

$^{199}\text{Bi } \varepsilon \text{ decay (27 min+24.70 min) }$     **1978Ri04**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 108, 79 (2007)	15-Oct-2006

Parent:  $^{199}\text{Bi}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=9/2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=27$  min *I*;  $Q(\varepsilon)=4430$  29; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=100.0

Parent:  $^{199}\text{Bi}$ : E=680 SY;  $J^\pi=(1/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=24.70$  min *I5*;  $Q(\varepsilon)=4430$  29; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=99 *I*

$^{199}\text{Bi}(0)-T_{1/2}$ : 27 min *I* ([1964Si11](#)).

$^{199}\text{Bi}(680)-T_{1/2}$ : 24.70 min *I5* ([1966Ma51](#)).

$^{199}\text{Bi}(680)$ -% $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay: %IT<2, % $\alpha$ =0.01.

**1978Ri04**: produced by Pb(p,xnγ); mass, ion chem; measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , ce,  $\gamma\gamma$ .

Others:

[1964Si11](#), [1950Ne77](#), [1948Te01](#): measured  $T_{1/2}(^{199}\text{Bi g.s.})$ .

[1966Ma51](#), [1964Si11](#), [1948Te01](#), [1970DaZM](#): measured  $T_{1/2}(^{199}\text{Bi isomer})$  and  $\alpha$  decay.

The partial decay scheme is that proposed by [1978Ri04](#) with the following changes: 1) the  $3/2^-$  state is the ground state and not at 19.58 keV as proposed by [1978Ri04](#); and 2) the  $5/2^-$  state is at  $0+x$  keV ( $x \leq 9.3$  keV) and not the g.s. All level energies of [1978Ri04](#) are therefore raised by  $x$  keV. These changes are indicated by the results of [1983Th03](#) ( $J(\text{g.s.})=3/2^-$ ) and [1962Ju05](#), [1957An53](#) ( $E(5/2^- \text{ level}) \leq 9.3$ ). For tentative  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin results see table 6 of [1978Ri04](#).

The decay scheme of  $^{199}\text{Bi}$  to  $^{199}\text{Pb}$  is quite incomplete due to a large number of unplaced transitions and several others with uncertain placements.

 $^{199}\text{Pb}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0	$3/2^-$	90 min <i>I0</i>	% $c$ +% $\beta^+$ =100 $J^\pi: 5/2^-$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ) is incorrect.
$0+x$	$(5/2^-)$		E(level): $x \leq 9.3$ from $^{199}\text{Pb}$ it decay.
19.1+x? 4	$(^-)$		E(level): This level energy is inconsistent with results of <a href="#">1962Ju05</a> , who concluded that the energy difference between the $f_{5/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ levels is less than 9.3 keV. The placement of the two $\gamma$ 's feeding this first excited state may be inconsistent with some of the $\gamma\gamma$ -coin results of <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> . $J^\pi: 1978Ri04$ assign $(3/2)^-$ .
425.1+x 4	$(13/2^+)$	12.2 min 3	%IT<100; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$ >0 $J^\pi: 13/2^+$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
945.9+x 3	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-)$		$J^\pi: (7/2)^-$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1022.7+x 4	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-)$		$J^\pi: (7/2, 9/2)^-$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1052.8+x 4	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-)$		$J^\pi: (7/2)^-$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1262.6+x 5	$(11/2^+)$		$J^\pi: (9/2, 11/2)^+$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1266.7+x 5	$(11/2^+)$		$J^\pi: (9/2, 11/2)^+$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1305.6+x 5	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-)$		$J^\pi: (7/2, 9/2)^-$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1337.1+x 5	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-, 11/2^-)$		
1505.8+x 4	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-)$		$J^\pi: -$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
1743.1+x 4	$(9/2^-, 11/2^-)$		
1799.6+x 4	$(9/2^-)$		
2068.9+x 5	$(11/2^-)$		$J^\pi: (9/2, 11/2)^+$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
2083.1+x 5	$(11/2^-)$		$J^\pi: -$ ( <a href="#">1978Ri04</a> ).
2108.4+x 5	$(11/2^-)$		
2186.2+x 8	$(7/2^-, 9/2^-, 11/2^-)$		

<sup>†</sup> From ‘Adopted Levels’, based on  $\gamma$  multipolarities and on the assumption that all the placed  $\gamma$ 's are from the  $\varepsilon$  decay of  $9/2^-$   $^{199}\text{Bi}$  g.s. The assignments proposed by [1978Ri04](#) are given under comments.

