

**Coulomb excitation**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 133, 221 (2016)	1-Dec-2015

$^{198}\text{Pt}(x, x')$ :  
 $x=^{16}\text{O};$        $E=42 \text{ MeV}$  ([1969Gl08](#))  
 $^{198}\text{Pt}(x, x'\gamma)$ :  
 $x=p;$        $E=4.5 \text{ MeV}$  ([1971Mi08](#)),  $E=5-6 \text{ MeV}$  ([1984Mu19](#)).  
 $x=\alpha$        $E=10, 15 \text{ MeV}$  ([1970Br26](#)),  $E=5-6 \text{ MeV}$  ([1984Mu19](#)),  
 $E=15.6 \text{ MeV}$  ([1971Mi08](#)),  $E=14-15 \text{ MeV}$  ([1986Gy04](#)).  
 $x=^{12}\text{C};$        $E=41-45 \text{ MeV}$  ([1986Gy04](#)),  $E=41 \text{ MeV}$  ([1988Fe08](#)).  
 $x=^{16}\text{O};$        $E=36 \text{ MeV}$  ([1966Gr20](#)),  $E=41 \text{ MeV}$  ([1970Br26](#)),  
 $E=43.8 \text{ MeV}$  ([1971Mi08](#)),  $E=55-56 \text{ MeV}$  ([1986Gy04](#)),  
 $E=57-63 \text{ MeV}$  ([1988Fe08](#)).  
 $x=^{32}\text{S};$        $E=80 \text{ MeV}$  ([1983St01](#), [1983St18](#)).  
 $x=^{40}\text{Ca};$        $E=120 \text{ MeV}$  ([1979Ha06](#)).  
 $x=^{58}\text{Ni},$        $E=220 \text{ MeV}$  ([1981Bo32](#), [1981St13](#), [1981St24](#), see also 1980K  
 $e04)$   
 $x=^{81}\text{Br},$        $E=190 \text{ MeV}$  ([1979Ha06](#)).  
 $\gamma(\theta, H),$  recoil distance ([1981St13](#), [1981Bo32](#), see also [1980Ke04](#))

 **$^{198}\text{Pt}$  Levels**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> #	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
407.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	22.25 ps 15	T <sub>1/2</sub> : Dededuced from B(E2)=1.090 7. B(E2): Adopted value=1.090 7 ( <a href="#">1986Gy04</a> ). Others: 1.01 5 ( <a href="#">1969Gl08</a> ), 1.02 15 ( <a href="#">1966Gr20</a> ), 0.97 3 ( <a href="#">1970Br26</a> ), 1.02 4 ( <a href="#">1971Mi08</a> ), 1.06 3 ( <a href="#">1984Mu19</a> ). <a href="#">Additional information 1</a> . Q=+0.42 12 or +0.54 12 ( <a href="#">1986Gy04</a> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : Others: 24.3 ps 21 ( <a href="#">1981Bo32</a> ), 23.2 ps 8 ( <a href="#">1983St18</a> ). <a href="#">Additional information 2</a> . <a href="#">Additional information 3</a> . B(E4)=0.09 9 ( <a href="#">1988Fe08</a> ).
774.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	27 ps 4	
985.8	4 <sup>+</sup>	3.3 ps 3	
1280.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	9.7 ps 5	
1285.0 <sup>@</sup>	4 <sup>+</sup>	9.3 ps 22	
1714.7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	<0.7 ps	

<sup>†</sup> From E $\gamma$ 's by using least-squares fit to data. E $\gamma$ 's are from [1981Bo32](#).

<sup>‡</sup> From recoil-distance measurement ([1981Bo32](#)), except as noted.

# From Adopted Levels.

@ The authors assign the 510.8 $\gamma$  as de-exciting the 914, 0<sup>+</sup> level known from  $\beta^-$  decay; however, as shown by [1983Ya04](#) in (n,n' $\gamma$ ), the energy of this transition is 507.3. They suggest the reassignment of the 510.8 $\gamma$  as de-exciting the known 1285, 4<sup>+</sup> level.

 **$\gamma(^{198}\text{Pt})$** 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult.	δ	Comments
407.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	407.2	100	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
774.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	367.2	96 2	407.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	-2.9 +4-6	Mult.,δ: From $\gamma(\theta,H)$ measurements ( <a href="#">1981St13</a> , <a href="#">1981Bo32</a> ).
			774.2	4 2	0.0			
985.8	4 <sup>+</sup>	578.6	100	407.2	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1280.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	505.8	73 <sup>#</sup> 6	774.2	2 <sup>+</sup>			
		872.6	27 <sup>#</sup> 6	407.2	2 <sup>+</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Coulomb excitation (continued)** $\gamma(^{198}\text{Pt})$  (continued)

$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
1285.0	$4^+$	510.8	100	774.2	$2^+$
1714.7	$(6^+)$	728.9	100	985.8	$4^+$

<sup>†</sup> From 1981Bo32.<sup>‡</sup> Relative  $\gamma$  branching from each level.# Branching ratio is discrepant with the value in (n,n' $\gamma$ ).**Coulomb excitation**Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

