.
161.4 <sup>‡</sup> 5		1556.5	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1395.12	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>			E $\gamma$ : 161 $\gamma$ in <b>1985Ma48</b> (unplaced in the level scheme). In coincidence with 379.42 $\gamma$ . E $\gamma$ : In coincidence with 379.42, 501.28 and 966.62 keV $\gamma$ -rays.
<sup>x</sup> 164								E $\gamma$ : In coincidence with 379.42 and 501.28 keV $\gamma$ -rays.
<sup>x</sup> 188								E $\gamma$ : In coincidence with 379.42 and 501.28 keV $\gamma$ -rays.
210.3 <sup>‡</sup> 5		1556.5	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1346.14	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>			
379.42 11	18.5 2	379.48	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.0546	$\alpha(K)=0.0362$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.01395$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.00349$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000870$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.0001522$ 22; $\alpha(P)=4.74\times 10^{-6}$ 7 Mult.: A <sub>2</sub> =+0.18 I.
467.58 12	7.1 1	467.51	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1	0.1095	$\alpha(K)=0.0901$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.01486$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.00345$ 5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Hg}(t,d\gamma)$  **1985Ma48** (continued) $\gamma(^{205}\text{Hg})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ #	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. @	$\alpha$ &	Comments
								$\alpha(\text{N})=0.000865$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001638$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.261\times 10^{-5}$ 18 Mult.: $A_2=-0.11$ 4.
501.28 12	7.6 3	1847.42	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	1346.14	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	(E1)	0.00885	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00735$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001156$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000267$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.65\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.237\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.63\times 10^{-7}$ 12 Mult.: $A_2=-0.22$ 3.
<sup>x</sup> 506.5								$E_\gamma$ : In coincidence with 379.42 $\gamma$ .
<sup>x</sup> 567								$E_\gamma$ : In coincidence with 379.42 $\gamma$ .
878.83 21	0.60 10	1346.14	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	467.51	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.00780	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00620$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001226$ 18; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000291$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.27\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.340\times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.17\times 10^{-7}$ 12 $I_\gamma$ : From the measured branching ratios of 6% 1 ( <b>1985Ma48</b> ) and $I_\gamma(966.62\gamma)=10.0$ 2.
<sup>x</sup> 945								$E_\gamma$ : In coincidence with 379.42 $\gamma$ .
966.62 10	10.0 2	1346.14	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	379.48	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.01663	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01374$ 20; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00222$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000513$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001286$ 18; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.44\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.90\times 10^{-6}$ 3 Mult.: $A_2=-0.22$ 4.
1015.63 25	8.8 1	1395.12	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	379.48	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.00586	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00471$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000876$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000206$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.16\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.57\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.18\times 10^{-7}$ 9 $I_\gamma$ : Unresolved doublet in the singles spectrum.

† From **1985Ma48**, unless otherwise stated.

‡ From adopted gamas.

# From continuous beam measurements (E=16 MeV), unless otherwise stated.

@ From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and multiple decay branches in **1985Ma48**.& [Additional information 1](#).<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{204}\text{Hg}(t,d\gamma)$  1985Ma48

Legend

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