<sup>‡</sup> From ‘Adopted Levels’.

<sup>199</sup>Bi  $\varepsilon$  decay (27 min+24.70 min)    1978Ri04 (continued) $\gamma(^{199}\text{Pb})$ 

I $_{\gamma}$  normalization: ≈0.11 based on the following considerations: 1. I(ce+ $\beta$ +)(to 425.3+x level)<16% (from log  $f^{\text{d}} t > 8.5$ ); 2.  $\sum I_{\gamma}(\text{unplaced})/\sum I_{\gamma}(\text{total}) = 0.44$ ; 3. the proposed partial level scheme. Setting the total  $\gamma$  intensity from E(level)>425.3+x to E(level)≤425.3+x as 70 20, one obtains normalization factor of 0.11 3. The intensity of 425 $\gamma$  has been omitted from this calculation since the measured relative intensity is uncertain due to long  $T_{1/2}$  1/2=12 min and lack of reliable %IT decay from the 12.2-min 425.3+x level.

I( $\gamma^{\pm}$ )=18.1 9 (relative to I(841 $\gamma$ )=100) corresponds to % $\beta^{\pm}$ ≈1.0.

E $_{\gamma}$ (x)	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $_{i}^{\pi}$ (5/2 $^{-}$ )	E $_f$	J $_{f}^{\pi}$ 3/2 $^{-}$	Mult. $^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^c$	Comments
x126.6 10	1.0 2							
x183.6 7	1.5 2							
x195.5 7	2.0 2							
x216.3 7	5.8 6					M1+E2	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.71$ 12	
237.9 <sup>d</sup> 7	2.1 2	1743.1+x (9/2 $^{-}$ ,11/2 $^{-}$ )		1505.8+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ )		M1+E2	0.5 3 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.48$ 24 $\alpha(K)=0.4$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.107$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0262$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0085$ 5	
x240.3 7	1.7 2							
x245.4 7	2.0 2							
253.3 7	1.8 2	1305.6+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ )		1052.8+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ )		M1+E2	0.707 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.7$ 4 $\alpha(K)=0.577$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.0992$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0233$ ; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0075$	
x279.2 7	2.0 2					M1	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.72$ 40	
284.3 7	4.5 5	1337.1+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ ,11/2 $^{-}$ )		1052.8+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ )		M1+E2	0.515 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.36$ 18 $\alpha(K)=0.420$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.0720$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0169$ ; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00547$	
x288.4 7	3.5 4							
294.0 7	7.8 8	1799.6+x (9/2 $^{-}$ )		1505.8+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ )		M1 <sup>a</sup>	0.470 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.55$ 30 $\alpha(K)=0.383$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.0657$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0154$ ; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00498$	
x300.1 7	4.0 4							
x302.6 7	1.2 2							
x316.2 7	1.5 2					M1+E2	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.13$ 6	
x320.3 10	0.5 1							
x338.4 7	1.9 2							
x341.6 7	1.9 2							
x350.9 7	1.8 2							
x370.7 7	4.9 5							
x382.7 7	1.3 2							
391.3 7	7.2 7	1337.1+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ ,11/2 $^{-}$ )		945.9+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ )				
x416.1 7	1.9 2							
x420.2 7	4.5 5							
425.3 5	≈200 <sup>b</sup>	425.1+x (13/2 $^{+}$ )		0+x (5/2 $^{-}$ )		M4	4.0 $\alpha(K)=2.42$ ; $\alpha(L)=1.24$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.334$ ; $\alpha(N+..)=0.112$	
x444.4 7	3.0 3							
x451.8 7	2.8 3							
462.6 <sup>d</sup> 7	5.4 5	1799.6+x (9/2 $^{-}$ )		1337.1+x (7/2 $^{-}$ ,9/2 $^{-}$ ,11/2 $^{-}$ )		E2+M1	0.0359 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.039$ 2	

