

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev	NDS 192,1 (2023)	1-Aug-2023

$Q(\beta^-)=-4987\ 25$; $S(n)=8236\ 25$; $S(p)=1.038\ 25$; $Q(\alpha)=6596.2\ 13$ [2021Wa16](#)

 ^{200}At Levels**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

- A** ^{204}Fr α decay (2.05 s)
- B** ^{204}Fr α decay (2.31 s)
- C** ^{204}Fr α decay (1.65 s)

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	(3 ⁺)	43.1 s 8	ABC	$\% \alpha = 52\ 4$; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+ = 48\ 4$ $\mu = 4.28\ 15$ $Q = -0.50\ 5$ α : Weighted average of 57% 6 (1992Hu04) and 49% 4 in 1998Bo14 . Other: $\% \alpha = 32\ 6$ in 1974Ho27 . $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ was not directly measured. μ, Q : from measured hyperfine-structure constants and isotope shifts using the in-source resonance-ionization spectroscopy method. Magnetic moment is deduced using a reference value of $\mu = 4.139\ 37$ for ^{211}At (2018Cu02). μ : from 4.279 96(stat)110(syst) in 2018Cu02 with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Same value given in 2019StZZ . Q : from $-0.50\ 8$ (stat)50(syst) in 2018Cu02 with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Same value given in 2021StZZ . $\delta < r^2 >(^{200}\text{At}, ^{205}\text{At}) = -0.293\ \text{fm}^2\ 7$ (stat)15(syst) in 2018Cu02 . J^π : Favored α decay to ^{196}Bi g.s. ($J^\pi = (3^+)$). $T_{1/2}$: Weighted average of 44 s 2 (1996Ta18), 43 s 1 (1992Hu04), 42 s 2 (1967Tr06) and 44 s 3 (1975BaYJ). Other: 54 s 12 (1963Ho18). $E\alpha = 6467\ \text{keV}\ 6$ (2005Uu02), 6464 keV 2 (1992Hu04), 6461 keV 5 (1996Ta18), 6461 keV (1987Va09), 6465 keV 2 (1975BaYJ) and 6463 keV 5 (1967Tr06). configuration: Probable $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes v(f_{5/2}^{-1})$. $\% \alpha = 43\ 7$; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+ = 57\ 7$ $\mu = 4.74\ 18$ $Q = -1.0\ 5$ α : From 1992Hu04 . Other: $\% \alpha = 21\ 4$ in 1974Ho27 . $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ was not directly measured. μ, Q : From measured hyperfine-structure constants and isotope shifts using the in-source resonance-ionization spectroscopy method. Magnetic moment is deduced using a reference value of $\mu = 4.139\ 37$ for ^{211}At (2018Cu02). μ : From 4.74 13(stat)12(syst) in 2018Cu02 with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. $\mu = 4.72\ 18$ in 2019StZZ . Q : From $-0.96\ 12$ (stat)50(syst) in 2018Cu02 with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Same value given in 2021StZZ . $\delta < r^2 >(^{200}\text{At}, ^{205}\text{At}) = -0.277\ \text{fm}^2\ 7$ (stat)14(syst) (2018Cu02). $E(\text{level})$: From 2021Ko07 , based on recommended $Q(\alpha)$ in the α -decay of the $J^\pi = (7^+)$ (6709.1 keV 26) and (3 ⁺) (6596.2 keV 13) states in ^{200}At to the ^{196}Bi ground state (2021Hu06). J^π : Favored α decay to ^{196}Bi isomeric state ($J^\pi = (7^+)$). $T_{1/2}$: From 1992Hu04 . Others: 47 s 3 (1996Ta18), 42 s 2 in 1967Tr06 . $E\alpha = 6413\ \text{keV}\ 6$ (2005Uu02), 6414 keV 1 (1996Ta18), 6411 keV 2 (1992Hu04), 6409 keV (1987Va09), 6412 keV 2 (1975BaYJ) and 6412 keV 5 (1967Tr06). configuration: Probable $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes v(f_{5/2}^{-1})$. $E(\text{level})$: From $E\gamma = 113\ \text{keV}\ 1$ to g.s. (1992Hu04).
113 1	(2,4) ⁺		A	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{200}At Levels (continued)

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
343.8 29	(10 ⁻)	6.3 s 5	C	<p>J^π: 113γ (M1) to (3⁺). The HF in ^{204}Fr α-decay (2.05 s, $J^\pi=(3^+)$) would exclude $J^\pi=3^+$.</p> <p>%α≈10.5 3; %IT≈89.5 3</p> <p>$\mu=2.69$ 11</p> <p>$Q=+0.5$ 4</p> <p>%α: From 1992Hu04. %IT was not directly measured. %ϵ + %β^+ branch is also possible.</p> <p>μ, Q: from measured hyperfine-structure constants and isotope shifts using the in-source resonance-ionization spectroscopy method. Magnetic moment is deduced using a reference value of $\mu=4.139$ 37 for ^{211}At (2018Cu02).</p> <p>μ: from 2.694 82(stat)65(syst) in 2018Cu02 with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. $\mu=2.68$ 11 in 2021StZZ.</p> <p>Q: from +0.54 25(stat)30(syst) in 2018Cu02 with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Same value given in 2021StZZ.</p> <p>$\delta < r^2 >(^{200}\text{At}, ^{205}\text{At}) = -0.258 \text{ fm}^2$ 9(stat)13(syst) (2018Cu02).</p> <p>E(level): From $E(J^\pi=(7^+))=112.9$ keV 29 and $E\gamma=230.9$ keV 2 (1992Hu04).</p> <p>$T_{1/2}$: From 1996Ta18. Others: 7.3 s +26–15 (2005Uu02), 3.5 s 2 (1992Hu04), 5 s 2 (1975BaYJ) and 4.3 s 3 (1967Tr06).</p> <p>J^π: Favored α decay to ^{196}Bi isomeric state ($J^\pi=(10^-)$); 230.9γ E3 to (7⁺).</p> <p>$E\alpha=6543$ keV 6 (2005Uu02), 6528 keV 1 (1996Ta18), 6538 keV 3 (1992Hu04) and 6536 keV 5 (1967Tr06).</p> <p>configuration: Probable $\pi(h_{9/2}^{+1}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-1})$.</p>
				$\gamma(^{200}\text{At})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	Comments
113	(2,4) ⁺	113 1	100	0	(3 ⁺)	(M1)	8.54 25	$\alpha(K)=6.90$ 20; $\alpha(L)=1.24$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.295$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.0764$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.0164$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.00226$ 7 Mult.: Reported in 1992Hu04 , but no spectroscopic information is presented by the authors.
343.8	(10 ⁻)	230.9 2	100	112.9 (7 ⁺)	E3	2.49 4	$\alpha(K)=0.312$ 4; $\alpha(L)=1.592$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.442$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.1152$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.02282$ 34; $\alpha(P)=0.002393$ 35 $B(E3)(W.u.) \approx 0.00059$ 5 Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\exp=0.29$ 8, $\alpha(L)\exp=1.1$ 2 and $\alpha(M)\exp=0.27$ 6 in 1992Hu04 .	

[†] Additional information 1.

Adopted Levels, Gammas**Level Scheme**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

