

$^{201}\text{Tl } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$ [1990Co07,1990Ka08,1979De42](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 187,355 (2023)	20-Sep-2022

Parent: ^{201}Tl : E=0.0; $J^\pi=1/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=3.0420$ d *16*; $Q(\varepsilon)=482$ *14*; % ε decay=100

[1990Co07](#): inter-comparison data performed at NIST, NPL and PTB metrology labs using samples produced by the same solution of ^{201}Tl and the 4π - γ coincidence systems. In each case, corrections were applied for the presence of ^{200}Tl and ^{202}Tl contaminants.

Others: [2007Me12](#), [2004De02](#), [1989Pl04](#), [1991Dr09](#), [1987Dr06](#), [1987Fu08](#), [1983Fu22](#), [1983SC38](#), [1978No06](#), [1977Na31](#), [1975Ho08](#), [1976HiZN](#), [1960Gu05](#), [1960He05](#).

 ^{201}Hg Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$		
1.5648 <i>10</i>	$1/2^-$	81 ns <i>5</i>	$T_{1/2}$: From γ -ce(Δt) in 2007Me12 .
26.2738 <i>3</i>	$5/2^-$	629 ps <i>18</i>	$T_{1/2}$: Other: 630 ps <i>50</i> from ce- γ (Δt) in 1983Sc38 .
32.169 <i>20</i>	$3/2^-$	55 ps <i>24</i>	$T_{1/2}$: From 1961Re12 . Other: ≤ 2 ns in 1961Be29 .
167.48 <i>3</i>	$1/2^-$	<44 ps	$T_{1/2}$: From 1961Be29 .

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ ^{††}	Log ft	Comments
(315 <i>14</i>)	167.48	39.8 <i>4</i>	6.09 <i>5</i>	$\varepsilon K=0.723$ <i>6</i> ; $\varepsilon L=0.206$ <i>5</i> ; $\varepsilon M+=0.0707$ <i>18</i>
(450 <i>14</i>)	32.169	11.9 <i>4</i>	6.99 <i>4</i>	$\varepsilon K=0.7582$ <i>24</i> ; $\varepsilon L=0.1810$ <i>17</i> ; $\varepsilon M+=0.0608$ <i>7</i>
(456 <i>14</i>)	26.2738	≤ 0.5	$\geq 8.2^{1u}$	$\varepsilon K=0.665$ <i>8</i> ; $\varepsilon L=0.247$ <i>5</i> ; $\varepsilon M+=0.0887$ <i>22</i> $I\varepsilon$: From systematics (by the evaluator).
(480 <i>14</i>)	1.5648	≈ 37.8	≈ 6.6	$\varepsilon K=0.7628$ <i>20</i> ; $\varepsilon L=0.1777$ <i>15</i> ; $\varepsilon M+=0.0595$ <i>6</i> $I\varepsilon$: From the log ft value for a similar transition in ^{199}Tl ε decay (by the evaluator). $I\varepsilon(3/2^-,gs)+I\varepsilon(1/2^-,1.56 \text{ keV})=47.8\%$ <i>6</i> from the decay scheme. Other: 47% <i>23</i> in 2002Kh12 .
(482 <i>14</i>)	0.0	≈ 10.0	≈ 7.1	$\varepsilon K=0.7630$ <i>20</i> ; $\varepsilon L=0.1775$ <i>15</i> ; $\varepsilon M+=0.0594$ <i>6</i> $I\varepsilon$: From the log ft value for a similar transition in ^{199}Tl ε decay (by the evaluator). $I\varepsilon(3/2^-,gs)+I\varepsilon(1/2^-,1.56 \text{ keV})=47.8\%$ <i>6</i> from the decay scheme. Other: <20.9% in 2002Kh12 .

[†] Estimated by the evaluator from intensity balances and the adopted decay scheme, unless otherwise stated.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

²⁰¹Tl ε decay 1990Co07, 1990Ka08, 1979De42 (continued) $\gamma(^{201}\text{Hg})$

I γ normalization: From I $\gamma(167\gamma)$ =10.00% 10 (1990Co07), weighted average of 9.88% 8 (NIST), 10.05% 17 (NPL) and 10.18% 10 (PTB). Others: I $\gamma(167\gamma)$: 9.81% 12 (1990Ka08), 10.60% 15 (1989Pi04), 10.25% 10 (1983Fu22), 10.60% 12 (1979De42), 10.00% 17 (1976HiZN), 10.00% (1975Ho08) and 8.4% 4 (1960He05). The total energy realized in ²⁰¹Tl ε decay is calculated using RADLST as 471 keV 14. It is in a good agreement with Q(g.s.)=482 keV 14.

x-ray		E γ keV	I γ per 100 ε decays
K α_1	x ray	70.8	44.6 5
K α_2	x ray	68.9	26.3 3
K α	x ray		71.1 5
K β_1'	x ray	80.2	15.3 4
K β_2'	x ray	80.5	4.59 15
K β	x ray		20.0 3

I γ - Weighted average of values given in 1976HiZN, 1979De42, 1983Fu22 and 1990Ka08.

