

$^{194}\text{Pt}(^{12}\text{C},5\text{n}\gamma)$ **1985We05**

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	Citation
		NDS 187,355 (2023)

1985We05: Produced in $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{12}\text{C},5\text{n})$ ($E(^{12}\text{C})=102$ and 106 MeV) and $^{195}\text{Pt}(^{12}\text{C},6\text{n})$ ($E(^{12}\text{C})=100$ MeV) reactions; Detectors: two n-type HPGE and two liquid scin neutron detectors; Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, γ singles, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, n- γ and n- $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(\theta)$; Deduced: level scheme, J^π .

Others: [2004Ro09](#), but no ^{201}Po levels and transitions were reported.

 ^{201}Po Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [#]	$T_{1/2}^{\ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	J^π [#]
0 ^{±&}	3/2 ⁻ [‡]	15.50 min 22	2463.9 21	
5.61 ^{‡@} 13	5/2 ⁻ [‡]		2570.2 21	27/2 ⁺
423.41 ^{‡a} 22	13/2 ⁻ [‡]	8.96 min 12	2627.5 23	(29/2 ⁺)
1037.0 ^{be} 11	17/2 ⁺		2770.1 21	
1593.6 ^{ce} 15	21/2 ⁺		2979.0 23	27/2 ⁺ ,31/2 ⁺
1912.3 ^{de} 18	25/2 ⁺		3039.6 23	
2101.6 21			3196.5 23	(29/2 ⁺)
2133.8 21	25/2 ^{+,} (29/2 ⁺)		3210.3 23	31/2 ⁺
2239.6 23			3333.1 25	
2332.2 21	(27/2)		3710.1 25	(35/2 ⁺)
2347.6 18			4153? 3	
2354.7 21	27/2 ⁺			

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ and by assuming $\Delta E\gamma=0.5$ keV, unless otherwise stated.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] From [1985We05](#), unless otherwise stated.

[@] Configuration= $v f_{5/2}^{-1}$.

[&] Configuration= $v p_{3/2}^{-1}$.

^a Configuration= $v i_{13/2}^{-1}$.

^b Configuration= $v (i_{13/2}^{-1}) \otimes 2^+$.

^c Configuration= $v (i_{13/2}^{-1}) \otimes 4^+$.

^d Possibly a mixture between configuration= $v (i_{13/2}^{-1}) \otimes 6^+$ and configuration= $v (i_{13/2}^{-1}) \pi (h_{9/2}^{+2}) 8^+$.

^e The [1985We05](#) authors stated that the ordering of the 318.7γ , 556.6γ and 613.6γ , and hence, the placement of corresponding level energies, is based on systematics and their relative population.

 $\gamma(^{201}\text{Po})$

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\dagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α [@]	Comments
(5.61 [‡] 13)		5.61	5/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻			
138.0	≈ 2	2239.6		2101.6				Mult.: $A_2=0.1$ 4, $A_4=0.2$ 3.
189.3	6 2	2101.6		1912.3	25/2 ⁺	D,Q		$\alpha(K)=0.6$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.162$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.0402$ 10; $\alpha(N..)=0.0135$ 4.
221.5	14 1	2133.8	25/2 ^{+,} (29/2 ⁺)	1912.3	25/2 ⁺	D,Q		Mult.: $A_2=0.10$ 6, $A_4=-0.11$ 9.
272.8	7 2	2627.5	(29/2 ⁺)	2354.7	27/2 ⁺	D,Q		$\alpha(K)=0.558$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.098$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0230$ 7; $\alpha(N..)=0.00773$ 24.
318.7	94 1	1912.3	25/2 ⁺	1593.6	21/2 ⁺	E2	0.107	Mult.: $A_2=0.3$ 3, $A_4=0.4$ 3.
								$\alpha(K)=0.0590$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.0356$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0092$ 3; $\alpha(N..)=0.00309$ 10.
								Mult.: $A_2=0.22$ 1, $A_4=-0.05$ 1.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{194}\text{Pt}(^{12}\text{C},5\text{n}\gamma)$ **1985We05** (continued) $\gamma(^{201}\text{Po})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\alpha^{\text{@}}$	Comments
354.1	4 I	3333.1		2979.0	27/2 ⁺ ,31/2 ⁺	D		Mult.: $A_2=0.02$ 16, $A_4=0.1$ 2.
408.8	12 I	2979.0	27/2 ⁺ ,31/2 ⁺	2570.2	27/2 ⁺	Q		$\alpha(K)=0.11$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.023$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0057$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0019$ 7
417.8 [‡] 2		423.41	13/2 ⁺		5.61 5/2 ⁻			Mult.: $A_2=0.5$ 2, $A_4=0.1$ 3.
419.9	2	2332.2	(27/2)	1912.3	25/2 ⁺	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.2$ 3, $A_4=-0.1$ 4.
442.4 ^{&}	19 ^{&} I	2354.7	27/2 ⁺	1912.3	25/2 ⁺	D		$\alpha(K)=0.150$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0261$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.00615$ 19; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00206$ 7
442.4 ^{&}	&	4153?		3710.1	(35/2 ⁺)			Mult.: $A_2=-0.05$ 7, $A_4=0.27$ 9. Doublet.
499.8	≈9	3710.1	(35/2 ⁺)	3210.3	31/2 ⁺			$\alpha(K)=0.109$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0188$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00444$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00149$ 5
551.6	8 I	2463.9		1912.3	25/2 ⁺			Mult.: $A_2=0.07$ 3, $A_4=-0.16$ 4 consistent with M1(+E2), but the 1985We05 level scheme requires E2.
556.6	100	1593.6	21/2 ⁺	1037.0	17/2 ⁺	E2	0.0253	$A_2>0$. $\alpha(K)=0.0180$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00548$ 17
613.6	≈100	1037.0	17/2 ⁺	423.41	13/2 ⁺	(E2)	0.0203	Mult.: $A_2=0.24$ 4, $A_4=-0.01$ 5. $\alpha(K)=0.0148$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00413$ 13 Mult.: $A_2=0.5$ 2, $A_4=0.1$ 3, but values are distorted since the 613.6 γ is situated on the slope of both the neutron bump and the stronger 611.2 γ .
626.3	8 2	3196.5	(29/2 ⁺)	2570.2	27/2 ⁺	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.36$ 7, $A_4=-0.48$ 10.
640.1	12 I	3210.3	31/2 ⁺	2570.2	27/2 ⁺	Q		$\alpha(K)=0.0136$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00367$ 11
657.9	34 5	2570.2	27/2 ⁺	1912.3	25/2 ⁺	D		Mult.: $A_2=0.44$ 19, $A_4=-0.2$ 3. $\alpha(K)=0.0530$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0091$ 3
754.0	≈2	2347.6		1593.6	21/2 ⁺			Mult.: $A_2=-0.30$ 18, $A_4=-0.2$ 2.
857.8	10 2	2770.1		1912.3	25/2 ⁺			$A_2=0.1$ 5, $A_4=0.3$ 7.
905.8	11 I	3039.6		2133.8	25/2 ⁺ ,(29/2 ⁺)	D		Mult.: $A_2=-0.1$ 3, $A_4=-0.1$ 5.

[†] From $^{194}\text{Pt}(^{12}\text{C},5\text{n})$ reaction at 106 MeV in 1985We05, unless otherwise stated.[‡] From adopted gammas.[#] From $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1985We05.[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.[&] Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

$^{194}\text{Pt}(\text{C}^{12}, 5\text{n}\gamma)$ 1985We05

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ
 & Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

Legend

 $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
 $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
 $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