From ENSDF

<sup>199</sup>Bi  $\varepsilon$  decay (27 min+24.70 min) 1978Ri04 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{199}\text{Pb})</math> (continued)</u>								
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\alpha^c$	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 473.0 7	1.1 2							$\alpha(K)=0.0247; \alpha(L)=0.00841; \alpha(M)=0.00210; \alpha(N..)=0.00068$
480.4 7	3.7 4	1743.1+x	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ,11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1262.6+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
<sup>d</sup> 483.3 7	5.0 5	1505.8+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1022.7+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
<sup>x</sup> 507.3 7	6.0 6							
<sup>x</sup> 521.6 7	2.1 2					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.08 4$
533.1 5	10.3 5	1799.6+x	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1266.7+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	<sup>a</sup>		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.055 32$
								Mult.: M1+E2 from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.055 32$ inconsistent with negative parity of 1799.9+x level As suggested by mult of 294.0 $\gamma$ and 462.6 $\gamma$ .
<sup>x</sup> 539.3 7	6.1 6							
<sup>x</sup> 546.9 7	4.2 4							
560.1 <sup>d</sup> 7	2.4 2	1505.8+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	945.9+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	M1+E2 <sup>a</sup>	0.084	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.061 3$
								$\alpha(K)=0.0685; \alpha(L)=0.0115$
563.2 <sup>d</sup> 7	1.6 2	2068.9+x	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1505.8+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	M1,E2	0.0826	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.009 4$
								$\alpha(K)=0.0676; \alpha(L)=0.00113$
<sup>x</sup> 584.1 7	1.4 2							
<sup>x</sup> 590.8 7	2.3 2							
<sup>x</sup> 596.6 7	1.5 2							
<sup>x</sup> 606.0 7	1.9 2							
<sup>x</sup> 610.1 7	2.5 3							
<sup>x</sup> 627.6 7	4.6 5					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.06 3$
<sup>x</sup> 646.5 7	3.0 3							
<sup>x</sup> 658.5 7	8.0 8					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.09 3$
<sup>x</sup> 663.1 7	3.2 3					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.067 4$
<sup>x</sup> 674.6 7	3.2 3					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.065 3$
<sup>x</sup> 678.6 7	2.1 2					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.078 3$
<sup>x</sup> 703.0 7	2.3 2							
<sup>x</sup> 709.1 7	2.5 3							
<sup>x</sup> 713.9 10								
720.3 5	12.2 6	1743.1+x	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ,11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1022.7+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
<sup>x</sup> 779.4 5	11.9 6					(M1+E2)		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.050 26$
<sup>x</sup> 786.0 7	2.1 2					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.037 2$
797.0 7	3.0 3	1743.1+x	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ,11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	945.9+x	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ,9/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
802.1 7	5.9 6	2068.9+x	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1266.7+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
806.4 7	8.7 9	2068.9+x	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1262.6+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
<sup>d</sup> 820.5 7	2.8 3	2083.1+x	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1262.6+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
837.4 5	86 5	1262.6+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	425.1+x	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2+M1	0.0096	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0098 20$
								$\alpha(K)=0.00747; \alpha(L)=0.00159$
841.7 5	100	1266.7+x	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	425.1+x	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2+M1	0.0095	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.010 4$
								$\alpha(K)=0.00739; \alpha(L)=0.00157$
<sup>x</sup> 859.5 7	2.4 3							
<sup>x</sup> 914.1 5	13.7 7							

<sup>199</sup>Bi  $\varepsilon$  decay (27 min+24.70 min)    1978Ri04 (continued) $\gamma(^{199}\text{Pb})$  (continued)

