

²⁰⁶Pb(p,t) 1977La10,1983Ta05

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. J. Chiara and F. G. Kondev	NDS 111,141 (2010)	1-Oct-2009

1977La10: ²⁰⁶Pb target enriched to 97% on C backing; E(p)=35 MeV; magnetic spectrometer; energy measured with emulsion plates, FWHM=15 keV; $\sigma(\theta)$ measured with proportional counter, FWHM=30 keV. DWBA calculations.
1983Ta05: 5-mg/cm² ²⁰⁶Pb target enriched to >99%; E(p)=51.9 MeV; magnetic spectrometer, FWHM=90 keV; measured energy and $\sigma(\theta)$. DWBA calculations.
1981We03: 900- μ g/cm² ²⁰⁶Pb target enriched to 99.8%; E(p)=26.2 MeV; energy-loss spectrometer, FWHM=30 keV; measured energy and $\sigma(\theta)$. DWBA calculations.
1980KuZT: \approx 1-mg/cm² enriched ²⁰⁶Pb target; E(p)=54.9 MeV; magnetic spectrometer, FWHM=15 keV; measured energy and $\sigma(\theta)$. DWBA calculations.
1974Or01: 14.0-mg/cm² ²⁰⁶Pb target; E(p)=51.9 MeV; magnetic spectrometer, FWHM=100 keV; measured energy and $\sigma(\theta)$. DWBA calculations.

²⁰⁴Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	L [#]	S ^{@&}	Comments
0	0 ⁺	0	6.11	
899 1	2 ⁺	2	5.03	
1274 1	4 ⁺	4	15.0	
1351 1	2 ⁺	2	0.15	
1563 2	4 ⁺	4	0.37	
1582 2				J ^π : Only one level indicated by 1977La10, but probably unresolved 0 ⁺ and 2 ⁺ levels (see Adopted Levels).
1663 2	2 ⁺	2	1.02	
1728 2	0 ⁺	0	0.69	
1816 2	4 ⁺	4	5.53	
1958 2	2 ⁺	2	0.37	
2103 2	2 ⁺	2		
2156 2				
2186 2	9 ⁻	9		
2257 ^a 2		5+7		J ^π : Probably unresolved 5 ⁻ and 7 ⁻ levels (see Adopted Levels).
2399 2	(7 ⁻)	(7)		Additional information 1.
2430 2				
2500 ^b 30	2 ⁺	2 ^b		
2505 3	5 ⁻	5		
2620 3	3 ⁻	3 ^b		
2660 3				
2808 3	6 ⁺	6		Proposed dominant configuration= $\nu[(f_{5/2})^{-1}(f_{7/2})^{-1}](\nu^{-2})_{0+}$.
2829 3				
2897 3	4 ⁺	4		
3147 3	2 ⁺	2 ^b		
3226 3	2 ⁺	2 ^b		
3420 ^b 30	3 ⁻	3 ^b		
3450 ^c	10 ⁺	10 ^c		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(i_{13/2})^{-2}](\nu^{-2})_{0+}$.
3510 ^c	12 ⁺	12 ^c		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(i_{13/2})^{-2}](\nu^{-2})_{0+}$.
3810 ^b 30	2 ⁺	2 ^b		
3949 4	6 ⁺	6 ^b		
4100 ^b 30	7 ⁻	7 ^b		
4853 ^d 10	11 ⁻	11		L: Deduced to be tentatively L=(11) in 1974Or01 and 1983Ta05, but there is good agreement between the L=11 DWBA calculations and the data in these two works as well as in 1980KuZT, hence a firm L assignment has been adopted. Proposed configuration= $\nu[(i_{13/2})^{-1}(h_{9/2})^{-1}](\nu^{-2})_{0+}$.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{206}\text{Pb}(\text{p,t})$ [1977La10](#),[1983Ta05](#) (continued) ^{204}Pb Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>Jπ[‡]</u>	<u>L[#]</u>
5000 ^b 30	6 ⁺	6 ^b
5100 ^b 30	9 ⁻	9 ^b
5520 ^b 30	9 ⁻	9 ^b
5910 ^b 30	9 ⁻	9 ^b

[†] From [1977La10](#), unless otherwise noted.

[‡] From [1977La10](#), [1980KuZT](#), [1974Or01](#) based on deduced L values.

[#] From [1977La10](#) or otherwise noted, based on $\sigma(\theta)$ comparison with DWBA calculations.

[@] Relative enhancement factors from DWBA calculations in [1981We03](#), giving the degree of enhancement of $d\sigma/d\Omega$ beyond the shell model. Two-step processes were neglected.

[&] [Additional information 2](#).

^a Complex.

^b From [1983Ta05](#).

^c From [1980KuZT](#).

^d From [1974Or01](#).