

$^{198}\text{Pt}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$  **1974Pr09, 1978Me11**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 108, 79 (2007)	15-Oct-2006

1974Pr09: E=37 MeV. Target 60% enriched, assignments based upon  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$  At two angles,  $I\gamma$ .

1978Me11: E=31 to 57 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , ce, Ce(t) with orange spectrometer.

 $^{199}\text{Hg}$  Levels

The two bands described here follow qualitatively the predictions of the rotation-aligned coupling scheme. These results indicate oblate deformation, so that the spherical lead region and the prolate deformed rare-earth region are connected by a transitional region of oblate deformation. See also [1974Pr09](#) for details.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		
158.4	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		
532.5 <sup>#</sup>	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	42.67 min 9	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 'Adopted Levels'. <a href="#">Additional information 1</a> .
823.9 <sup>#</sup> 2	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )		E(level): 832.9 In <a href="#">1974Pr09</a> is a misprint.
1274.1? <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1357.2 <sup>#</sup> 3	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1769.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 3	(19/2 <sup>+</sup> )		E(level): level from <a href="#">1974Pr09</a> only; 1778.1 is a misprint.
2107.3 <sup>#</sup> 4	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2332.0@ 4	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2425.6@ 4	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2487.8@ 4	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2629.8@ 4	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2765.9@ 5	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
3068.5@ 4	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ 's, relative to the energy of the 532.5 level (from 'Adopted Levels') held As fixed In the fitting

<sup>‡</sup> Procedure 'Adopted Levels' for first three levels, from proposed band structures for higher levels (same assignments given in 'Adopted Levels' levels').

# Band(A): decoupled band built on  $vi_{13/2}$ . Oblate deformation is expected in rotation-alignment model ([1974Pr09](#)). Search (by [1978Me11](#)) for the 29/2<sup>+</sup> member of this band was unsuccessful. For  $E\gamma < 1$  MeV,  $I\gamma(29/2 \rightarrow 25/2) < 5$ . This supports the idea that the g.s. bands in even-even Hg change their character from configuration= $\pi h_{11/2}^{-2}$  for  $A \leq 196$  to configuration= $\nu i_{13/2}^{-2}$  for  $A \geq 198$ , thus changing the blocking pattern in the neighboring odd-A Hg.

@ Band(B):  $vi_{13/2}^{-1} \otimes (5^-, 7^-, 9^- .. ^{198}\text{Hg}$  core) (?).

& Band(C): unfavored band built on  $vi_{13/2}$ .

