Comments

²⁰⁴Pb IT decay (66.93 min) 1956He50,1971Ha39,1972Si22

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	C. J. Chiara and F. G. Kondev	NDS 111,141 (2010)	1-Oct-2009					

Parent: ²⁰⁴Pb: E=2185.88 8; $J^{\pi}=9^{-}$; $T_{1/2}=66.93 \text{ min } 10$; %IT decay=100.0

1956He50: isomer produced via metallic Tl bombarded with 25-MeV d's or by ε decay of ²⁰⁴Bi from Pb bombarded with 50-MeV p's; permanent-magnet and double-focusing β spectrometers for E(ce), Ice(K), Ce(t) measurements.

1971Ha39: isomer produced via decay of ²⁰⁴Bi from ²⁰⁶Pb(d,4n), and by Tl(d,xn); Ge(Li) for γ 's, FWHM=1.8 keV at 661 keV; cooled Si(Au) detector for ce's, FWHM=1.8 keV at 624 keV; measured E γ , I γ , Ice; deduced α (K)exp.

1972Si22: ²⁰⁵Tl(p,2n) reaction; 99.99%-pure natural Tl target; E(p)=14 MeV; Ge(Li) detector; spectra recorded in≈1-h intervals; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma(t)$.

²⁰⁴Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}	T _{1/2}	Comments
0 899.15 <i>3</i>	0^+ 2^+		
1273.99 7	4+	265 ns 6	T _{1/2} : Weighted average of 258 ns <i>12</i> (1963Sa19), 280 ns <i>12</i> (1967Li12), 260 ns <i>10</i> (1978So02). Q=0.68 <i>15</i> from γγ(t) of ²⁰⁴ Pb implanted in crystal, TDPAC method (1974He16). μ =+0.224 <i>6</i> from weighted average of +0.216 <i>20</i> (1955Kr06) by angular correlation attenuation, +0.226 8 (1963Sa19) and +0.220 <i>12</i> (1967Li12) by differential angular correlation method.
1563.41 12	4+		
2185.88 8	9-	66.93 min 10	E(level): From Adopted Levels. T _{1/2} : Weighted average of 67.5 min 5 (1956He50), 66.9 min 1 (1958Ba04), 66 min 3 (1972Si22), 67.2 min 9 (1977SmZV), 68.4 min 24 (2001Li17).

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, unless otherwise specified.

[‡] From γ mult assignments, based on α (K)exp and K/L ratios.

$\gamma(^{204}\text{Pb})$ Mult.[@] E_i (level) α^{\dagger} 1273.99 4+ 1563.41 M1+E2 0.25 5 +0.09 2 $\alpha(K)=0.383$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.0656$ 10; 289.30 15 0.469 α(M)=0.01538 22; α(N+..)=0.00477 7 $\alpha(N)=0.00391$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000779$ 11; $\alpha(P)=8.31\times10^{-5}$ 12 E_{γ},δ : From adopted gammas.

374.76 7	94.20 14	1273.99	4+	899.15	2^{+}	E2

							I_{γ} : 0.249 20 (relative intensity). Mult.: α (K)exp=0.39 3, K/L=5.4 8 (1971Ha39).
374.76 7	94.20 <i>14</i>	1273.99	4+	899.15 2+	E2	0.0614	α(K)=0.0390 6; α(L)=0.01681 24; α(M)=0.00426 6; α(N+)=0.001291 19 α(N)=0.001077 16; α(O)=0.000200 3; α(P)=1.370×10 ⁻⁵ 20 E _γ : From adopted gammas. E _γ =374.74 keV 10 in 1970CrZY. I _γ : From intensity balances using I _γ (889.15γ)=99.174% 12 and I _γ (663.43γ)=0.0022% 22. Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0386 13, K/L=2.25 5 (1971Ha39).
622.2 2	0.22 4	2185.88	9-	1563.41 4+	E5	0.417	$\alpha(K)=0.1596\ 23;\ \alpha(L)=0.190\ 3;$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

