

^{202}Rn α decay (9.7 s) [1995Bi17,1992Wa29,1967Va17](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 133, 221 (2016)	1-Dec-2015

Parent: ^{202}Rn : $E=0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=9.7$ s 1; $Q(\alpha)=6773.7$ 18; $\% \alpha$ decay=78 8

^{202}Rn - $T_{1/2}$: Weighted average of 10.3 s 4 ([1996Ta18](#)), 9.5 s 2 ([1992Wa29](#)), 9.85 s 20 ([1971Ho01](#)) in α decay. Others: 10 s 1 ([1987He10](#)), 10.5 s 15 ([1969Ha03](#)), 13 s 2 ([1967Va17](#)).

Sources produced by $^{197}\text{Au}(^{14}\text{N},\text{xn})$ ([1967Va17](#)), ^{16}O on Pt ([1967Va17](#)), and protons on thorium ([1971Ho01](#)).

α branching of ^{202}Rn was from parent-daughter ($E\alpha_1$ - $E\alpha_2$) correlations ([1987He10](#)). Others: 80-100% ([1993Wa04](#)), $\geq 80\%$ ([1992Wa29](#)), 85% 15 ([1969Ha03,1986BrZQ](#)), 93% ([1971Ho01](#)), 85% recommended by [1991Ry01](#).

 ^{198}Po Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$ [†]	Comments
0.0	0^+	1.760 min 24	
604.94 10	2^+		
816.0 10	0^+	<0.4 ns	$T_{1/2}$: From $\alpha\gamma(t)$ (1992Wa29).

[†] From Adopted Levels.

 α radiations

$E\alpha$	E(level)	$I\alpha$ ^{†‡}	HF [‡]	Comments
5836 5	816.0	0.0018 6	20 7	$E\alpha$: From 1992Wa29 . Others: $E\alpha=5841$ measured by 1994Wa13 . $E\alpha=5839.7$ 18 is calculated from $Q(\alpha)=6773.7$ 18 and $E(\text{level})=816.0$ 10. $I\alpha$: Absolute α intensity was measured by 1994Wa13 , and the ratio is listed: $I\alpha(6639.5\alpha)/I\alpha(5841\alpha)=(80-100)/(0.0014-0.0018)$. In 1995Bi17 , this intensity ratio is quoted as 100/0.0018 6, referring to their earlier work in 1994Wa13 .
(6046 2)	604.94	<0.018	>18	$E\alpha$: This α transition was not observed; its energy is calculated from $Q(\alpha)=6773.7$ 18 and $E(\text{level})=604.94$ 10. $I\alpha$: A lower limit of 18 was calculated by 1995Bi12 for HF from their experimental upper limit for its intensity which is not quoted. From $\text{HF}>18$, we calculate $I\alpha(6046\alpha)<0.018$.
6639.6 18	0.0	99.9982 6	1.0	$E\alpha$: Recent measurements are 6640.9 25 (1993Wa04), 6639 1 (1995Le04) and 6641 1 (1996Ta18 ; the energy uncertainty is statistical only). $E\alpha=6637$ 3 was recommended by 1991Ry01 from earlier measurements. $E\alpha=6639.6$ 18 is calculated from $Q(\alpha)=6773.7$ 18.

[†] Intensities per 100 α decays are deduced from relative α intensities listed by [1995Bi17](#).

[‡] $r_0(^{198}\text{Po})=1.516$ 7 is calculated from $\text{HF}(6639.6\alpha)=1.0$.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.78 8.

 $\gamma(^{198}\text{Po})$

E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ ^{‡#}	Comments
211	816.0	0^+	604.94	2^+		31 25	
816	816.0	0^+	0.0	0^+	E0	69 25	Mult.: From $T_{1/2}$, strong(α)(ce) and (α)(K x ray) coin, and absence of (α)(816 γ).

[†] From [1992Wa29](#).

[‡] Relative intensity from each level.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.00179 19.

^{202}Rn α decay (9.7 s) 1995Bi17,1992Wa29,1967Va17

Decay Scheme

