

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\alpha, 4n\gamma)$ 1978Li01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. J. Chiara and F. G. Kondev		NDS 111,141 (2010)	1-Oct-2009

1978Li01: ^{204}Hg target enriched to 99.7% purity; $E(\alpha)=42$ to 51 MeV; three coax Ge(Li) detectors, FWHM=1.2 to 1.6 keV at 122 keV and 2.2 to 3.0 keV at 1332 keV, for γ excit functions, prompt and delayed γ spectra, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin; one planar Ge(Li), FWHM=0.7 keV at 122 keV and 1.6 keV at 662 keV, as monitor in $\gamma(\theta)$ measurements; delayed γ 's measured during time window 34 to 84 ns after beam pulse, period of beam pulses 115 ns; ce spectrometer. Comparison with shell-model calculations.

 ^{204}Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0	0 ⁺ [@]		
899.30 20	2 ⁺ [@]		
1274.0 3	4 ⁺ [@]		
2185.7 4	9 ⁻ [@]	66.93 [@] min 10	Proposed configuration= $\nu[(p_{1/2})^{-2}(f_{5/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-1}]$. J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ of 246.2 γ , 759.8 γ , and 1005.7 γ determine J , whereas parity assignment by 1978Li01 was taken from comparison with shell model; however, it is unlikely that the 759.8 γ has mult M2+E1 with so large an M2 component. Thus, the parity is also experimentally established.
2945.4 4	10 ⁻		
3191.5 4	11 ⁻		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(p_{1/2})^{-1}(f_{5/2})^{-2}(i_{13/2})^{-1}]$.
3516.2 5	12 ⁺		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(p_{1/2})^{-1}(f_{5/2})^{-2}(i_{13/2})^{-1}]$.
4134.6 5	14 ⁺		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(p_{1/2})^{-2}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.
4301.8 5	15 ⁺		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(p_{1/2})^{-1}(f_{5/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.
4887.6 5	16 ⁺		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(f_{5/2})^{-2}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.
5348.5 5	16 ⁺		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(f_{5/2})^{-1}(p_{3/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.
5664.3 6	17 ⁻		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(p_{1/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-3}]$.
6072.9 6	17		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(f_{5/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-3}]$.
6098.0 6	19 ⁻		Proposed configuration= $\nu[(f_{5/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-3}]$.
7402.1 6	(20)		Proposed configuration= $\pi[(h_{9/2})(h_{11/2})^{-1}] \nu[(p_{1/2})^{-2}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.
7849.2 7	(21)		Proposed configuration= $\pi[(h_{9/2})(h_{11/2})^{-1}] \nu[(p_{1/2})^{-2}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.
8125.9 7	(22)		Proposed configuration= $\pi[(h_{9/2})(h_{11/2})^{-1}] \nu[(p_{1/2})^{-1}(f_{5/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-2}]$.

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From **1978Li01** based on mult and on yrast arguments, except as noted.

[#] No delayed (>20 ns) γ 's were found except for those deexciting the 9-, 66.93-min isomer.

[@] From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{204}\text{Pb})$

$\alpha(K)\exp$ from ce(K)/I γ , normalized to $\alpha(K)(618.4\gamma)=0.014$ (pure E2), at $E(\alpha)=51$ MeV in **1978Li01**.

E $_\gamma$ [‡]	I $_\gamma$ [#]	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [@]	$\delta^&$	α^\dagger	Comments
167.2 2	10.5 6	4301.8	15 ⁺	4134.6	14 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.00 4	2.17 4	$\alpha(K)=1.77$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.305$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0715$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0222$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0182$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00362$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000387$ 6 $A_2=-0.21$ 3, $A_4=0.00$ 3. 1978Li01 considered the intensities of transitions into and out of the 4301.8-keV

