## <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>208</sup>Pb,Xγ) 2008St20,2008StZY

History									
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	C. J. Chiara and F. G. Kondev	NDS 111,141 (2010)	1-Oct-2009						

2008St20,2008StZY: 2.526-g/cm<sup>2</sup> <sup>9</sup>Be target; E(<sup>208</sup>Pb)=1 GeV/A, beam on 10 s, off 8 s; GSI Fragment Separator, scintillator detectors for mass identification via ToF and for position information, multiwire detectors for position information, ΔE of fragments in gas ionization chambers, 7-mm plastic stopper for implantation of fragments, array of 15 HPGe cluster detectors with 15% total efficiency at 662 keV; measured Eγ, Iγ, γ(t), γγ-coin. See also 2007Po13, 2007St11 and 2009St16. Additional information 1.

## <sup>204</sup>Pt Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub> #	Comments	
0.0 872.0? 10	0 <sup>+</sup> (2 <sup>+</sup> )		E(level): The energy of this level depends on the order of the $872\gamma$ and $1123\gamma$ , which could not be determined experimentally in this study, but were placed based on comparison with the <sup>206</sup> Hg level scheme and shell-model calculations. If the $\gamma$ 's are interchanged, this level energy is instead 1123 keV.	
1995.0 <i>15</i>	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	5.5 µs 7	Proposed configuration: $\pi[(d_{3/2})^{-1}(h_{11/2})^{-1}]$ .	
1995.0+x	(7-)	55 μs 3	E(level): $\gamma$ decay to the 1995-keV level is expected, but not observed. 2008St20 suggest E $\gamma$ is below the K x-ray threshold of 78.4 keV due to the absence of observed x rays associated with this decay. Such a low-energy transition would be dominated by internal conversion.	
3056+x? 1	(8+)		Proposed configuration: $\pi[(d_{3/2})^{-1}(h_{11/2})^{-1}]$ . E(level): The energy of this level depends on the order of the 1061 $\gamma$ and 97 $\gamma$ , which could not be determined experimentally in this study, but were placed based on comparison with the <sup>206</sup> Hg level scheme and shell-model calculations. If the $\gamma$ 's are interchanged, this level energy is instead 2092+x keV.	
3153+x <i>1</i>	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	146 ns <i>14</i>	Proposed configuration: $\pi[(h_{1/2})^{-2}]$ . Proposed configuration: $\pi[(h_{1/2})^{-2}]$ .	
	. /			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

 $\pm$  From 2008St20, based on comparison with the  $^{206}$ Hg level scheme and shell-model calculations.

<sup>#</sup> From 2008St20, deduced from time difference between fragment implantation and subsequent  $\gamma$  decay.

## $\gamma(^{204}{\rm Pt})$

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
97 1	1.8 3	3153+x	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	3056+x?	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	$I_{\gamma}$ : It is stated in 2008StZY that a time-walk effect may have resulted in a lower observed intensity for this transition.
872 <i>I</i> 1061 <i>I</i> 1123 <i>I</i> 1158 <i>I</i>	20.7 11	872.0? 3056+x? 1995.0 3153+x	$(2^+)$ (8 <sup>+</sup> ) (5 <sup>-</sup> ) (10 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0 1995.0+x 872.0? 1995.0+x	$0^+$ (7 <sup>-</sup> ) (2 <sup>+</sup> ) (7 <sup>-</sup> )	

<sup>†</sup> Uncertainties not given in 2008St20; assigned by evaluators.

<sup>‡</sup> From 2008StZY.



