

$^{198}\text{Hg}(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$ **1973Dj01, 1978Mc03**

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	Citation
		NDS 192,1 (2023)

1973Dj01: Beam: $E(\alpha)=40$ MeV from the Princeton Cyclotron Laboratory; Target: enriched to 95% in ^{198}Hg ; Detectors: Ge(Li) and NaI(Tl); Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, I_γ , E_γ ; Deduced: $T_{1/2}$, level scheme.

1978Mc03: Beam: $E(\alpha)=30$ MeV from the Crocker Nuclear Laboratory; Target ^{198}HgO enriched to 93% in ^{198}Hg ; Detectors: solenoidal magnet, one Si(Li) detector; Measured: ce, γ , I_γ , E_γ , $T_{1/2}$.

Others: [1974Lu03](#), [1979Ma37](#), [1985St16](#).

 ^{200}Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0	0 ⁺		
1026.3 5	2 ⁺		
1488.3 7	4 ⁺		
1907.9 8	5 ⁻		
2152.9 9	7 ⁻	43 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: From $\gamma(t)$ in 1974Lu03 . Others: 40 ns 7 (1978Mc03) and 42 ns 4 (1985St16). $g=-0.030$ 15 (1985St16) and $Q=0.32$ eb 2 (1979MaYQ) using the time-differential perturbed angular distribution technique.
2182.4 14	9 ⁻	480 ns 20	$T_{1/2}$: From $\gamma(t)$ in 1974Lu03 ; Others: $\approx 0.5 \mu\text{s}$ (1973Dj01), 480 ns 60 (1978Mc03) and 445 ns 15 (1985St16). configuration: Pure $v(f_{5/2}^{-1}, i_{13/2}^{-1})$, from comparison between $g(\exp)=-0.0285$ 11 and $g(\text{th})=-0.025$ 8 in 1974Lu03 . $g=-0.0285$ 11 (1974Lu03) and -0.028 4 (1985St16); $Q=0.40$ eb 2 (1979MaYQ); the time differential perturbed angular distribution technique.
2958.6 16	10 ⁺		
3004.0 16	12 ⁺	194 ns 6	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(t)$ in 1979Ma37 . Other: 180 ns 30 (1978Mc03). $Q=0.79$ eb 3 from 1979Ma37 normalized to $Q=0.51$ eb 2 in ^{206}Pb using the time-differential perturbed angular distribution technique.

[†] From a least squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From [1978Mc03](#), based on the deduced γ -ray transition multipolarities.

 $\gamma(^{200}\text{Pb})$

E _{γ} [†]	I _{γ} [‡]	E _i (level)	J _{i} ^π	E _f	J _{f} ^π	Mult. [‡]	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
29.5 10		2182.4	9 ⁻	2152.9	7 ⁻			E_γ : From adopted gammas.
45.4 4		3004.0	12 ⁺	2958.6	10 ⁺			E_γ : From adopted gammas; ≤ 50 keV in 1978Mc03 .
^x 184.5 3	13.6							
245.0 4	72.5	2152.9	7 ⁻	1907.9	5 ⁻	E2	0.2166 32	$\alpha(K)=0.1047$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0837$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.02170$ 34 $\alpha(N)=0.00548$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000999$ 15; $\alpha(P)=5.52\times 10^{-5}$ 8 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.107$ 14, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.084$ 11 and $\alpha(M)\exp=0.021$ 4.
^x 300.7 5								
^x 324.9 10	7.7							
419.6 4		1907.9	5 ⁻	1488.3	4 ⁺	E1	0.01389 20	$\alpha(K)=0.01145$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.001871$ 27; $\alpha(M)=0.000435$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0001099$ 16; $\alpha(O)=2.150\times 10^{-5}$ 30; $\alpha(P)=2.072\times 10^{-6}$ 29 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0126$ 18 and $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0018$ 5.
462.0 4	81.5	1488.3	4 ⁺	1026.3	2 ⁺	E2	0.0356 5	$\alpha(K)=0.02458$ 35; $\alpha(L)=0.00833$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.002081$ 30

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{198}\text{Hg}(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$ **1973Dj01, 1978Mc03 (continued)** $\gamma(^{200}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
^x 490.1 6 776.2 8	37	2958.6	10 ⁺	2182.4 9 ⁻	E1	0.00399 6	$\alpha(N)=0.000527 8; \alpha(O)=9.91\times 10^{-5} 14;$ $\alpha(P)=7.48\times 10^{-6} 11$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.025 3, \alpha(L)\exp=0.0084 12$ and $\alpha(M)\exp=0.0022 3.$	
^x 978.6 7 1026.3 5	100	1026.3	2 ⁺	0 0 ⁺	E2	0.00633 9	$\alpha(K)=0.00332 5; \alpha(L)=0.000515 7; \alpha(M)=0.0001191$ 17 $\alpha(N)=3.01\times 10^{-5} 4; \alpha(O)=5.95\times 10^{-6} 8;$ $\alpha(P)=6.05\times 10^{-7} 9$ E _γ : Placement from 1978Mc03. Seen also in singles in 1973Dj01. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0038 14.$	

[†] From 40-MeV data in 1973Dj01, unless otherwise stated.[‡] From $\alpha(K)\exp, \alpha(L)\exp$ and $\alpha(M)\exp$, determined by the evaluator from the measured γ and ce intensities in 1978Mc03.

Additional information 1.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{198}\text{Hg}(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$ 1973Dj01, 1978Mc03