		²⁰⁴ Pb IT decay (66.93 min)			1956He50,1971Ha39,1972Si22 (continued)				
	γ ⁽²⁰⁴ Pb) (co						continued)		
E_{γ}^{\ddagger}	I_{γ} ^{#&}	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [@]	α^{\dagger}	Comments	
663.43 ^{<i>a</i>} 15	0.0022 22	1563.41	4+	899.15	2+	[E2]	0.01542	$\begin{array}{l} \alpha(\mathrm{M}){=}0.0519 \; 8; \; \alpha(\mathrm{N}{+}){=}0.01592 \; 23 \\ \alpha(\mathrm{N}){=}0.01329 \; 19; \; \alpha(\mathrm{O}){=}0.00246 \; 4; \\ \alpha(\mathrm{P}){=}0.0001725 \; 25 \\ \mathrm{E}_{\gamma}{:} \; \mathrm{From} \; 1956\mathrm{He50}. \\ \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}{:} \; 0.219 \; 20 \; (\mathrm{relative \; intensity}). \\ \mathrm{Mult.:} \; \alpha(\mathrm{K})\mathrm{exp}{=}0.159 \; 19, \; \mathrm{K/L}{=}0.83 \; 4 \\ (1971\mathrm{Ha39}). \\ \alpha(\mathrm{K}){=}0.01165 \; 17; \; \alpha(\mathrm{L}){=}0.00286 \; 4; \end{array}$	
								α (M)=0.000697 <i>10</i> ; α (N+)=0.000213 <i>3</i> α (N)=0.0001766 <i>25</i> ; α (O)=3.39×10 ⁻⁵ <i>5</i> ; α (P)=2.94×10 ⁻⁶ <i>5</i> I _{γ} : <0.0044 (relative intensity), from adopted gammas branching ratio	
899.15 <i>3</i>	99.174 <i>12</i>	899.15	2+	0	0+	E2	0.00821 12	α=0.00821 12; α(K)=0.00647 9; α(L)=0.001323 19; α(M)=0.000317 5; α(N+)=9.73×10 ⁻⁵ 14 α(N)=8.02×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(O)=1.562×10 ⁻⁵ 22; α(P)=1.473×10 ⁻⁶ 21 E _γ : From adopted gammas. Eγ=899.15 keV 10 in 1970CrZY. I _γ : 100 15 (relative intensity). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0065 4, K/L=4.9 3 (1971Ha30)	
911.74 <i>15</i>	91.5 <i>13</i>	2185.88	9-	1273.99	4+	E5	0.0958	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0544 \ 8; \ \alpha(\text{L})=0.0308 \ 5; \ \alpha(\text{M})=0.00809 \ 12; \ \alpha(\text{N}+)=0.00249 \ 4 \ \alpha(\text{N})=0.00207 \ 3; \ \alpha(\text{O})=0.000390 \ 6; \ \alpha(\text{P})=3.10\times10^{-5} \ 5 \ \text{I}_{\gamma}: \text{ From intensity balances using } \ \text{I}_{\gamma}(374.76\gamma)=94.20\% \ 14 \ \text{and} \ \text{I}_{\gamma}(289.30\gamma)=0.25\% \ 5. \ \text{Mult.: } \alpha=0.099 \ 2 \ \text{in } 1988\text{ZhZT based on} \ \text{I}_{\gamma}(899)/\text{I}_{\gamma}(912)=1.0935 \ 10 \ \text{and intensity } \ \text{balance; } \alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.056 \ 3 \ (1954\text{Ma78}), \ 0.0549 \ 20 \ (1956\text{He50}), \ 0.055 \ 5 \ (1972\text{Gu06}); \ \text{K/L}=1.66 \ 25 \ (1972\text{Gu06}), \ 1.7 \ 8 \ (1956\text{He50}). \ \text{Also from } \gamma\gamma(\theta) \ \text{of} \ 1955\text{K}r06 \ 1956\text{Hu}_{30} \ 1967\text{L}_{112}$	
1274	0.012 3	1273.99	4+	0	0+	[E4]	0.01771	$\alpha(K)=0.01288 \ 18; \ \alpha(L)=0.00365 \ 6; \ \alpha(M)=0.000905 \ 13; \ \alpha(N+)=0.000279 \ 4 \ \alpha(N)=0.000230 \ 4; \ \alpha(O)=4.45\times10^{-5} \ 7; \ \alpha(P)=4.08\times10^{-6} \ 6 \ E_{\gamma}: From 1972Si22.$ I _{γ} : 0.012 2 (relative intensity), branching ratio from 1972Si22; however, 1988ZhZT report I γ =0.046 3.	

[†] Additional information 1.
[‡] From 1970CrZY, except as noted.

[#] From relative intensities deduced from Ice(K) of 1956He50 and α (K)exp from BrIcc, unless otherwise stated. The absolute intensities per 100 decays were obtained using the GABS program, unless othewise stated.

[@] From ce data of 1971Ha39, except as noted.

[&] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{*a*} Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

²⁰⁴Pb IT decay (66.93 min) 1956He50,1971Ha39,1972Si22



 $^{204}_{\ 82} \mathrm{Pb}_{122}$