E γ [†]	I γ [#]	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	δ	α^{\ddagger}	Comments
1.5648 10	≈ 0.011	1.5648	1/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.0105 14	4.7×10^4 7	%I $\gamma \approx 0.001100$ E γ : From 1997Ge09. Other: 1.565 keV 6 (1987Dr06). I γ : Estimated by the evaluator from intensity balance and the adopted decay scheme. Mult.: From N1/N2=0.94 31, N1/N3=0.60 20, N2/N3=0.64 5, N4/N3=0.042 18, N5/N3=0.043 23, N4/N5=0.98 32, O1/O2=0.81 16, O2/N3=0.158 30, O3/N3=0.20 4, O1/O3=0.64 12, O1/N3=0.128 30 and O2/O3=0.79 19 subshell ratios in 1997Ge09 and N1/N2=1.2 2, N1/N3=1.1 2, N2/N3=0.92 15, N4/N3=0.03 2 and N5/N3=0.04 2 subshell ratios in 1987Dr06. δ : From 1987Dr06; Other: 0.0145 +19-14 in 1997Ge09. α : 4.7E+4 7 from 1987Dr06. %I $\gamma \approx 7.00 \times 10^{-5}$ $\alpha(M)=1106$ 17 $\alpha(N)=279$ 4; $\alpha(O)=52.6$ 8; $\alpha(P)=4.02$ 6 E γ : Not observed directly, but required from the ce- γ coincidence data in 1983Sc38. E γ from level energy differences. I γ : Estimated by the evaluator from intensity balance and the adopted decay scheme.
(5.895 20)	≈ 0.0007	32.169	3/2 ⁻	26.2738	5/2 ⁻	[M1]	1441 23		

²⁰¹Tl ε decay 1990Co07, 1990Ka08, 1979De42 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{201}\text{Hg})$ (continued)</u>									
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^\ddagger	Comments
26.2738 3	0.082 10	26.2738	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.012 8	72.9 13	%I γ =0.0082 10 $\alpha(L)=55.9$ 10; $\alpha(M)=13.05$ 24 $\alpha(N)=3.27$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.618$ 11; $\alpha(P)=0.0470$ 7 E_γ : From adopted gammas. Others: 26.34 keV 7 in 1983Sc38. I_γ : 0.082 10 from I(ce(M1)/(26.27 γ)/I(ce(L1))/(32.19 γ)=0.0131 15 in 1983Sc38, $\alpha(M1)(26.27\gamma)=11.52$ 17 and $\alpha(L1)(32.19\gamma)=27.3$ 4 and $I_\gamma(32.19\gamma)=2.63$ 5 from the present evaluation. Mult., δ : From M1:M2:M3=100:12.0 20:1.5 7 in 1983Sc38 and using the briccmixing program.
30.60 3	2.58 5	32.169	3/2 ⁻	1.5648	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.013 5	46.4 7	%I γ =0.258 6 $\alpha(L)=35.6$ 5; $\alpha(M)=8.30$ 13 $\alpha(N)=2.082$ 32; $\alpha(O)=0.393$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.0299$ 4 I_γ : Weighted average 2.2 2 (1975Ho08), 3.10 13 (1976HiZN), 2.57 6 (1979De42), 2.60 8 (1983Fu22), 2.60 8 (1990Ka08) and 2.53 5 (1990Co07). Mult.: From L3:L1=0.0136 21, L2:L1=0.105 11 and L3:L2=0.130 24 in 1983Sc38; L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:N:O1=50.9 40:5.0 6:0.56 8:14.2 15:1.5 5:4.0 5:0.70 15 in 1960He05; $A_2(135.5\gamma-30.6\gamma(\theta))=0.159$ 26 in 1975Ho08. δ : From L3/L1, L2/L1 and L3/L2 in 1983Sc38 and the briccmixing program. Others: ≤ 0.03 from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1975Ho08; $-0.0634 \leq \delta \leq +0.0515$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1978No06; 0.006 16 from from L1:L2:L3 in 1960He05 and the briccmixing program.
32.19 3	2.63 5	32.169	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.0204 25	40.2 6	%I γ =0.263 6 $\alpha(L)=30.8$ 4; $\alpha(M)=7.20$ 11 $\alpha(N)=1.804$ 26; $\alpha(O)=0.341$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.0257$ 4 I_γ : Weighted average 2.2 2 (1975Ho08), 2.85 12 (1976HiZN), 2.60 9 (1979De42), 2.60 7 (1983Fu22), 2.72 6 (1990Ka08) and 2.58 5 (1990Co07). Mult.: From L1:L2:L3=100:11.3 5:1.75 15 in 1983Sc38; L3:L1=0.0130 20, L2:L1=0.094 8, L3:L2=0.138 24, L:M=3.9 4, M1:M2=8.4 3 M:N=4.6 6 in 1960He05; $A_2(135.5\gamma-32.2\gamma(\theta))=-0.193$ 28 in 1975Ho08. δ : From L3/L1, L2/L1 and L3/L2 in 1983Sc38 and the briccmixing program. Others: ≤ 0.03 from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1975Ho08; $-0.0361 \leq \delta \leq +0.0506$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1978No06; 0.013 11 from from L1:L2:L3 in 1960He05 and the briccmixing program.
135.34 4	26.05 18	167.48	1/2 ⁻	32.169	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.07 4	3.32 5	%I γ =2.605 32 $\alpha(K)=2.71$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.463$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.1080$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.0271$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00512$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000388$ 6 I_γ : Weighted average 26.5 13 (1975Ho08), 26.5 10 (1976HiZN), 26.4 3 (1979De42), 26.5 4 (1983Fu22), 27.2 5 (1990Ka08) and