 $\gamma(^{199}\text{Hg})$ 

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>#</sup>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>‡</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub><math>i</math></sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub><math>f</math></sub> <sup>π</sup>	Comments
141.6 <sup>&amp;c</sup>	<3.8	2629.8	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2487.8 (25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from I(141.6 $\gamma$ )/I(204.3 $\gamma$ )<2 ( <a href="#">1974Pr09</a> ). A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 10 ( <a href="#">1974Pr09</a> ).
155.8 <sup>&amp;c</sup>	1.7	2487.8	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2332.0 (21/2 <sup>-</sup> )		I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from I(155.8 $\gamma$ )/I(380.5 $\gamma$ )=0.10 ( <a href="#">1974Pr09</a> ).
(158.4 <sup>@</sup> )		158.4	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	
204.3 2	1.9 4	2629.8	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2425.6 (23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>198</sup>Pt( $\alpha, 3n\gamma$ )    1974Pr09, 1978Me11 (continued) $\gamma(^{199}\text{Hg})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\#}$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^b$	Comments
278.1 2	7.8 16	2765.9	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2487.8	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(Q)			$A_2=+0.41 10$ (1974Pr09).
291.4 2	100	823.9	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	532.5	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	(Q)			$A_2=+0.40 5$ (1974Pr09).
(374.1 <sup>@</sup> )		532.5	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	158.4	5/2 <sup>-</sup>				
380.5 2	17 4	2487.8	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2107.3	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E1		0.01614	$\alpha(K)=0.01334; \alpha(L)=0.00215;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00050;$ $\alpha(N..)=0.00016$ Mult.: $A_2=+0.51 15$ (1974Pr09), $\alpha(K)\exp=0.014 3$ (1978Me11).
<sup>x</sup> 401.6 <sup>a</sup> 5									$\gamma\gamma$ coin with 278 $\gamma$ , 291 $\gamma$ , 381 $\gamma$ , 533 $\gamma$ and 750 $\gamma$ (1978Me11).
438.7 2	5.1 10	3068.5	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2629.8	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(Q)			$A_2=+0.2 2$ (1974Pr09).
<sup>x</sup> 510.8 <sup>a</sup> 2	$\leq 13$								$\gamma\gamma$ coin with 291 $\gamma$ , 533 $\gamma$ and 750 $\gamma$ (1978Me11). $\alpha(K)\exp\geq 0.013$ (1978Me11), assignment to <sup>199</sup> Hg uncertain.
522.3 2	9.3 19	2629.8	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2107.3	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )				$A_2=-0.04 20$ (1974Pr09).
533.3 2	71 14	1357.2	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	823.9	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(Q)			$A_2=+0.49 8$ (1974Pr09).
<sup>x</sup> 651.0 <sup>a</sup> 2	4.0 8								$\gamma\gamma$ coin with 291 $\gamma$ and 533 $\gamma$ (1978Me11).
741.6 2	6.3 13	1274.1?	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	532.5	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-1.3 5	0.020 6	$\alpha(K)=0.016 5; \alpha(L)=0.0029 7$ Mult., $\delta$ : $A_2=-1.23 20$ (1974Pr09), $\alpha(K)\exp=0.016 3$ (1978Me11).
749.9 2	31 6	2107.3	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1357.2	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(Q)			$A_2=+0.46 15$ (1974Pr09).
945.4 2	6.8 14	1769.3	(19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	823.9	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(D+Q)			$E_\gamma$ : placement from 1974Pr09.
974.8 2	6.3 13	2332.0	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1357.2	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(D)			$A_2=-1.03 20$ (1974Pr09).
<sup>x</sup> 1002.4 2	5.0 10								$A_2=+0.32 15$ (1974Pr09) consistent with $\Delta J=0$ , dipole.
<sup>x</sup> 1005.7 2	3.6 7								$\gamma\gamma$ coin with 291 $\gamma$ , 533 $\gamma$ and 750 $\gamma$ (1978Me11).
1068.6 2	11 2	2425.6	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1357.2	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(D)			$\gamma\gamma$ coin with 291 $\gamma$ (1978Me11).
<sup>x</sup> 1127.2 4	3.2 7					D+Q			$A_2=-0.49 15$ (1974Pr09).
									$\gamma\gamma$ coin with 278 $\gamma$ , 291 $\gamma$ , 381 $\gamma$ , 402 $\gamma$ , 533 $\gamma$ and 750 $\gamma$ (1978Me11). Placement (by 1974Pr09) from a 2484 level to 1357 is not supported by $\gamma\gamma$ coin data of 1978Me11.
									$A_2=-0.77 20$ (1974Pr09).

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  of 1974Pr09 and ce data of 1978Me11. Since  $\gamma(\theta)$  data alone are insensitive to parity determination, the evaluator has assigned mult=Q to  $\Delta J=2$  transitions indicated by positive  $A_2$  (1974Pr09 assign E2), and mult=D or D+Q to  $\Delta J=1$  transitions indicated by negative  $A_2$  (1974Pr09 assign E1 or M1+E2 to such transitions).

<sup>‡</sup> From 1978Me11 at  $E(\alpha)=35$  MeV. Values are also available at 31 and 39 MeV from 1978Me11; and at 37 MeV from 1974Pr09.

<sup>#</sup> Average of 1974Pr09 and 1978Me11.

<sup>@</sup> Not observed in coin because of delay at 13/2<sup>+</sup> level, rounded energy from 'Adopted Gammas'.

<sup>&</sup>  $\gamma$  from 1974Pr09 only.

<sup>a</sup>  $\gamma$  from 1978Me11 only.

<sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

---

 **$^{198}\text{Pt}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$     1974Pr09,1978Me11 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{199}\text{Hg})$  (continued)**

<sup>c</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