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ **1978Li01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{204}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\delta^{\&}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
246.2 2	3.0 2	3191.5	11 ⁻	2945.4	10 ⁻	M1+E2	0.09 5	0.730 12	level and placed the limits 2.0 2< $\alpha(\text{exp})$ <5.0 6 for 167.2 γ , consistent with an M1 assignment. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.596$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1027$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0241$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00746$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00612$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001219$ 18; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0001299$ 21 $A_2=-0.32$ 2, $A_4=+0.04$ 2. $A_2=-0.2$ 3, $A_4=+0.2$ 4.
276.7 3	2.0 2	8125.9	(22)	7849.2	(21)	D			$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0215$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00361$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00084$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000258$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000213$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.13\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.86\times 10^{-6}$ 14 δ : found by 1978Li01 to be consistent with zero, $\delta=0.00$ 2.
315.9 2	17.1 9	5664.3	17 ⁻	5348.5	16 ⁺	E1	0.0262 7		$A_2=-0.232$ 8, $A_4=-0.01$ 1, $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.029$ 4. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0210$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0036$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00084$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00026$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00021$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.1\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.9\times 10^{-6}$ 8 δ : from 1978Li01.
324.7 2	85 4	3516.2	12 ⁺	3191.5	11 ⁻	E1(+M2)	0.03 3	0.026 4	$A_2=-0.143$ 5, $A_4=+0.031$ 7, $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.017$ 8, K/L=8 5; ce intensities are corrected for contamination by the 323.2-keV transition in ^{205}Pb .
374.7 ^a 2		1274.0	4 ⁺	899.30	2 ⁺				$\alpha(\text{K})=0.030$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.011$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0027$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0008$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00070$ 22; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00013$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.0\times 10^{-5}$ 4 δ : found by 1978Li01 to be consistent with zero, $\delta=0.05$ 7.
433.7 2	17.3 9	6098.0	19 ⁻	5664.3	17 ⁻	E2	0.045 15		$A_2=+0.28$ 1, $A_4=-0.16$ 2, $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.031$ 4. $A_2=-0.12$ 4, $A_4=+0.06$ 6. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.052$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0090$ 8;
447.1 2	6.5 4	7849.2	(21)	7402.1	(20)	D+Q	-0.05 8		$\alpha(\text{M})=0.00211$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00065$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00054$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000107$ 9; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.13\times 10^{-5}$ 11 $A_2=-0.86$ 2, $A_4=+0.15$ 3, $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.038$ 6.
585.7 2	9.3 5	4887.6	16 ⁺	4301.8	15 ⁺	D+Q	0.4 2	0.064 7	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0134$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00348$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00085$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000261$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000216$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.13\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.50\times 10^{-6}$ 14 δ : found by 1978Li01 to be consistent with zero, $\delta=-0.01$ 3.
618.4 2	76 4	4134.6	14 ⁺	3516.2	12 ⁺	E2	0.0180 6		$A_2=+0.325$ 7, $A_4=-0.048$ 9, K/L=3.5 6; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ normalized to 1968Ha53 theoretical value 0.014 for pure E2.
752.9 2	11.6 6	4887.6	16 ⁺	4134.6	14 ⁺	E2	0.0118 4		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00910$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00205$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000496$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000152$ 5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{204}\text{Hg}(\alpha, 4n\gamma)$ 1978Li01 (continued) $\gamma(^{204}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	$\delta^&$	α^\dagger	Comments
759.8 2	11.0 6	2945.4	10 ⁻	2185.7	9 ⁻	M1+E2	7 +12-3	0.0121 10	$\alpha(N)=0.000126 4;$ $\alpha(O)=2.43 \times 10^{-5} 8;$ $\alpha(P)=2.19 \times 10^{-6} 7$ $\delta:$ found by 1978Li01 to be consistent with zero, $\delta=-0.01$ +4-3. $A_2=+0.34 1, A_4=-0.07 2.$ $\alpha(K)=0.0093 9; \alpha(L)=0.00206 12;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00050 3;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.000153 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.000126 7;$ $\alpha(O)=2.44 \times 10^{-5} 14;$ $\alpha(P)=2.22 \times 10^{-6} 17$
776.7 2	7.1 4	5664.3	17 ⁻	4887.6	16 ⁺	(E1)		0.0041 11	$A_2=-0.25 2, A_4=+0.27 3.$ $\alpha=0.0041 11; \alpha(K)=0.0034 9;$ $\alpha(L)=0.00053 17; \alpha(M)=0.00012$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=3.8 \times 10^{-5} 12$ $\alpha(N)=3.1 \times 10^{-5} 10;$ $\alpha(O)=6.2 \times 10^{-6} 20;$ $\alpha(P)=6.3 \times 10^{-7} 21$ $\delta:$ found by 1978Li01 to be consistent with zero, $\delta=-0.04$ 8. $A_2=-0.16 5, A_4=+0.01 7.$
899.3 ^a 2		899.30	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺				
911.7 ^a 2		2185.7	9 ⁻	1274.0	4 ⁺				
1005.7 2	100 5	3191.5	11 ⁻	2185.7	9 ⁻	E2		0.0067 8	$\alpha=0.0067 8; \alpha(K)=0.0053 6;$ $\alpha(L)=0.00104 14; \alpha(M)=0.00025$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=7.6 \times 10^{-5} 10$ $\alpha(N)=6.3 \times 10^{-5} 9;$ $\alpha(O)=1.23 \times 10^{-5} 17;$ $\alpha(P)=1.19 \times 10^{-6} 17$ $\delta:$ found by 1978Li01 to be consistent with zero, $\delta=-0.04$ 7. $A_2=+0.23 1, A_4=+0.005 20,$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0056 7, K/L=4.8 15.$
1046.7 2	22 1	5348.5	16 ⁺	4301.8	15 ⁺	M1(+E2)	-0.07 4	0.01577 24	$\alpha(K)=0.01298 19; \alpha(L)=0.00214$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000499 8;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001546 23$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001266 19;$ $\alpha(O)=2.53 \times 10^{-5} 4;$ $\alpha(P)=2.72 \times 10^{-6} 4$ $A_2=-0.10 3, A_4=0.00 4,$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.013 2, K/L=5.4 24.$
1185.3 2	5.9 4	6072.9	17	4887.6	16 ⁺	D(+Q)	0.00 3		$A_2=-0.22 2, A_4=+0.02 2.$
1214.0 3	1.7 3	5348.5	16 ⁺	4134.6	14 ⁺	E2(+M3)	-0.2 1	0.0062 19	$\alpha=0.0062 19; \alpha(K)=0.0050 15;$ $\alpha(L)=0.0009 3; \alpha(M)=0.00022$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=7.4 \times 10^{-5} 23$ $\alpha(N)=5.7 \times 10^{-5} 19;$ $\alpha(O)=1.1 \times 10^{-5} 4;$ $\alpha(P)=1.1 \times 10^{-6} 4;$ $\alpha(IPF)=4.91 \times 10^{-6} 22$ $A_2=+0.50 6, A_4=-0.06 9.$
1304.1 2	9.4 6	7402.1	(20)	6098.0	19 ⁻	D+Q	0.05 2		$A_2=-0.33 1, A_4=-0.02 1.$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{204}\text{Hg}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ 1978Li01 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{204}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] From 1978Li01; $\Delta E\gamma$ was not given and is estimated by evaluators.

[#] At $\theta=125^\circ$ and $E(\alpha)=48$ MeV in 1978Li01.

[@] From $\gamma(\theta)$, $\alpha(K)\exp$, and K/L in 1978Li01.

[&] From $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1978Li01.

^a Observed in γ singles and in ce spectrum, but not in spectra gated on γ 's above isomeric 9^- level.

