

$^{192}\text{Pt}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$ **1983Dy02**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 187,355 (2023)	20-Sep-2022

$^{192}\text{Pt}(^{14}\text{N},5\text{n}\gamma)$, E(^{14}N)=85-100 MeV; Target: 3 mg/cm² thick, enriched to 57 % in ^{192}Pt ; Detectors: Ge(Li) with a typical energy resolution (FWHM) of 2 keV at 1.33 MeV; Measured: excitation functions, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin (two Ge(Li) detectors); Deduced: level scheme, J^π , $T_{1/2}$.

 ^{201}At Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0 [#]	9/2 ⁻	87.6 s 13	$J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels.
634.90 [@] 20	(13/2 ⁻)		
749.0 ^{&} 3	(13/2 ⁺)	15.9 ns 14	$T_{1/2}$: From 749.0 $\gamma(t)$ in 1983Dy02 .
1228.9 ^a 4	(17/2 ⁻)		
1494.6 ^b 5	(17/2 ⁺)		
1705.5 ^c 5	(21/2 ⁻)		
1790.1 6			
1921.3 6			
2077.4 ^d 7	(23/2 ⁻)		J^π : Systematics in neighboring nuclei suggests negative parity.

[†] From a least-squares fit to E γ .[‡] From deduced transition multipolarities, unless otherwise stated.# Configuration= $\pi h_{9/2}^{+1}$.@ Configuration= $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes 2^+$.& Configuration= $\pi i_{13/2}^{+1}$.a Configuration= $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes 4^+$.b Configuration= $\pi (i_{13/2}^{+1}) \otimes 2^+$.c Configuration= $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+3})_{21/2-}$.d Configuration= $\pi (h_{9/2}^{+2})_{8-}, f_{7/2}^{+1}$. $\gamma(^{201}\text{At})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	α [#]	Comments
(114.1)	≈ 15	749.0	(13/2 ⁺)	634.90	(13/2 ⁻)	[E1]	0.332 5	$\alpha(K)=0.262$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0538$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.01283$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.00327$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000668$ 9; $\alpha(P)=8.08 \times 10^{-5}$ 11
295.5 3	15 [‡] 15	1790.1		1494.6	(17/2 ⁺)			E_γ : Not observed directly, but required by the out-of-beam coincidence relationship.
371.9 4	25 8	2077.4	(23/2 ⁻)	1705.5	(21/2 ⁻)	D		E_γ is from level energy differences.
426.7 3	26 [‡] 8	1921.3		1494.6	(17/2 ⁺)			I_γ : Estimated from the reported 20% out-of-beam intensity for the 634.9 γ .
476.6 3	38 5	1705.5	(21/2 ⁻)	1228.9	(17/2 ⁻)	(E2)	0.0377 5	Mult.: $A_2=-0.1$ 1.
								$\alpha(K)=0.02523$ 35; $\alpha(L)=0.00935$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.002372$ 34 $\alpha(N)=0.000613$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.0001253$ 18; $\alpha(P)=1.488 \times 10^{-5}$ 21
								Mult.: $A_2=0.22$ 7.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{192}\text{Pt}(^{14}\text{N},\text{5n}\gamma)$ **1983Dy02 (continued)** $\gamma(^{201}\text{At})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$a^\#$	Comments
594.0 3	59 2	1228.9	(17/2 ⁻)	634.90	(13/2 ⁻)	(E2)	0.02260 32	$\alpha(K)=0.01622$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.00480$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.001197$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.000310$ 4; $\alpha(O)=6.39\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=7.85\times 10^{-6}$ 11 Mult.: $A_2=0.16$ 5.
634.9 2	85 10	634.90	(13/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁻	(E2)	0.01952 27	$\alpha(K)=0.01425$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00397$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000986$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.000255$ 4; $\alpha(O)=5.28\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=6.55\times 10^{-6}$ 9 I_γ : Estimated from the pulsed beam data.
745.6 3	57 4	1494.6	(17/2 ⁺)	749.0	(13/2 ⁺)	(E2)	0.01389 19	Mult.: $A_2=0.11$ 3. $\alpha(K)=0.01047$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.00258$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000633$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.0001636$ 23; $\alpha(O)=3.41\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=4.32\times 10^{-6}$ 6
749.0 3	100 2	749.0	(13/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁻	(M2)	0.1206 17	Mult.: $A_2=0.24$ 9. $\alpha(K)=0.0950$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.01937$ 27; $\alpha(M)=0.00468$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.001217$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.000260$ 4; $\alpha(P)=3.56\times 10^{-5}$ 5 Mult.: $A_2=0.05$ 3. E3 admixtures are possible.

[†] From 1983Dy02.[‡] Estimated by the authors from the $\gamma\gamma$ coin data.[#] Additional information 1.