E $_{\gamma}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. $^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^c$	Comments
926.4 #d 5	48 3	945.9+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	19.1+x? (-)		M1+E2	0.015 7	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.011$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.012$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.0022$ 18
946.0 5	98 5	945.9+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	0+x	(5/2 $^-$ )	E2	0.0075	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0088$ 23 $\alpha(K)=0.00593$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00119$
x955.6 10								
x961.2 7	2.1 2				(M1)			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.051$ 29
x966.1 5	17.7 8				M1,E2			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.014$ 9
x977.5 5	14.6 7				M1,E2			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.015$ 1
x985.0 5	11.0 6				M1,E2			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.023$ 16
x991.6 7	4.6 5							
x998.5 7	3.7 4							
x1004.3 7	2.4 2							
x1013.5 7	6.3 6							
1022.8 5	40 2	1022.7+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	0+x	(5/2 $^-$ )	M1,E2	0.012 6	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.010$ 6
1034.0 @d 5	53 3	1052.8+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	19.1+x? (-)		E2,M1		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0045$ 22
1052.8 5	67 4	1052.8+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	0+x	(5/2 $^-$ )	E2,M1		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0046$ 17
x1069.1 7	3.8 4							
x1076.2 7	2.9 3							
+ 1085.8 &d 7	2.1 3	2108.4+x	(11/2 $^-$ )		1022.7+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )		
x1089.0 10	0.8 2							
x1097.8 7	2.3 2							
x1102.9 7	3.4 3							
x1110.2 7	2.6 3							
x1121.1 7	4.2 4							
1137.0 5	50 3	2083.1+x	(11/2 $^-$ )	945.9+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	M1,E2	0.009 4	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0047$ 23
x1146.4 5	40.9 20					M1+E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.009$ 4
x1153.2 7	7.8 8					M1,E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.011$ 9
x1156.9 10								
1162.4 7	7.1 7	2108.4+x	(11/2 $^-$ )	945.9+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )			
x1172.5 7	2.4 2							
x1179.4 7	5.1 5							
x1188.2 7	5.2 5							
x1206.6 7	9.1 9					M1,E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.014$ 7
x1212.2 5	39.6 20					E2(+M1)		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0057$ 3
x1221.8 10	1.0 2							
1240.3 7	7.4 7	2186.2+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ ,11/2 $^-$ )	945.9+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )			
x1243.8 7	3.5 4							
x1255.6 7	6.1 6							
x1268.2 5	10.1 5							
x1274.1 7	1.7 2							
x1300.1 10								
1305.6 5	64 3	1305.6+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	0+x	(5/2 $^-$ )	M1+E2	0.007 3	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0045$ 20
x1312.9 7	4.1 4							

<sup>199</sup>Bi  $\varepsilon$  decay (27 min+24.70 min) 1978Ri04 (continued) $\gamma(^{199}\text{Pb})$  (continued)

E $_{\gamma}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	E $_{\gamma}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E $_i$ (level)
<sup>x</sup> 1325.5 7	3.1 3					<sup>x</sup> 1853.5 7	3.4 3	
<sup>x</sup> 1343.6 7	1.9 2					<sup>x</sup> 1866.1 7	4.1 4	
<sup>x</sup> 1347.4 7	6.9 7					<sup>x</sup> 1872.0 7	5.2 5	
1374.3 <i>d</i> 7	6.2 6	1799.6+x	(9/2 $^-$ )	425.1+x	(13/2 $^+$ )	<sup>x</sup> 1874.8 7	1.6 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1398.3 7	2.7 3					<sup>x</sup> 1921.6 7	8.1 8	
<sup>x</sup> 1402.4 7	1.3 2					<sup>x</sup> 1928.8 7	1.6 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1410.9 7	4.7 5					<sup>x</sup> 1951.4 7	1.7 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1424.1 7	7.8 8					<sup>x</sup> 1989.2 7	5.1 5	
<sup>x</sup> 1432.1 7	2.3 2					<sup>x</sup> 2021.5 7	9.7 10	
<sup>x</sup> 1435.3 7	6.2 6					<sup>x</sup> 2048.4 7	1.0 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1448.6 5	16.2 8					<sup>x</sup> 2058.7 5	12.0 6	
<sup>x</sup> 1461.5 7	8.5 9					<sup>x</sup> 2065.0 7	1.5 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1480.3 7	1.5 2					<sup>x</sup> 2070.7 7	1.1 2	
1505.9 5	50 3	1505.8+x	(7/2 $^-$ ,9/2 $^-$ )	0+x	(5/2 $^-$ )	<sup>x</sup> 2124.5 7	1.3 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1517.3 5	13.2 7					<sup>x</sup> 2155.5 7	1.5 3	
<sup>x</sup> 1529.9 7	4.3 4					<sup>x</sup> 2182.0 7	1.3 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1540.5 7	8.4 8					<sup>x</sup> 2202.2 7	1.7 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1550.7 7	2.9 3					<sup>x</sup> 2222.9 7	1.2 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1575.2 7	5.9 6					<sup>x</sup> 2354.4 7	2.6 3	
<sup>x</sup> 1588.7 7	2.0 2					<sup>x</sup> 2391.7 7	1.6 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1622.3 7	7.1 7					<sup>x</sup> 2416.0 10	0.8 2	
1643.8 7	5.6 6	2068.9+x	(11/2 $^-$ )	425.1+x	(13/2 $^+$ )	<sup>x</sup> 2454.9 10	0.6 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1647.0 7	4.0 4					<sup>x</sup> 2459.0 7	2.6 3	
1658.3 <i>d</i> 7	2.8 3	2083.1+x	(11/2 $^-$ )	425.1+x	(13/2 $^+$ )	<sup>x</sup> 2616.0 7	1.7 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1668.4 7	7.0 7					<sup>x</sup> 2643.9 7	1.9 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1679.1 7	4.6 5					<sup>x</sup> 2666.9 7	5.8 6	
1683.2 <i>d</i> 7	2.3 2	2108.4+x	(11/2 $^-$ )	425.1+x	(13/2 $^+$ )	<sup>x</sup> 2799.3 7	1.8 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1696.5 7	3.9 4					<sup>x</sup> 2869.0 10	0.9 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1707.9 7	4.5 5					<sup>x</sup> 2877.3 7	1.7 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1716.0 7	4.9 5					<sup>x</sup> 2887.4 7	1.7 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1725.3 7	3.8 4					<sup>x</sup> 3102.1 7	1.8 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1757.6 7	4.4 4					<sup>x</sup> 3190.2 10	0.4 1	
<sup>x</sup> 1775.5 7	2.3 2					<sup>x</sup> 3194.4 10	0.6 2	
1780.8 <i>d</i> 7	8.6 9	1799.6+x	(9/2 $^-$ )	19.1+x?	( $^-$ )	<sup>x</sup> 3205.1 10	0.6 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1785.3 7	3.6 4					<sup>x</sup> 3214.5 10	0.20 4	
1799.0 <i>d</i> 7	4.3 4	1799.6+x	(9/2 $^-$ )	0+x	(5/2 $^-$ )	<sup>x</sup> 3235.2 10	0.20 4	
<sup>x</sup> 1803.5 7	2.1 2					<sup>x</sup> 3239.0 10	0.20 4	
<sup>x</sup> 1807.5 7	7.0 7					<sup>x</sup> 3244.2 10	0.7 2	
<sup>x</sup> 1839.0 7	2.5 3					<sup>x</sup> 3246.0 10	0.20 4	
<sup>x</sup> 1841.8 7	2.5 3					<sup>x</sup> 3598.5 10	0.20 4	

