

Coulomb excitation 1971Ka03,1986Ko02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 108, 79 (2007)	15-Oct-2006

1971Ka03: $E(^{16}\text{O})=33.25$ MeV, target~85% enriched; measured $E\gamma, I\gamma, (^{16}\text{O})\gamma(\theta)$.

1986Ko02: $E(^{32}\text{S})=100$ MeV, 66% ^{199}Hg target on thin iron foil with copper backing; measured $I\gamma(\theta, \text{H})$. Transient field of Hg in iron normalized using $g=+0.52$ 10 for first 2^+ state in ^{198}Hg . The quoted g-factor uncertainties do not include this 20% calibration uncertainty. The negative sign of g-factor for 208.2 level confirmed by perturbed angular correlation.

1956Ba45 ($E(p)=4.5$ MeV), 1956Da40 ($E(p)=3.2$ MeV): measured $B(E2)$'s for 158 and 208 levels.

 ^{199}Hg Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0 158.4	$1/2^-$ $5/2^-$	2.45 ns 3	$g=+0.24$ 6 (1986Ko02) g: value is probably too low because of difficulties in measuring a level of this half-life with a transient field. $T_{1/2}$: from 'Adopted Levels'. $B(E2)=0.375$ 17 (given by 1971Ka03) renormalized to 0.363 7, using 'adopted' $T_{1/2}(158$ level)=2.45 ns 3.
208.2 [#] 3	$3/2^-$	70 ps 5	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.220$ 14 (1971Ka03) $g=-0.19$ 10 (1986Ko02) g: other: -0.31 5 (1986Ko02, static field in iron). $T_{1/2}$: adopted value: 69 ps 3.
403.4 [#] 2	$3/2^-$	5.8 ps 12	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.113$ 13 (1971Ka03)
413.5 [#] 3	$5/2^-$	115 ps 23	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.097$ 16 (1971Ka03) $g=+0.28$ 10 (1986Ko02)

[†] From 'Adopted Levels'.

[‡] Deduced from $B(E2)$, unless otherwise noted.

[#] $B(E2)$ values from 1971Ka03 have been renormalized to $B(E2)(158$ level)=0.363 7 and adjusted for adopted values of α and branching (evaluator).

 $\gamma(^{199}\text{Hg})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ [‡]	a [#]	Comments
(49.8)		208.2	$3/2^-$	158.4	$5/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.044 4	11.70	$\alpha(L)= 8.92$ 4; $\alpha(M)= 2.08$ 1 E_γ : rounded energy from 'adopted gammas'.
158.4	100.0	158.4	$5/2^-$	0.0	$1/2^-$	E2		0.914	$\alpha(K)= 0.296$; $\alpha(L)= 0.461$; $\alpha(M)= 0.119$; $\alpha(N+..)= 0.0374$ E_γ : rounded energy from 'adopted gammas'. Mult.: $A_2=+0.44$, $+0.51$; $A_4=-0.42$, -0.44 consistent with $1/2(E2)5/2(E2)1/2$ cascade.
195.0 3	3.47 25	403.4	$3/2^-$	208.2	$3/2^-$	M1		1.23	$\alpha(K)= 1.007$; $\alpha(L)= 0.170$; $\alpha(M)= 0.0394$; $\alpha(N+..)= 0.0126$
208.3 3	35.7 25	208.2	$3/2^-$	0.0	$1/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.388 9	0.937	$\alpha(K)= 0.751$ 4; $\alpha(L)= 0.142$; $\alpha(M)= 0.0334$ 2; $\alpha(N+..)= 0.0106$ $\delta: -0.65$ 25 from $A_2=-0.97$, -1.06 ; $A_4=0.00$ which is consistent with $1/2(E2)3/2(M1+E2)1/2$ cascade.
255.5 5	1.4 7	413.5	$5/2^-$	158.4	$5/2^-$	[M1,E2]		0.38 20	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Coulomb excitation 1971Ka03,1986Ko02 (continued) $\gamma(^{199}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ^\ddagger	$a^\#$	Comments
403.4 2	12.5 16	403.4	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.32 2	0.157	$\alpha(K)=0.129$ 1; $\alpha(L)=0.0219$ 2; $\alpha(M)=0.00510$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00162$ I_γ : $I\gamma(403\gamma)/I\gamma(195\gamma)=3.6$ 3 disagrees with 6.7 10 In ‘Adopted Gammas’.
413.5 3	12.5 16	413.5	5/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	E2		0.0437	δ : from $A_2=+0.097$; $A_4=+0.00$ which is consistent with $1/2(E2)3/2(M1+E2)1/2$ cascade. $\alpha(K)=0.0298$; $\alpha(L)=0.0105$; $\alpha(M)=0.00261$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00082$ Mult.: $A_2=+0.46$; $A_4=-0.50$ consistent with $1/2(E2)5/2(E2)1/2$ cascade.

[†] From 1971Ka03.[‡] From ‘adopted gammas’, unless otherwise noted.[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

