

$^{198}\text{Pt}(\text{d},\text{pn}\gamma)$ **1984Sc19**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 133, 221 (2016)	1-Dec-2015

E=25 MeV; measured $\gamma\gamma$ - and $\gamma\gamma$ -coin., $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, and ce. ^{198}Pt Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$T_{1/2} \#$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$
0	0^+	1286.31 22	(4^+)		1849.18? 23
407.22 5	2^+	1366.99 10	5^-		1944.1? 3
774.71 8	2^+	1501.88 14	(7^-)	3.4 ns 2	1979.38? 25
985.04 8	4^+	1680.07 20	3^-		1995.78? 25
1247.98 10	(3^+)	1714.14 22	(6^+)		
1279.11 25		1741.09 14			

[†] From level scheme and $E\gamma$'s by using least-squares fit to the γ -ray energies.[‡] From Adopted Levels.[#] From ce(t) measurements. $\gamma(^{198}\text{Pt})$

$E_\gamma \ddagger$	$I_\gamma \#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
134.9 1	≈ 3	1501.88	(7^-)	1366.99	5^-	
^x 148.2 2	≈ 0.7					
300.9 [@] 2	1.5	1286.31	(4^+)	985.04	4^+	
314.0 4	0.8	1680.07	3^-	1366.99	5^-	$E\gamma$: Shown as 313.5 in authors' level scheme.
367.48 [†] 6	29	774.71	2^+	407.22	2^+	
374.1 1	4.4	1741.09		1366.99	5^-	
381.96 [†] 6	37	1366.99	5^-	985.04	4^+	
407.21 [†] 5	100	407.22	2^+	0	0^+	
473.27 [†] 7	7.5	1247.98	(3^+)	774.71	2^+	
477.5 2	2.0	1979.38?		1501.88	(7^-)	
493.9 2	2.0	1995.78?		1501.88	(7^-)	
504.4 3	≈ 1	1279.11		774.71	2^+	
511.6 2	14	1286.31	(4^+)	774.71	2^+	
^x 556.2 5	≈ 1.5					
577.82 [†] 6	52	985.04	4^+	407.22	2^+	
601.2 2	1.9	1849.18?		1247.98	(3^+)	
^x 615.2 2	2.8					
657.8 2	2.9	1944.1?		1286.31	(4^+)	
694.8 2	3.9	1680.07	3^-	985.04	4^+	
729.1 2	2.7	1714.14	(6^+)	985.04	4^+	
774.9 3	1.6	774.71	2^+	0	0^+	
871.9 4	1.7	1279.11		407.22	2^+	

[†] From 1983Ya04 in ($n, n'\gamma$).[‡] From 1984Sc19, except as noted.# Relative γ -ray intensity normalized to $I\gamma(407.21\gamma)=100$.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