²⁰¹Tl ε decay 1990Co07,1990Ka08,1979De42 (continued) $\gamma(^{201}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^\ddagger	Comments
141.1 2	≈ 0.026	167.48	$1/2^-$	26.2738	$5/2^-$	[E2]	1.389 21	% $I_\gamma \approx 0.0026$ $\alpha(K)=0.374$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.760$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.1980$ 30 $\alpha(N)=0.0491$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.00821$ 13; $\alpha(P)=4.92 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 E_γ : From 1983Sc38. I_γ : From the decay scheme and $I_\gamma(141.1\gamma)/I_\gamma(135.34\gamma)=0.11$ 2, using the 26γ as a gate in 1983Sc38, and by assuming $I\beta(5/2^-, 26.27 \text{ keV})=0.5\%$.	25.65 18 (1990Co07). Other 27.3 2 (2004De02). Mult.: From K:L1:L2:L3:M1:N1=56.0 4:7.9 7:0.77 15:0.07 3: 2.2 3:0.60 9; $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1975Ho08. δ : From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1975Ho08; other: 0.000 4 from K:L1:L2:L3:M1:N1 in 1960He05 and the briccmixing program.
165.88 7	1.47 2	167.48	$1/2^-$	1.5648	$1/2^-$	M1	1.869 26	% $I_\gamma=0.1470$ 25 $\alpha(K)=1.532$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.258$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0602$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.01509$ 21; $\alpha(O)=0.00286$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.0002184$ 31 I_γ : Weighted average of 1.6 1 (1975Ho08), 1.80 20 (1976HiZN), 1.5 2 (1979De42), 1.46 20 (1983Fu22), 1.45 2 (1990Ka08) and 1.55 5 (1990Co07).	
167.43 7	100.0	167.48	$1/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	0.07 6	1.815 29	Mult.: From K:L1=1.65 20:0.25 5 in 1960He05. % $I_\gamma=10.00$ 10 $\alpha(K)=1.486$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.252$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0588$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.01474$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.00279$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000212$ 4 I_γ : From 1990Co07; others: 100 (1975Ho08), 100.0 17 (1976HiZN), 100.0 11 (1979De42), 100.0 10 (1983Fu22) and 100.0 12 (1990Ka08). Mult.: From K:L1:L2:L3:M1:N1:O1=100:14.6 12:1.6 2:0.18 4:4.0 4: 1.10 15:0.27 6 in 1960He05. δ : From K:L1:L2:L3 in 1960He05 and the briccmixing program.

[†] From 1960He05, unless otherwise stated.[‡] Additional information 1.

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.1000 10.

$^{201}\text{Tl } \epsilon \text{ decay }$ **1990Co07,1990Ka08,1979De42**