5

<sup>199</sup><sub>82</sub>Bi  $\varepsilon$  decay (27 min+24.70 min)    [1978Ri04 \(continued\)](#) $\gamma(^{199}\text{Pb})$  (continued)

<sup>†</sup> The <sup>199</sup>Bi source was produced by [1978Ri04](#) in (p,xn) reactions on natural lead followed by mass and radio-chemical separation. [1978Ri04](#) assumed that all the  $\gamma$ 's are from the  $9/2^-$  <sup>199</sup>Bi g.s.  $\varepsilon$  decay; however, it is expected that some of the  $\gamma$  rays are from  $\varepsilon$  decay of the 25-min  $1/2^+$  isomer of <sup>199</sup>Bi. Some of the  $\gamma$ 's deexciting levels of <sup>199</sup>Pb fed directly from the  $\varepsilon$  decay of the  $1/2^+$  isomer may populate the  $3/2^-$  g.s. of <sup>199</sup>Pb rather than the  $5/2^-$  first excited state.

<sup>‡</sup> Based on  $\alpha(K)\exp$  of [1978Ri04](#) (425.3 M4 transition was used for normalization). The experimental precision did not allow a meaningful extraction of  $\delta$ .

<sup>#</sup> [1978Ri04](#) list two  $\gamma$ 's in coin with 926.4 $\gamma$  and five  $\gamma$ 's in coin with 945.96 $\gamma$ ; only the 391.28 $\gamma$  is in coin with both of the above  $\gamma$ 's. This result may be inconsistent with the decay scheme.

<sup>@</sup> [1978Ri04](#) list seven  $\gamma$ 's possibly in coin with the 1034.0 $\gamma$ ; none of these  $\gamma$ 's is the same as the three  $\gamma$ 's in coin with the 1052.8 $\gamma$ , and the coin with the 720.3 $\gamma$  is inconsistent with the decay scheme proposed by [1978Ri04](#).

<sup>&</sup> Listed as 1086.79 in figure 7 of [1978Ri04](#).

<sup>a</sup> The multipolarities of the 294.0 $\gamma$ , 533.1 $\gamma$  and 560.1 $\gamma$  lead to contradictory  $\pi$  assignments for the 1804 level according to the proposed level scheme.

<sup>b</sup> The uncertainty could be greater than 20% because of the long half-life of the isomeric state ([1978Ri04](#)).

<sup>c</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>d</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{199}\text{Bi}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (27 min+24.70 min) 1978Ri04

## Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

## Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_{\gamma}$ 